

GATT disputes: 1948-1995

Volume 1: Overview and one-page case summaries



What is the purpose of this publication?

To mark the 70th anniversary of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), this publication provides verified and factual information on 316 disputes brought by contracting parties to the GATT from 1948 to 1995, when the GATT was superseded by the World Trade Organization.

What is a GATT dispute?

The 316 GATT disputes covered by this publication are specific complaints, which are all: 1) made by one or more GATT contracting parties; 2) directed against specific actions, measures or policies of one or more contracting parties; 3) based on one or more claims that the legal rights of the complainant under the GATT, or any of the applicable plurilateral Tokyo Round codes, were affected; and 4) containing an unambiguous request for a decision or ruling by a GATT adjudicator on the matter at issue.

Find out more and contact the authors

GATT disputes webpage on the WTO website: www.wto.org/GATTdisputes
e-mail address: GATTdisputes@wto.org

Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Foreword by the Director-General.....	3
Disclaimer.....	4
Part 1: Overview	5
Background.....	7
Challenges	9
Main aspects of GATT disputes.....	16
Conclusions.....	27
Bibliography.....	28
Part 2: One-page case summaries	33
Introduction	35
Annexes	353

Acknowledgements

This publication was prepared by Arti Gobind Daswani, Roy Santana and János Volkai of the WTO Secretariat with the support of WTO Deputy Director-General Karl Brauner, Valerie Hughes (former Director of the WTO's Legal Affairs Division), Suja Rishikesh Mavroidis (Director of the WTO Market Access Division) and John Adank (Director of the Legal Affairs Division). Special acknowledgment is owed to William Castro, of the WTO's Young Professionals Programme, for his contributions to the processing of the data and preparation of the one-page case summaries, to WTO staff members Jesse Kreier and Olga Falgueras Alamo, from the Rules Division, for their contribution to the compilation of relevant documents and to Jesse Nicol,

from the Appellate Body Secretariat, for his comments. The authors are also grateful to Laoise Ni Bhriain, Maria Bressi and Bryson Strupp, from the Archives Section of the WTO Information Management Services, for their active collaboration in checking the archives and identifying relevant information, and to Tan Albayrak, intern at the Legal Affairs Division, for assisting in the final editing of the one-page case summaries.

The authors also wish to acknowledge the support provided by the WTO's Graphic Design, Printing and Documents Distribution Section and the Information and External Relations Division who are responsible for the production of this publication.



Foreword by the Director-General

The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade is the world's first general multilateral trade agreement. It was signed in 1947 and came into force on 1 January 1948. As the contracting parties began to implement what is more widely known as the GATT 1947, it is unlikely they would have foreseen the full magnitude of the political and economic importance of their accord and its enduring impact as a fundamental framework for multilateral trade right up to the present day.

GATT rules and practices evolved over almost 50 years before the creation of the World Trade Organization in 1995. As the successor to the GATT, the WTO Agreement was based on the practices and lessons of five decades of multilateral trade rules.

2018 marks the 70th anniversary of the GATT 1947. This is an ideal moment to look back at various aspects of our GATT heritage. One specific area in which considerable progress was made over the decades is how the GATT settled trade disputes among its contracting parties. Through a process of pragmatic experimentation, GATT dispute settlement progressively grew from two specific Articles

in the GATT 1947 into an impressive body of dispute settlement rules and practices. They remain relevant and underpin the WTO dispute settlement mechanism in many ways. The considerable evolution of GATT dispute settlement is a testament to the resilience and flexibility of the multilateral trading system, as well as the increasing importance of the rule of law in international trade.

This publication constitutes the first comprehensive compilation of GATT dispute settlement decisions and procedures. It provides useful and often novel factual information on GATT dispute settlement, along with useful insights and lessons on the subject. I would encourage anyone looking to deepen their understanding of today's multilateral trading system to review this important publication.

Roberto Azevêdo
Director-General
World Trade Organization

Disclaimer

In the interest of accuracy, this publication uses the historical names of GATT contracting parties as they were used at the time of each dispute, e.g. Ceylon, Czechoslovakia, Hong Kong, the Federal Republic of Germany, Yugoslavia or the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. Likewise, this publication makes reference to EEC-6, EEC-9, EEC-10 or EEC-12 to reflect different stages of enlargement of the European Economic Communities. It also refers to individual EEC member States (e.g. France, Italy, etc.) for cases when these countries acted in their own capacity.

Any opinions reflected in this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors. They do not purport to reflect the views of WTO Members. References to geographical and other groupings and to historical names do

not imply any expression of opinion by the authors concerning the status of any country or territory, the delimitation of its frontiers or the rights or obligations of any GATT contracting party or WTO Member. The denominations and classifications that feature in this publication do not imply any judgement of legal or other status of any territory, nor any endorsement or acceptance of a boundary.

Despite the attempt to be complete and comprehensive, nothing in this publication should be interpreted as a definitive or authoritative account of the disputes that took place under the GATT 1947. Suggestions to improve the accuracy and completeness of this publication should be addressed to GATTdisputes@wto.org.

Part 1: Overview

Background	7
Challenges	9
What constitutes a GATT dispute?	9
How to count identified GATT disputes?	14
GATT procedures considered not to constitute GATT disputes	15
Newly identified GATT disputes	15
GATT disputes and geopolitical developments	16
Main aspects of GATT disputes	16
Legal basis.....	16
The participants.....	17
“Adjudicator”	21
Types of products at issue	23
Length of reports	23
Adoption of reports	25
Conclusions	27
Bibliography	28

The year 2018 marked the 70th anniversary of the provisional application of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT 1947). Although it was intended to be applied on a provisional basis, the GATT 1947 governed international trade for almost half a century. Its principles, rules and procedures evolved over this period to respond to the changing needs and challenges of GATT contracting parties, providing the basis for today's strengthened international trade rules under the GATT's successor, the World Trade Organization (WTO).

The settlement of disputes under the GATT 1947 has been the subject of abundant academic literature.¹ However, there has been no verified, comprehensive compilation of all information concerning GATT disputes from 1 January 1948, when the GATT started to be applied through the Protocol of Provisional Application, until its termination one year after the entry into force of the Marrakesh Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995.² In fact, as explained below, there is no reliable record of how many disputes took place under the GATT.

The importance of GATT dispute settlement has been acknowledged by WTO adjudicators³ who have affirmed its continued relevance for the WTO. In 1996, the Appellate Body held that "[a]dopted panel reports are an important part of the GATT *acquis*. They are often considered by subsequent panels. They create legitimate expectations among WTO Members, and, therefore, should be taken into account where they are relevant to any dispute."⁴ In a similar vein, the Appellate Body noted that the reasoning of unadopted GATT panel reports (i.e. reports that GATT contracting parties were unable to adopt by consensus) may provide useful guidance for a WTO dispute panel.⁵

This publication consists of two volumes, which can be read independently. This first volume, "Overview and One-Page Case Summaries", provides a comprehensive overview of dispute settlement activities under the GATT 1947 and contains a one-page summary for each of the 316 GATT disputes identified. The second volume, "Dispute Settlement Procedures", compiles documents regarding relevant procedures and other selected documents. The two volumes offer insights into the evolution of dispute settlement under the GATT 1947, which served as the foundation for the Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) created by the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization.

This publication represents the first comprehensive effort by the WTO Secretariat to consolidate information on all

disputes covering the whole period of the GATT 1947, from 1948 until 1995. It relies on information from multiple sources, both public and internal records held by the GATT Secretariat, including information gathered by the WTO Legal Affairs Division and Market Access Division as well as the WTO Information Management Section.

The systematic analysis of these primary sources has led to some significant discoveries and rarely mentioned information about GATT disputes. For instance, some of the information seems to call into question whether certain proceedings previously identified as GATT disputes actually qualify as such.⁶ The research has also uncovered a number of disputes that had not been listed in previous compilations, including one brought by Malawi,⁷ a least developed country, as well as the existence of a "secret" dispute in which the parties – India and Japan – chose not to disclose the details of their proceedings.⁸

Background

This publication builds upon official sources by the GATT Secretariat and various academic research projects undertaken to compile factual information about GATT disputes. Interestingly, the exact number of disputes that took place under the GATT 1947 remained unknown, which, as later elaborated, is largely explained by the fact that there was no definition of what constituted a dispute.

The latest official summary by the GATT Secretariat compiling information about GATT disputes and GATT dispute settlement procedures is contained in a 1989 Secretariat Note.⁹ In terms of academic research, the first major effort to compile and analyse this information was the seminal research by Professor Robert E. Hudec published in 1993, who identified 207 GATT disputes covering the period 1948 to 1989.¹⁰ In 1996, Eric R. Reinhardt, then an economics PhD student, expanded the work of

Professor Hudec to include the early 1990s, the last years of GATT dispute settlement.¹¹ More recently, Roy Santana and Lee-Ann Jackson from the WTO Secretariat tried to complement these efforts by compiling information on 262 relevant GATT disputes in an academic publication that was published in 2012 by the "World Trade Review".¹²

Despite these academic efforts, information about GATT disputes remained incomplete. Professor Hudec's 1993 publication led to the creation of a landmark database containing an in-depth analysis of GATT disputes. However, as his work was undertaken before the final, most prolific years of GATT dispute settlement, which include some of the most relevant disputes for the WTO, at least in terms of proceedings carried over to the WTO, his database was incomplete.

Reinhardt tried to cover the missing years of GATT dispute settlement, but from a mainly economic perspective and without taking into account the underlying legal aspects. Furthermore, Professor Hudec's and Reinhardt's databases were both based on information available in 1993 and 1996, respectively, without access to today's digital search facilities and GATT archives. Finally, while the research of Santana and Jackson filled some gaps and made use of more modern technologies, including

the GATT Digital Library of Stanford University,¹³ it did not rely on internal GATT Secretariat records, and focused on identifying non-tariff barriers.


This publication seeks to provide comprehensive information about GATT disputes and to bring an updated perspective on GATT dispute settlement based on a thorough review of primary sources. It has benefitted from the database of GATT dispute settlement prepared by Santana and Jackson as well as a number of both public and previously inaccessible sources. These include:

- digital copies of GATT documents on the WTO website;¹⁴
- documents scanned and saved for the internal use of the GATT and WTO Secretariats;
- publicly available scanned documents in the GATT Digital Library of Stanford University;¹⁵
- internal GATT Secretariat documents recently uncovered by the WTO Information Management Section; and
- manual searches through hard copies stored in the GATT/WTO official archives, which allowed to verify and complete the above information.

Access to these sources and a thorough review of the information gathered has allowed to identify GATT

Box 1: Evolution of GATT disputes, 1948-1995


A. Number of disputes, in five-year periods



Notes:

* The period only comprises two years.

** The period comprises six years, but no dispute was brought to the GATT 1947 in 1995.

Box 1: Evolution of GATT disputes, 1948-1995 (continued)**B. Cumulative share of disputes, by year**

Source: WTO Secretariat.

disputes that had not been listed in previous datasets. As a result, this publication identifies a total of 316 disputes, which significantly exceeds the totals established by prior compilations, even though some of the cases previously considered as GATT disputes are not treated as such by this publication. Box 1 shows when disputes were brought to the GATT, both on a five-year basis and yearly.

For the exclusive purpose of this publication, each of these 316 GATT disputes received a “GD/” symbol followed by a number. The numbering follows the chronological order of the dates when the relevant requests were submitted to the GATT. Using these GD numbers, Annexes 1 and 2 list GATT disputes by contracting party, and by the agreements and provisions at issue.

Challenges

What constitutes a GATT dispute?

One of the objectives – and also challenges – of preparing this publication was to determine whether an action by a GATT contracting party constituted a dispute. A 1989 Note by the GATT Secretariat¹⁶ points out, by way of introduction,

that “[t]here exists no official classification of a ‘GATT dispute’ or a ‘GATT dispute settlement procedure.’”¹⁷ Professor Hudec and others who have tried to follow in his footsteps also faced the challenge of determining what constituted a dispute. In the introduction to his 1993 publication, he notes that “[t]he definition of ‘legal complaint’ is a matter of judgement, depending on the purposes of the compendium”. Furthermore, he explained that “[t]he subject here is GATT adjudication, not GATT legal business generally.”¹⁸

Disputes arising from the operation of the GATT 1947, relating to the benefits accruing to its contracting parties or to the attainment of its objectives, were to be settled through the operation of GATT Article XXII (Consultations) and Article XXIII (Nullification or Impairment). These provisions still form the basis of WTO dispute settlement as elaborated upon in the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding (see Box 2).

As the system evolved over the years, similar but not exactly identical dispute settlement provisions were included in the “Plurilateral Codes” resulting from the Tokyo Round of trade negotiations (1973-1979) and binding on a subset of contracting parties, which led to a somewhat fragmented dispute settlement system.¹⁹

Box 2: GATT 1947 - the main legal basis for GATT disputes**Article XXII: Consultation**

1. Each contracting party shall accord sympathetic consideration to, and shall afford adequate opportunity for consultation regarding, such representations as may be made by another contracting party with respect to any matter affecting the operation of this Agreement.
2. The CONTRACTING PARTIES may, at the request of a contracting party, consult with any contracting party or parties in respect of any matter for which it has not been possible to find a satisfactory solution through consultation under paragraph 1.

Article XXIII: Nullification or Impairment

1. If any contracting party should consider that any benefit accruing to it directly or indirectly under this Agreement is being nullified or impaired or that the attainment of any objective of the Agreement is being impeded as the result of (a) the failure of another contracting party to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, or (b) the application by another contracting of any measure, whether or not it conflicts with the provisions of this Agreement, or (c) the existence of any other situation, the contracting party may, with a view to the satisfactory adjustment of the matter, make written representations or proposals to the other contracting party or parties which it considers to be concerned. Any contracting party thus approached shall give sympathetic consideration to the representations or proposals made to it.
2. If no satisfactory adjustment is effected between the contracting parties concerned within a reasonable time, or if the difficulty is of the type described in paragraph 1(c) of this Article, the matter may be referred to the CONTRACTING PARTIES. The CONTRACTING PARTIES shall promptly investigate any matter so referred to them and shall make appropriate recommendations to the contracting parties which they consider to be concerned, or giving a ruling on the matter, as appropriate. The CONTRACTING PARTIES may consult with contracting parties, with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations and with any appropriate inter-governmental organization in cases where they consider such consultation necessary. If the CONTRACTING PARTIES consider that the circumstances are serious enough to justify such action, they may authorize a contracting party or parties to suspend the application to any other contracting party or parties of such concessions or other obligations under this Agreement as they determine to be appropriate in the circumstances. If the application to any contracting party of any concession or other obligation is in fact suspended, that contracting party shall then be free, not later than sixty days after such action is taken, to give written notice to the Executive Secretary to the CONTRACTING PARTIES of its intention to withdraw from this Agreement and such withdrawal shall take effect upon the sixtieth day following the day on which such notice is received by him.

Disputes at that time, as today, began with “consultations” between the disputing parties to attempt to secure a diplomatic resolution of the matter before moving to proceedings of a more adjudicatory nature. These were bilateral meetings where the parties discussed the relevant issues with a view to finding a mutually acceptable solution. Unlike under the unified WTO dispute settlement system governed by the DSU, GATT disputes did not always follow the same procedural steps. For instance, some of the Tokyo Round codes required complainants to exhaust a “conciliation” phase (i.e. a meeting of the relevant Committee where other contracting parties could mediate

in trying to find a solution) before a dispute panel could be formally established (see Box 3 and Box 4).

Today, there is a dedicated WTO body, the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) consisting of all WTO Members, which deals with WTO disputes when a Member requests consultations under the DSU. The request for consultations is circulated to the entire WTO membership, formally initiating a dispute. Each WTO dispute receives a unique title to identify it throughout the process as well as a “DS symbol” and a sequential number to distinguish it and relevant documents from other disputes. As a result,

Box 3: Conciliation procedures in the Tokyo Round codes**Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (also known as the “Tokyo Round Anti-Dumping Code”)***Article 15**Consultation, Conciliation and Dispute Settlement*

[...]

3. If any Party considers that the consultation pursuant to paragraph 2 has failed to achieve a mutually agreed solution and final action has been taken by the administering authorities of the importing country to levy definitive anti-dumping duties or to accept price undertakings, it may refer the matter to the Committee for conciliation. When a provisional measure has a significant impact and the Party

considers the measure was taken contrary to the provisions of paragraph 1 of Article 10 of this Agreement, a Party may also refer such matter to the Committee for conciliation. In cases where matters are referred to the Committee for conciliation, the Committee shall meet within thirty days to review the matter, and, through its good offices, shall encourage the Parties involved to develop a mutually acceptable solution.

4. Parties shall make their best efforts to reach a mutually satisfactory solution throughout the period of conciliation.

Agreement on Interpretation and Application of Articles VI, XVI, and XXIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (also known as the “Tokyo Round Subsidies and Countervailing Duties Code”)*Article 13**Conciliation, dispute settlement and authorized countermeasures*

1. If, in the case of consultations under paragraph 1 of Article 12, a mutually acceptable solution has

not been reached within thirty days of the request for consultations, any signatory party to such consultations may refer the matter to the Committee for conciliation in accordance with the provisions of Part VI.

[...]

the identification of WTO disputes is straightforward and related documents can be easily found.

This was far from being the case for disputes under the GATT 1947. While the procedures followed for disputes in the final period of the GATT 1947, in particular in the 1990s, bear procedural similarities to current WTO dispute settlement proceedings, the further a GATT dispute dates back, the more the procedures varied and the less systematic its records are.


In the early GATT years, for instance, requests for consultations were often handled bilaterally and were not necessarily recorded in writing. Their existence could remain unknown to other contracting parties and the public as such requests were revealed and recorded only in the minutes of a meeting when a delegate made a statement referring to these consultations.²⁰

While requests for consultations were increasingly presented in writing as the GATT dispute settlement system developed, the document containing the request for consultations was not always public, or at least it did not always receive a document symbol that clearly identified it as a request for consultations or linked it to

a specific dispute. Furthermore, the same GATT dispute could receive different titles along the process, making it difficult to track all documents pertaining to a dispute. To address this, this publication has attempted to identify any available documentary record of requests for consultations and to record all titles a GATT dispute might have carried throughout its course.

Another important difference from WTO dispute settlement concerns the types of bodies dealing with GATT disputes. In fact, not all GATT disputes were referred to a dispute settlement “panel”, consisting of a group of independent experts with specific terms of reference. Some GATT disputes were decided upon by working parties (i.e. a committee established to deal with a specific task), the “CONTRACTING PARTIES” acting jointly²¹ or the contracting parties participating in the consultations, whereas some were referred to the GATT Council, the good offices of the GATT Director-General, an arbitrator or a group of customs experts (see Box 5).

In fact, even references to a “panel” varied, from a Panel on Complaints (i.e. a group of representatives from seven contracting parties tasked with solving all complaints

Box 4: Number of GATT disputes, by procedural step, 1948-1995



raised in a specific time-period and following a specific procedure)²², a Panel of Experts and a Panel for Conciliation to an *ad hoc* Panel of Experts. To complicate matters further, GATT contracting parties occasionally established panels, in the broad sense of the term, which did not necessarily deal with actual disputes. In short, during the GATT, not all disputes were settled by panels, and not all panels established were created to settle disputes. While the type of body chosen to adjudicate disputes considerably evolved during the first years of the GATT, contracting parties eventually preferred dispute settlement “panels” composed of three independent experts, which is the type of body that the WTO still uses to date to adjudicate disputes at the first instance.

In almost 50 years, contracting parties subjected a variety of contentious issues to GATT dispute settlement. Professor Hudec exercised judgement to establish whether a complaint was intended to obtain a “legal ruling”, as the

procedures and contracting parties’ actions were not always clearly defined, especially in the early GATT years.²³ Professor Hudec states that he:

“sought to identify those events in GATT history that contained the basic elements of an Article XXIII lawsuit, that is (1) a complaint from one or more individual plaintiffs, (2) directed against actions of a named defendant or defendants, (3) based on a claim that the defendant’s acts affect the GATT legal rights of the complainant, and (4) looking eventually to a ruling or decision by the CONTRACTING PARTIES or the GATT Council indicating those rights. The same elements constitute adjudication under the MTN Codes [i.e. the plurilateral Tokyo Round Agreements].”²⁴

Inspired by Professor Hudec’s approach, this publication identifies as a dispute any GATT proceeding fulfilling the

Box 5: Adjudicating bodies considering GATT disputes, 1948-1995**A. Share of disputes reviewed, by type of adjudicating body****B. Number of disputes reviewed, by type of adjudicating body, by five-year period**

Notes:

* The period only comprises two years.

** The period comprises six years, but no dispute was launched under the GATT 1947 in 1995.

Source: WTO Secretariat.

following cumulative criteria, regardless of the type of adjudicator or the precise procedure followed:

1. a specific complaint made by one or more contracting parties;
2. directed against specific actions, measures or policies of one or more other contracting parties;
3. based on one or more claims that benefits accruing under GATT 1947 (including associated legal instruments) or the plurilateral Tokyo Round Codes had been nullified or impaired or the attainment of an objective had been impeded, including in the form of a general "violation of the General Agreement" without reference to specific provision(s); and
4. containing an unambiguous request for a decision or ruling by an adjudicating body²⁵ on the matter at issue.

While this publication attempts to be complete and comprehensive, it should not be interpreted as a definitive or authoritative account of the disputes under the GATT 1947. This is not only because in some cases different conclusions could be drawn with respect to proceedings that were included in or left out of this publication but also because there may be specific disputes, including additional non-public cases, that may have remained unidentified.

How to count identified GATT disputes?

Each GATT dispute had at least one complainant and one respondent. Disputes with multiple complainants or respondents were counted as a single dispute by the GATT Secretariat. Following the same approach, Professor Hudec counted 207 disputes between 1948 and 1989. Reinhardt followed a different approach, counting each pair of complainant and respondent as a separate dispute. In other words, if a dispute was brought by two complainants (A and B) against one respondent (C), Reinhardt would consider that there had been two different disputes in terms of dyads, i.e. "pairs" of complainant and respondent (A vs C and B vs C). Using this method, Reinhardt counted Professor Hudec's total of 207 as 235 pairs, and concluded that there had been 295 disputes between 1989 and 1994.

While Reinhardt's alternative counting method based on dyads or pairs of complainant-respondent would obviously not affect the 291 disputes that have only one complainant and one respondent, it would make a considerable difference for the remaining 25 disputes with multiple parties (see Box 6). Indeed, the 316 disputes identified in this publication encompass 410 pairs of complainant-respondent when the "European Economic Communities" is considered as a single complainant or respondent. The number could considerably increase with different assumptions, while still involving the same number of underlying proceedings.

Box 6: Frequency of GATT disputes with multiple parties

No. of complainants	No. of defendants	No. of disputes
1	1	291
1	2	1
1	5	1
1	7	2
1	8	1
1	15	1
2	1	10
3	1	4
5	1	2
9	1	1
10	1	1
14	1	1
Total number of disputes		316

Note: The member States of the ECSC, EEC-6, EEC-9, EEC-10 and EEC-12 are counted as one party.

Source: WTO Secretariat.

While recognizing the virtues of alternative methodologies, this publication opted for the method followed by the GATT Secretariat and Professor Hudec. In other words, a GATT dispute with multiple complainants and/or respondents is counted as one dispute, which is generally similar to the WTO's approach to counting disputes with multiple complainants or respondents.

GATT procedures considered not to constitute GATT disputes

As noted, a number of GATT proceedings could be mistaken for disputes but do not meet all of the criteria established by this publication. One such proceeding is "Border Tax Adjustments".²⁶ The Working Party in that proceeding was not composed to resolve a dispute but acted under paragraph 1 of Article XXV of the GATT to clarify certain GATT rules and their interpretation.²⁷

The WTO Appellate Body has cited the relevant Working Party Report several times,²⁸ as it "outlined an approach for analysing 'likeness' that has been followed and developed since by several panels and the Appellate Body"²⁹ and "set out the basic approach for interpreting 'like or similar products' generally in the various provisions of the GATT 1947."³⁰ Importantly, however, the Appellate Body did not reference Border Tax Adjustments as a GATT dispute.

Likewise, two GATT proceedings in the late 1940s involved a general discussion on the application³¹ or interpretation³² of a provision rather than a resolution of a specific dispute. In 1950, the CONTRACTING PARTIES listed these procedures under "Interpretations and Rulings" instead of "Complaints and Settlements".³³ Also in the late 1940s, a Working Party Report followed a renegotiation of concessions under Article XXVIII instead of the submission of a formal complaint.³⁴ This Working Party was composed to report on a particular renegotiation and recommended a method to incorporate the results in Cuba's schedule of concessions.³⁵

In 1950, following the request of Belgium,³⁶ the meeting agenda of the CONTRACTING PARTIES included the "Examination under the Procedures provided in Article XXIII, of Actual Cases of Quantitative Restrictions applied for Protective Purposes".³⁷ Because it referred to Article XXIII of the GATT 1947, the matter could have been considered as a GATT dispute. However, the contracting party requesting the inclusion of the item on the agenda did not "specify the action or actions on the part of the contracting party or parties against which the complaint was made",³⁸ nor against which contracting party it was made.³⁹

Cases brought under Article XIX⁴⁰ of the GATT 1947 involved safeguard measures that were not reported as disputes by the GATT Secretariat.⁴¹ Some of these matters eventually evolved into renegotiations under Article XXVIII of the GATT 1947, one of which was first presented by Denmark and Sweden as a possible future

dispute; however, it did not materialise as such.⁴² Another Article XXVIII renegotiation was initiated by the EEC⁴³ following a panel report on the same matter.⁴⁴

In 1963, the United States and the European Economic Community requested an advisory opinion under Article XXIV:6 of the GATT 1947 "to minimize the differences between them"⁴⁵ on import restrictions.⁴⁶ Although a panel was requested and established, and a panel report issued, the panel itself "recognized that the matter before it fell to be dealt within the context of Article XXIV:6 negotiations".⁴⁷ Various GATT Secretariat notes emphasised that "[t]his case was not raised as a dispute settlement issue but as a request for an advisory opinion"⁴⁸ and that "[a]lthough not a complaint, this item [was] included under Article XXIII:2 because it involved conciliation".⁴⁹

Newly identified GATT disputes

As noted, the research underlying this publication has led to the identification of a number of previously unidentified GATT disputes.

One such dispute dates back to 1966 and involves an LDC complainant.⁵⁰ Malawi requested consultations with the United States under Articles XXII:1⁵¹ and XXII:2⁵² of the GATT 1947 concerning US export subsidies relating to unmanufactured tobacco. Malawi's request led to two reports, one report on consultations under Article XXII:1⁵³ and a Working Party Report on Article XXII:2 consultations.⁵⁴

Other disputes newly identified by this publication resulted from Article XXII consultations following the establishment of the European Economic Community (EEC) as of 1 January 1958, given that the six original EEC member States were all GATT contracting parties at the time with their own schedules of commitments and were seeking to establish a common schedule. The CONTRACTING PARTIES agreed to start Article XXII consultations to deal with specific substantive and procedural problems, mainly regarding the effect of the provisions of the Rome Treaty and the EEC joint goods schedule on the trade of certain commodities. The Intersessional Committee⁵⁵ agreed on dedicated procedures for these consultations.⁵⁶

The disputes were initiated by contracting parties in 1958 against the six member States of the EEC, relating both to the proposed tariff and to the association of certain overseas territories with regards to sugar,⁵⁷ unmanufactured tobacco,⁵⁸ tea,⁵⁹ bananas⁶⁰ and cocoa.⁶¹ The consultations did not result in satisfactory results for the non-EEC participants. In the end, the matter was addressed in Article XXIV:6 negotiations.

The research undertaken for this publication also revealed the existence of one formerly unidentified non-public GATT dispute. A reference to India's complaint against Japan (leather) (1980) is only found as a comment in the

panel report of a separate dispute that dealt with the same measure, but with a different complainant. Documentation of the dispute was not made public and is only available in hard copy in the WTO Archives. This publication shares only the limited publicly available information on this dispute.⁶²

GATT disputes and geopolitical developments

The GATT decades witnessed several geopolitical events. Some of these also led to GATT disputes, combining political and trade matters, including two disputes related to trade embargos.

"Peru's Prohibition of Imports from Czechoslovakia" (1954)⁶³ is an example of one of these disputes. In 1953, Peru issued a decree which prohibited imports from "Albania, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, USSR and the People's Republic of China"⁶⁴ due to unfavourable commercial exchanges, because the prices of products manufactured in those countries could not "be regarded as normal taking account of the various factors [...] involved in their production and foreign trade"⁶⁵ and further, as imports from those countries were "competing harmfully with the national industries of Peru".⁶⁶

In 1954, Czechoslovakia requested under GATT Article XXIII that Peru revoke its decree.⁶⁷ In the ensuing dispute, the complainant succeeded in having the Peruvian decree abrogated and the item was removed from the agenda of the CONTRACTING PARTIES' meeting after the request for consultations.⁶⁸

The second dispute in this geopolitical category was "United States – Trade Measures Affecting Nicaragua" (1985).⁶⁹ Nicaragua requested consultations with the United States under Article XXII:1 concerning the measures applied by the United States pursuant to the Executive Order Prohibiting Trade and Certain Other Transactions involving Nicaragua.⁷⁰ A panel was established with limited terms of reference.

In its report "[t]he Panel recognized that the General Agreement protected each contracting party's essential security interests through Article XXI and that the General Agreement's purpose was therefore not to make contracting parties forego their essential security interests for the sake of these aims. However, the Panel considered that the GATT could not achieve its basic aims unless each contracting party, whenever it made use of its rights under Article XXI, carefully weighed its security needs against the need to maintain stable trade relations."⁷¹

Nicaragua then circulated a communication noting that "what also troubles Nicaragua is that the Panel did not make any concrete recommendation and, in particular, that it did not recommend the withdrawal of the embargo or the need to compensate Nicaragua for the damage caused

by it. [...] The Panel recommends that the Contracting Parties resolve these questions in the framework of the Decision concerning Article XXI, adopted on 30 November 1982[...]."⁷²

The embargo remained until 1990, and so did the item on the agenda, until Nicaragua communicated that "[a]s was publicly announced on 13 March [1990], President Bush lifted the embargo and other economic measures which the United States has applied to Nicaragua since May 1985."⁷³

In addition to the above dispute proceedings, the GATT also addressed major geopolitical events outside of dispute settlement. For example, in 1982 Argentina raised matters relating to its conflict with the United Kingdom at that time.⁷⁴ Argentina requested the "Director-General of the GATT to use his good offices" to resolve the matter of import suspension from Australia, Canada and the EEC, while reserving its right to take action under conciliation and dispute procedures.⁷⁵

The GATT Council reviewed the request and agreed that the Chairman of the Council could hold informal consultations with the parties.⁷⁶ These were held but they did "not result in conclusions"⁷⁷ to suggest how the matter could be resolved. It was in the context of the discussions between contracting parties around this matter⁷⁸ that the Decision concerning Article XXI of the General Agreement was adopted by the CONTRACTING PARTIES.⁷⁹

Likewise, the proceeding entitled "United States – Possible Suspension of Most-Favoured Nation Treatment to Poland"⁸⁰ was presented under the special consultation procedure in Poland's Protocol of Accession (similar to an accelerated GATT Article XXVIII negotiation) after the United States suspended Poland's most-favoured-nation tariff treatment following the Polish government's reaction to the rise of the Solidarity movement.⁸¹

Main aspects of GATT disputes

Legal basis

As stated earlier, the GATT 1947 contained two provisions on dispute settlement: Article XXII (Consultation) and Article XXIII (Nullification or Impairment), which formed the legal basis for the resolution of GATT disputes, and continue to be relevant in the WTO context. In addition to these provisions, the CONTRACTING PARTIES adopted various practices and decisions on dispute settlement procedures.

Plurilateral Tokyo Round codes had their own dedicated provisions on dispute settlement. These separate procedures account for approximately one-quarter of the

disputes and became increasingly important in the 1990s.⁸² Volume 2 of this publication compiles the original documents relating to GATT dispute settlement procedures.

Typically, the contracting party challenging a measure would cite the relevant provision for requesting the initiation of dispute proceedings. However, this was not always the case. When no provision was cited, this publication has relied on the language used by the contracting parties in the ensuing procedure to see whether the legal basis could be determined, including whether it corresponded to a dispute settlement provision (see Box 7).

When GATT contracting parties took a decision to establish a “panel” in the broader sense of the term – that is, as a group mandated to discuss an issue more generally, this publication did not classify it as a GATT dispute. Most of these cases dealt with quantitative restrictions,⁸³ subsidies,⁸⁴ balance of payment matters,⁸⁵ safeguards,⁸⁶ customs unions⁸⁷ or renegotiations of schedules of concessions,⁸⁸ and typically involved consultations

under relevant provisions of the GATT 1947 other than Articles XXII or XXIII.

The participants

Only GATT contracting parties could have recourse to the procedures in Articles XXII and XXIII of the GATT 1947, and could therefore be parties to a GATT dispute. Disputes under the Tokyo Round codes could take place only between signatories to these agreements, i.e. a subset of GATT contracting parties.

In the interest of accuracy, this publication has maintained the historical names of contracting parties at the time of each dispute, e.g. Ceylon, Czechoslovakia, Hong Kong, the Federal Republic of Germany, Yugoslavia, or the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland. Similarly, the European Economic Communities (EEC) was initially composed of six member States, and subsequently enlarged to 9, 10 and 12 until the entry into force of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization. Accordingly,


Box 7: Legal basis cited by complainants

A. Legal basis cited by complainants, by agreement



* The “Other” includes the Multi-Fibre Arrangement.

Note: The abbreviations “GP”, “ILP”, “TBT”, “TCA”, “CVD” are references to “Government Procurement”, “Import Licensing Procedures”, “Technical Barriers to Trade”, “Trade in Civil Aircraft”, “Countervailing Duties” and respectively.

Box 7: Legal basis cited by complainants (continued)**B. Legal basis cited by complainants, by agreement and five-year period****Notes:**

* The period only comprises two years.

** The period comprises six years, but no dispute was launched under the GATT 1947 in 1995.

Source: WTO Secretariat.

this publication makes reference to EEC-6, EEC-9, EEC-10 or EEC-12, and it also refers to individual EEC member States (e.g. France, Italy, etc.) for cases where they acted in their own capacity.

Counting the actions of the different enlargement phases of the European Economic Communities individually, a total of 52 contracting parties made requests for consultations. However, five complainants were responsible for practically two-thirds of the requests for consultations, with the United States (93 requests), the EEC (50 requests in total), Canada (32 requests), Australia (19 requests) and Brazil (16 requests) being the most active (see Box 8). In terms of the regional representation of complainants, 34.9 per cent were from North America,⁸⁹ 32.5 per cent from Europe, 15.1 per cent from Latin America, 9.1 per cent from Asia,⁹⁰ 6.5 per cent from Oceania, 1.1 per cent from Africa and 0.8 per cent from the Caribbean.

There is also a high degree of concentration as regards respondents, with the top five accounting for almost three-quarters of the disputes: the United States (91 disputes), the EEC (78 disputes), Japan (23 disputes), Canada


(19 disputes) and France (individually) (16 disputes). (See Box 8). In terms of the regional representation of respondents, 52 per cent were from Europe, 31.9 per cent from North America, 9.3 per cent from Asia, 4.5 per cent from Latin America, 1.7 per cent from Oceania and 0.6 per cent from the Caribbean. There was no GATT dispute brought against an African country.

During almost five decades of GATT disputes, third-party participation evolved considerably. In early GATT disputes, non-disputing contracting parties would sometimes orally express their position with regard to the matter at issue. Later, third-party participation became increasingly visible in the written records. Australia (26), Canada (23), Brazil (13), the EEC (13), Japan (12), the United States (12), India (9) and New Zealand (9) were the most frequent third parties overall.

Box 8 illustrates the most frequent users of the GATT dispute settlement system, in terms of pairs of complainants and respondents. Box 9 presents the most frequent users overall, including as third parties.


Box 9: Most frequent users of the GATT dispute settlement system, 1948-1995

A. Most frequent complainants




* EEC-6=1; EEC-9=2; EEC-10=14; EEC-12=33

B. Most frequent respondents



* EEC-6 = 11; EEC-9 =12; EEC-10 = 17; EEC-12= 38

C. Most frequent third parties



* EEC-10 = 2; EEC-12 = 11

Source: WTO Secretariat.

“Adjudicator”

As GATT dispute settlement evolved, GATT disputes were referred to various types of “adjudicating” bodies along the years.⁹¹ Several approaches were tested by GATT contracting parties, in particular during the first decade of GATT dispute settlement.

As explained above, for a complaint to be considered as the initiation of a GATT dispute, it would have to entail, among other things, at least the intent to eventually refer the matter at issue for adjudication. In some cases, however, the CONTRACTING PARTIES did not reach agreement to forward the matter to such a body, whereas in other cases the disputing parties found a mutually agreed solution before the matter was actually referred to adjudication.

In the initial years of GATT dispute settlement, matters were referred to working parties composed of a group of five to seven contracting party representatives, with one representative acting as the Chairperson. These initial working parties also included representatives of the disputing parties.⁹² Later, in the 1950s, contracting parties began to refer disputes to panels, which took over the adjudicating role almost entirely after the 1970s. Panels were composed of representatives of contracting – but not the disputing – parties as well as occasionally non-governmental representatives, such as academics or former judges in contracting parties’ domestic jurisdictions.

There were different types of GATT dispute panels over the decades, and the term “panel” was sometimes used to describe groups tasked with functions other than settling a dispute. Two disputes were referred to “panels for conciliation”,⁹³ which were similar to regular panels but with three to four members.

In one case, the respondent, the United Kingdom had been unable to agree that the request for consultations by the Netherlands satisfied relevant requirements, and requested a prompt determination of the matter by the CONTRACTING PARTIES,⁹⁴ which then appointed an *ad hoc* panel of experts,⁹⁵ composed of representatives of contracting parties, instead of a regular panel. This followed a prior situation in which a dispute between Germany and Greece had been referred to a group of customs experts,⁹⁶ when the Chairman of the CONTRACTING PARTIES considered that “it did not appear necessary to appoint a panel to examine [the] complaint but [...] proposed to convene a meeting of customs experts which would discuss the matter with representatives for [both parties]”, noting that “[a]ny delegation with particular interest could participate in the discussions.”⁹⁷

In some cases, especially in the 1940s and 1950s, when contracting parties could not reach consensus to set up a working party or a panel, the matter was resolved directly by the CONTRACTING PARTIES, i.e. all GATT contracting parties acting jointly. In one case, the dispute remained with

the GATT Council, which however did not need to resolve it in light of the disputing parties’ agreement.⁹⁸

Furthermore, the Decision of 5 April 1966 on Procedures under Article XXIII⁹⁹ included the possibility to request the “good offices of the Director-General of the GATT” acting *ex officio* in cases of conciliation and dispute settlement where the complainant was a developing country. This 1966 decision was invoked and the request for good offices of the Director-General was accepted in one case¹⁰⁰ between Chile and the EEC-9, after bilateral consultations had not arrived at a mutually satisfactory solution.

Other requests for good offices under the 1966 Decision did not end up being settled through the good offices of the GATT Director-General. Two of these requests were resolved through successful bilateral consultations, and in one of these two disputes the request for good offices was formally withdrawn.¹⁰¹ In a third dispute, following the request for good offices, the complainants (Canada, EEC-12 and Mexico) subsequently agreed with the respondent (the United States) to pursue the matter before a panel,¹⁰² whereas in a fourth dispute (Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Venezuela as complainants, and the EEC as respondent) the matter was referred to a panel after the Director-General had reported to the GATT Council that his good offices had not led to a satisfactory solution.¹⁰³


The Understanding Regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979¹⁰⁴ reaffirmed the 1966 Decision. The Understanding served as the legal basis for a fifth request for the good offices of the GATT Director-General with a view to conciliation between Brazil and the United States,¹⁰⁵ which however was not further pursued.

In addition, the good offices of the GATT Director-General were sometimes requested as an informal means to resolve a dispute between contracting parties. In one case, after such a request from the United States as the complainant, the GATT Council agreed to its Chairman holding “informing consultations” “with a view to arriving at some suggestions as to how this matter might be resolved”,¹⁰⁶ which did not however result in conclusions “that could lead to suggestions on how the matter could be resolved”.¹⁰⁷ In another case, the request for good offices was addressed to the Chairman of the Tokyo Round Committee on Technical Barriers to Trade after the request of the complainant for a technical expert group was not agreed upon by the respondent.¹⁰⁸


Finally, there was one dispute¹⁰⁹ where the parties jointly requested an arbitrator under the 1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures¹¹⁰ to respond to interpretive questions submitted by the parties.¹¹¹

Box 10: Origin of the adjudicators in GATT disputes*, 1948-1995

A. GATT contracting parties most frequently represented



B. Most frequently appointed panelists, by gender*



Name	Contract. Party	No. of panels
Crawford Falconer	New Zealand	7
Thomas Cottier	Switzerland	6
Pierre Pescatore	Luxembourg	5
Christer Manhusen	Sweden	5
Alejandro de la Peña	Mexico	4
Allan R. Prest	United Kingdom	4
A. Schnebli	Switzerland	4
B. Eberhard	Switzerland	4
Darry Salim	Indonesia	4
Elvezio Contestabile	Switzerland	4
Francesco Forte	Italy	4
Hardeep Puri	India	4
Johannes N. Feij	Netherlands	4
L. J. Mariadason	Sri Lanka	4
Luzius Wasescha	Switzerland	4
Meinhard Hilf	Germany	4
Michael D. Cartland	Hong Kong	4
Rudolf Ramsauer	Switzerland	4
S. L. Portella de Aguiar	Brazil	4
T. Gabrielsson	Sweden	4
William J. Falconer	New Zealand	4

Name	Contract. Party	No. of panels
Yvonne Choi	Hong Kong	4
Nimal L. Breckenridge	Sri Lanka	2
Margaret Liang	Singapore	2
Annette Auguste	Trinidad & Tobago	1
Barbara Schneeberger	Switzerland	1
Carmen-Luz Guarda	Chile	1
Gloria Peña	Chile	1
Jo Tyndall	New Zealand	1
Naoko Saiki	Japan	1

* Only includes arbitrations, panels and the Panel on Complaints. Does not include working parties, panels of experts and disputes referred to GATT CONTRACTING PARTIES. The number of disputes is based on the frequency of GD numbers, which may overestimate the number of disputes, i.e. because two or more of them were adjudicated by the same panel. This was the case, for example, in EEC - Restrictions on imports of Apples (GD/288 and GD/293), and the "DISC" related cases (GD/83, GD/85, GD/86, GD/87).

Source: WTO Secretariat.

Box 5 above illustrates the various types of adjudicating bodies in GATT disputes, and the extent to which they were used, with the major shift being the transition from Working Parties in the early GATT disputes to almost only panels after 1980. Box 10 shows the contracting parties most frequently involved in GATT adjudicating bodies as well as the origin and the gender of the most frequently appointed GATT panellists.

Types of products at issue

Disputes involved a wide range of products, including cereals, meat and dairy products, fruit, tobacco products, hides and skins, textiles and apparel, petroleum and gasoline, aircraft, semiconductors, videocassette players, and even seal pups and Antarctic research vessels.

Although the distinction between agricultural and non-agricultural products was introduced only as a result of the Uruguay Round of trade negotiations (1986-1994), the products at issue in GATT disputes can also be classified according to the definition of agricultural products in


Annex 1 of the Agreement on Agriculture. On this basis, the proportion of GATT disputes involving agricultural products (43.0 per cent of the disputes) was roughly the same as those involving non-agricultural products (42.7 per cent). A total of 11.4 per cent of the cases did not involve a specific product and 2.9 per cent involved both agricultural and non-agricultural products.


An analysis of the disputes over time reveals that most of the cases that took place during the final years of the GATT involved non-agricultural products (see Box 11). This is largely because of an increased number of disputes brought under the Tokyo Round codes dealing with these products, i.e. 55 of 77 disputes under these codes involved non-agricultural products.

Length of reports

A total of 136 GATT dispute reports were issued between 1948 and 1995. As the types and complexity of dispute settlement procedures increased, so did the length of the reports. The first GATT dispute settlement reports were

Box 11: Types of products subject to a GATT dispute, 1948-1995



Box 11: Types of products subject to a GATT dispute, 1948-1995 (continued)**B. Number of disputes, by type of product over five-year periods**


** The period only comprises two years.

*** The period comprises six years, but no dispute was launched under the GATT 1947 in 1995.

Source: WTO Secretariat.

usually very short and directly addressed the matter at issue without exhaustively summarizing the arguments by the parties and the evidentiary elements. During the 1950s, some reports were limited to a single page.¹¹²

As the procedures became increasingly legalistic, the length of the reports, including the number of pages containing legal reasoning, also began to grow. Yet, apart from the very first years of GATT disputes, on average the

Box 12: Average length of GATT dispute reports, over five-year periods*, 1948-1995

* Refers to the number of pages in the original documents. As the calculation was not adjusted to take account of different formats and fonts, it should only be considered a rough benchmark.

** The period only comprises two years.

*** The period comprises six years, but no dispute was launched under the GATT 1947 in 1995.

Source: WTO Secretariat.

pages of legal reasoning did not exceed half of the length of the reports (see Box 12). With a total of 218 pages (236 pages with annexes), including 84 pages of legal reasoning, the panel report on “United States - Imposition of Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway” is the longest GATT dispute report (ADP/87, GD/251).

Adoption of reports

Unlike under the WTO's dispute settlement system, GATT dispute settlement reports had to be adopted by positive consensus, which in practice entailed the agreement of the respondent.¹¹³ Despite this requirement, 96 of the 136 GATT dispute settlement reports issued were adopted by consensus (i.e. approximately 71 per cent) and 40 remained unadopted. The latter figure does not include one report that was submitted to the parties but the proceedings were subsequently suspended without the report being issued.¹¹⁴

There were various reasons for a report to remain unadopted, and these reasons were not limited to a situation where the respondent blocked its adoption (28 disputes). There were nine disputes in which the report was not considered for adoption, two in which the Council “took note” of the report without adopting it, and one in which there are two possible interpretations as to whether it was adopted.¹¹⁵

As Box 13 shows, the rate of adoption varies considerably depending on the agreement under which the dispute in question was brought. With 74 per cent, the highest rate of


adoption involved disputes under the GATT 1947, whereas only 43 per cent of the reports under the Tokyo Round Code on Anti-dumping were adopted.

The overall rate of adoption fluctuated considerably over time. The rate of adopted reports was highest during the 1960-1964 and the 1970-1974 periods, when all reports issued were adopted. During other five-year periods a much lower share of reports was adopted. The two lowest adoption rates were in the 1955-1959 period, when only two out of eleven, i.e. 18 per cent of reports were adopted, and in the final five years of the GATT, 1990-1995, when only 11 out of 27, i.e. 41 per cent of GATT dispute settlement reports, were adopted.


In terms of the parties to the 96 adopted reports, the top three complainants were the United States with 34 disputes, followed by Canada and the EEC, each with 13 disputes. In terms of respondents in the context of the 96 adopted GATT reports, the most frequent were the United States with 27 disputes, followed by the EEC with 16 disputes, and Canada and Japan with ten disputes each.

The distribution of parties to the 40 unadopted reports is slightly different. The top complainants were the United States with eight disputes, followed by the EEC and the United Kingdom with six and five disputes respectively. The most frequent respondents in these unadopted reports were the EEC in 19 disputes overall, followed by the United States with 13 disputes, and Canada and the Federal Republic of Germany with two disputes each (see Box 14).


Box 13: Rate of adoption of GATT dispute settlement reports, 1948-1995




Box 13: Rate of adoption of GATT dispute settlement reports, 1948-1995 (continued)



Box 14: Main parties in the 136 GATT dispute settlement reports, by adoption status



Box 14: Main parties in the 136 GATT dispute settlement reports, by adoption status (continued)**B. Main parties to the 40 disputes with unadopted reports**

*EEC as complainant: EEC-10 = 1; EEC-12 = 5

**EEC as respondent: EEC-6 = 7; EEC-9 = 1; EEC-10 = 3; EEC-12 = 8

Source: WTO Secretariat.

Conclusions

While a complete picture of each and every aspect of all of the disputes that took place under the GATT 1947 remains elusive, it is clear that GATT dispute settlement was more prolific than formerly acknowledged both in terms of the number and variety of disputes brought by the contracting parties as well as the types of procedures followed. This publication has identified 316 disputes for the period 1948-1995, far exceeding the numbers identified in previous studies, such as Professor Hudec's 1993 publication, which counted 207 disputes for the period 1948-1989 with a similar counting method as the one used by this publication.

Over almost five decades of the GATT 1947's provisional application, the contracting parties adapted to the changing nature of matters brought to be resolved by the GATT dispute settlement system. This included the development of separate procedures under the Tokyo Round plurilateral codes. While the procedures governing disputes in the final period of the GATT 1947, in particular in the 1990s, bear similarities to current WTO dispute settlement proceedings, the further a GATT dispute dates back, the more the procedures varied.

For example, the resolution of GATT disputes was referred to various types of "adjudicating" bodies along the years,

including working parties, panels, panels of conciliation, good offices of the Director-General of the GATT acting *ex officio* and an arbitrator. Some were resolved directly by the CONTRACTING PARTIES, i.e. GATT contracting parties acting jointly. The adjudicators of these disputes were overwhelmingly from Switzerland, New Zealand, Hong Kong, Sweden, Finland and Canada.

The 316 disputes under the GATT and the Tokyo Round codes resulted in 136 dispute settlement reports, of which 96 were adopted by consensus (i.e. approximately 71 per cent of the reports). The reasons for 40 unadopted reports were not limited to a situation where the respondent blocked its adoption. Moreover, the rate of adoption varies considerably depending on the agreement under which the dispute in question was brought: 74 per cent, the highest rate of adoption, involved disputes under the GATT 1947 whereas only 43 per cent of the reports concerning the Tokyo Round Code on Anti-dumping were adopted.

The experience gained in the decades of GATT dispute settlement informed and shaped the Uruguay Round negotiations, leading to the WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding. It is hoped that the factual information compiled in this publication will shed light on the specific characteristics of GATT dispute settlement and how these differ from the strengthened WTO dispute settlement system of today.

Bibliography

Busch, Marc L. and Reinhardt, Eric (2000), *Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: Early Settlement in GATT/WTO Disputes*, Fordham International Law Journal, Volume 24, Issue 1, Article 9.

Busch, Marc L. and Reinhardt, Eric R. (2003), *Developing Countries and General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade/World Trade Organization Dispute Settlement*, Journal of World Trade, Volume 37, Issue 4, pp. 719-735.

Busch, Marc L. and Reinhardt, Eric R. (2003), *The Evolution of GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement*, in John M. Curtis and Dan Ciuriak (editors), *Trade Policy Research 2003*, Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Ottawa.

Davey, William J. (1987), *Dispute Settlement in GATT*, Fordham International Law Journal, Volume 11, Issue 1, Article 2.

Hudec, Robert E. (1993), *Enforcing International Trade Law: The Evolution of the Modern GATT Legal System*, Butterworth Legal Publishers, London.

Irwin, Douglas A., Mavroidis, Petros C. and Sykes, Alan O. (2008), *The Genesis of the GATT*, Cambridge University Press for the American Law Institute, pp. xiv, 314.

Maggi, Giovanni and Steiger, Robert W. (2013), *Trade Disputes and Settlement, Online Data Appendix*, November: University of Wisconsin Manuscript.

Petersmann, Ernst-Ulrich (1997), *The GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement System – International Law, International Organizations and Dispute Settlement*, Kluwer Law International Ltd, London.

Reinhardt, Eric R. (1996), *Posturing Parliaments: Ratification, Uncertainty, and International Bargaining*, Chapter 4, Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, New York.

Reinhardt, Eric R. (2001), *Adjudication without Enforcement in GATT Disputes*, Journal of Conflict Resolution, 45:2, April, 174-195.

Reitz, Curtis (1996), *Enforcement of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade*, University of Pennsylvania Law School, Journal of International Law, Volume 17, Issue 2, p. 555.

Santana, Roy and Jackson, Lee-Ann, (2012) *Identifying Non-Tariff Barriers: Evolution of Multilateral Instruments and Evidence from the Disputes (1948-2011)*, World Trade Review, 11:3, pp. 462–478.

WTO Legal Affairs Division and Rules Division, and the Appellate Body, *A Handbook on the WTO Dispute Settlement System*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2017.

WTO website, *Dispute Settlement Training Module: Chapter 2 – Historic Development of the WTO Dispute Settlement System*, https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/dispu_settlement_cbt_e/c2s1p1_e.htm (accessed on 31 May 2018).

Endnotes

- 1 For a recent account of the GATT and WTO dispute settlement system through interviews and personal experiences, see *A History of Law and Lawyers in the GATT/WTO, The Development of the Rule of Law in the Multilateral Trading System*, Gabrielle Marceau (ed.), Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- 2 Transitional Co-Existence of the GATT 1947 and the WTO Agreement, Decision of 8 December 1994 adopted by the Preparatory Committee for the WTO and the CONTRACTING PARTIES to GATT 1947, GATT document PC/12 and L/7583 of 13 December 1994.
- 3 This publication uses the terms “adjudicator” and “adjudicating” body without any suggestion of a *stricto sensu* “judicial” activity conducted by the body involved in settling relevant GATT disputes.
- 4 WTO dispute “Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II” (DS8, DS10, DS11). Appellate Body Report WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, p. 14.
- 5 WTO dispute “Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II” (DS8, DS10, DS11). Appellate Body Report WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, p. 15.
- 6 For example, in some cases, contracting parties did not request the settlement of a dispute, but rather the establishment of a Working Group to provide an informed opinion on the interpretation of a specific provision.
- 7 Malawi, in “United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco” (GD/75).
- 8 “Indian complaint against Japan (leather) (1980)” (GD/112): successful bilateral consultations and withdrawal of request for conciliation procedures notified to the Director-General of the GATT on 8 September 1980. See GATT document L/5623 of 2 March 1984, “Panel on Japanese Measures on Import of Leather”, Report of the Panel, para. 38 (iii).
- 9 Group of Negotiations on Goods (GATT), GATT Dispute Settlement System, Negotiating Group on Dispute Settlement, Note by the Secretariat, Revision, GATT document MTN.GNG/NG13/W/4/Rev.1 of 10 November 1989. This Secretariat Note was prepared following a request from the Negotiating Group on Dispute Settlement to the GATT Secretariat “to prepare a factual background paper in order to assist the Group in its analytical assessment of the functioning of the dispute settlement process” (MTN.GNG/NG13/W/4/Rev.1, p. 1). The Note compiled procedural texts, internal working procedures adopted by panels and complaints under the GATT and the plurilateral Tokyo Round codes, as well as an assessment of the proceedings.
- 10 Hudec, Robert E. (1993), *Enforcing International Trade Law: The Evolution of the Modern GATT Legal System*, Butterworth Legal Publishers, London, pp. 273 and 369.
- 11 Reinhardt, Eric R. (1996), *Posturing Parliaments: Ratification, Uncertainty, and International Bargaining*, Chapter 4, Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, New York.
- 12 Santana, Roy and Jackson, Lee-Ann (2012), *Identifying Non-Tariff Barriers: Evolution of Multilateral Instruments and Evidence from the Disputes (1948-2011)*, *World Trade Review*, 11:3, pp. 462–478.
- 13 Website that provides access to digitised GATT official documents, through a cooperative effort between the WTO and the Stanford University Libraries & Academic Information Resources: <https://exhibits.stanford.edu/gatt>.
- 14 https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/gattdocs_e.htm.
- 15 <https://exhibits.stanford.edu/gatt>.
- 16 Group of Negotiations on Goods (GATT), GATT Dispute Settlement System, Negotiating Group on Dispute Settlement, Note by the Secretariat, Revision, GATT document MTN.GNG/NG13/W/4/Rev.1 of 10 November 1989. This Secretariat Note was prepared following a request from the Negotiating Group on Dispute Settlement to the GATT Secretariat “to prepare a factual background paper in order to assist the Group in its analytical assessment of the functioning of the dispute settlement process” (document MTN.GNG/NG13/W/4/Rev.1, p. 1). The Note compiled procedural texts, internal working procedures adopted by panels and complaints under the GATT and the plurilateral Tokyo Round codes, as well as an assessment of applicable proceedings.
- 17 Group of Negotiations on Goods (GATT), GATT Dispute Settlement System, Negotiating Group on Dispute Settlement, Note by the Secretariat, Revision, GATT document MTN.GNG/NG13/W/4/Rev.1 of 10 November 1989, p. 4.
- 18 Hudec, Robert E. (1993), *Enforcing International Trade Law: The Evolution of the Modern GATT Legal System*, Butterworth Legal Publishers, London, p. 369.
- 19 See GATT Disputes: 1948–1995, Volume 2 – Dispute Settlement Procedures.
- 20 See, for example, “Indian complaint against Japan (leather)” (GD/112). See GATT document L/5623 of 2 March 1984, “Panel on Japanese Measures on Import of Leather” (GD/101), Report of the Panel, para. 38 (iii).
- 21 According to Article XXV:1 of the GATT 1947 (Joint Action by the Contracting Parties), “[w]herever reference is made in this Agreement to the contracting parties acting jointly they are designated as the CONTRACTING PARTIES.” With the entry into force of the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, references made to the CONTRACTING PARTIES acting jointly are deemed to be references to the WTO or to the WTO Ministerial Conference, respectively. See paragraph 2(b) of the GATT 1994.
- 22 In 1952, a “Panel on Complaints” was established to consider, in consultation with the parties and third parties, “complaints referred to the CONTRACTING PARTIES under Article XXIII, and such other complaints as the CONTRACTING PARTIES may expressly refer to the Panel, and to submit findings and recommendations to the CONTRACTING PARTIES”. The terms of reference also provided that the Panel on Complaints should follow a specific procedure which included affording an opportunity to the parties to present their arguments, including other contracting parties “with an interest in any matter in discussion”, and to prepare a draft report that would be considered by the parties before submitting it to the CONTRACTING PARTIES. See GATT document W.7/20 of 14 October 1952.
- 23 Hudec, Robert E. (1993), *Enforcing International Trade Law: The Evolution of the Modern GATT Legal System*, Butterworth Legal Publishers, London, p. 369.
- 24 Ibid.
- 25 This publication uses the terms “adjudicator” and “adjudicating” body without any suggestion of a *stricto sensu* “judicial” activity conducted by the body involved in settling relevant GATT disputes.
- 26 “Border Tax Adjustments” (1968), requested by the United States.
- 27 “Border tax Adjustments, Proposed Terms of Reference for Working Party”, GATT document C/W/124 of 28 March 1968; and Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 27-28 March 1968, GATT document C/M/46 of 5 April 1968.

- 28 "Report of the Working Party on Border Tax Adjustments", GATT document L/3464 of 20 November 1970, BISD 18S/97, adopted on 2 December 1970 (see GATT document C/M/65 of 18 December 1970).
- 29 WTO dispute "EC – Asbestos" (DS135). Appellate Body Report WT/DS135/AB/R, para. 101.
- 30 WTO dispute "Japan – Alcoholic Beverages II" (DS8, DS10, DS11). Appellate Body Report WT/DS8/AB/R, WT/DS10/AB/R, WT/DS11/AB/R, p. 20.
- 31 "Request of the Netherlands Government regarding Consular Taxes imposed by the Cuban Government", GATT document GATT/CP.2/9 of 19 July 1948.
- 32 "Application of Article I:1 to Rebates on Internal Taxes (Tax Rebates on Exports)", GATT document GATT/CP.2/SR.11 of 24 August 1948.
- 33 CONTRACTING PARTIES, List of Decisions, Resolutions and Declarations of the CONTRACTING PARTIES, including Rulings, Settlement of Disputes, etc., GATT document GATT/CP/76 of 15 July 1950, p. 14.
- 34 "Report of Working Party 8 on Cuban Textiles" (1949), complainant: United States.
- 35 "Report of Working Party "B" on Schedules", GATT document GATT/CP.5/45 of 12 December 1950.
- 36 GATT Document GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.1 of 7 October 1950.
- 37 CONTRACTING PARTIES – Fifth Session – Summary Record of the First Meeting held on 2 November 1950, GATT document GATT/CP.5/SR.1 of 6 November 1950. Adoption of the Agenda, GATT document GATT/CP.5/Rev.2.
- 38 CONTRACTING PARTIES, Fifth Session, Summary Record of the First Meeting held on 2 November 1950, Adoption of the Agenda (GATT/CP.5/Rev.2), GATT document GATT/CP.5/SR.1 of 6 November 1950.
- 39 The request for inclusion of the agenda item follows a prior dispute with the same title: "Examination, under the Procedures provided in Article XXIII, of Actual Cases of Quantitative Restrictions applied for Protective Purposes" (proposed by Belgium) (1950), requests for consultations in GATT documents GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.1 of 7 October 1950 and GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.2 of 2 November 1950.
- 40 "Article XIX – Increase in the United States Duty on Dried Figs", GATT document SR.7/15. The United States increased a specific duty, which affected Greece, Italy and Turkey. Turkey notified retaliatory action under Article XIX. Following negotiations, the United States made compensatory reductions of other duties. See also "Canada – Article XIX action on boneless beef", GATT documents L/7219, L/7219/Add.1, and C/M/264, item 20.
- 41 Background Note by the Secretariat, Group of Negotiations on Goods (GATT), Negotiating Group on Safeguards, Drafting History of Article XIX and its Place in GATT, GATT document MTN.GNG/NG9/W/7 of 16 September 1987: reported as Article XIX Action.
- 42 "United States Action under Article XIX – Duty on Spring Clothespins" (1957), complainants: Denmark and Sweden. See also "United Kingdom Waivers – Application in Respect of Customs Duties on Bananas" (1961), complainant: Brazil. Both listed as Article XXVIII:4 renegotiations in the Note by the Secretariat, "Modalities of Application of Article XIX", GATT document L/4679 of 5th July 1978.
- 43 "Oilseed concession compensation" (1993), complainants: Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay; respondent: EEC.
- 44 "European Economic Community – Payments and subsidies paid to processors and producers of oilseeds and related animal-feed proteins" (GD/191), Report of the Panel, GATT document L/6627 of 14 December 1989.
- 45 Request for establishment of a panel (Panel on Poultry), GATT document GATT/AIR/352 of 18 October 1963, "Airgram – Subject: Meeting of Council of Representatives on 28 October".
- 46 "US/EEC – Panel on Poultry", GATT document GATT/AIR/352 of 18 October 1963.
- 47 "Panel on Poultry" – Report of the Panel, GATT document L/2088 of 21 November 1963.
- 48 Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, "Negotiating History of Article 18:9 and the Treatment of Reports of Working Parties and Panels under Article XXIII of the General Agreement", GATT document SCM/W/48 of 11 January 1983.
- 49 "Agriculture in GATT", Note by the Secretariat, Consultative Group of Eighteen, Sixteenth Meeting of 14 to 16 October 1981, GATT document CG.18/W/59 of 15 September 1981.
- 50 "United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/75), complainant: Malawi.
- 51 "United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/75), Request by Malawi for Consultations under GATT Article XXII:1, GATT document L/2715 of 5 December 1966, to which the United States agreed.
- 52 "United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/75), Request by Malawi for Consultations under GATT Article XXII:2, GATT document L/2856 of 23 October 1967.
- 53 Report on GATT Article XXII:1 consultations held on 14 February 1967, GATT document INT(67)50 of 22 February 1967. "United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/75), complainant: Malawi.
- 54 Report of the Working Party on Article XXII:2 of 11 November 1967, GATT documents L/2925 and L/2925/Add.1 of 20 November and 4 December 1967, respectively, "United States – Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/75), complainant: Malawi.
- 55 Intersessional Committee, Summary Record of the Meetings held from 14 April 1958 to 2 May 1958, GATT document IC/SR.38 of 19 May 1958, pp. 19-22: "Draft Conclusions of the Intersessional Committee following discussions of the Rome Treaty".
- 56 "Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties", Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958, GATT document IC.SR/38 and L/928, BISD 7S/24. See GATT Disputes: 1948–1995, Volume 2 – Dispute Settlement Procedures
- 57 "Article XXII Consultations – Request by the Dominican Republic for a Consultation with the member States of the EEC on Sugar" (GD/48), complainant: Dominican Republic.
- 58 "Article XXII Consultations – Request by Rhodesia and Nyasaland for a Consultation with the member States of the EEC on Unmanufactured Tobacco" (GD/47), complainant: Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland.
- 59 "Article XXII Consultations – Request by India for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Tea" (GD/52), complainant: India.
- 60 "Article XXII Consultations – Request by the United Kingdom for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Bananas" (GD/50), complainant: United Kingdom.

- 61 "Article XXII Consultations – Request by the United Kingdom for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Cocoa" (GD/51), complainant: United Kingdom.
- 62 See GD/112.
- 63 GD/26.
- 64 Decree of the Government of Peru of 11 March 1953, Annex to the Communication from Czechoslovakia of 16 September 1954, GATT document L/235 of 4 October 1954.
- 65 Ibid.
- 66 Ibid.
- 67 "Peru's Prohibition of Imports from Czechoslovakia" (GD/26), complainant: Czechoslovakia. Communication from Czechoslovakia of 16 September 1954, GATT document L/235 of 4 October 1954.
- 68 CONTRACTING PARTIES, Ninth Session, Summary Record of the Twenty-Seventh Meeting of 22 December 1954, GATT document SR.9/27 of 29 December 1954.
- 69 Also known as "Trade Embargo". See for example GATT document L/6053 of 13/10/1986, "Report by the Panel: Embargo by Executive Order of the President of the United States" on 01/05/1985, and Reinhardt, Eric R. (1996), *Posturing Parliaments: Ratification, Uncertainty, and International Bargaining*, Chapter 4, Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, New York.
- 70 "United States – Trade Measures Affecting Nicaragua", Communication from Nicaragua of 11 July 1985, GATT document L/5847 of 15 July 1985.
- 71 "Report by the Panel: Embargo by Executive Order of the President of the United States on 01/05/1985", GATT document L/6053 of 13 October 1986.
- 72 Communication from Nicaragua, GATT document C/W/506 of 3 November 1986.
- 73 Communication from Nicaragua, GATT document L/6661 of 23 March 1990.
- 74 "Trade Restrictions affecting Argentina applied for Non-Economic Reasons" (1982). Also known as "Falklands Embargo" (Reinhardt, Eric R. (1996), *Posturing Parliaments: Ratification, Uncertainty, and International Bargaining*, Chapter 4, Ph.D. dissertation, Columbia University, New York.
- 75 "Import suspension by the EEC, Australia and Canada", Communication from Argentina of 29 April 1982, GATT document L/5317 of 30 April 1982. See also Communication to the Members of the GATT Council from the European Community and its Member States, Australia and Canada of 4 May 1982, GATT document L/5319/Rev.1 of 18 May 1982.
- 76 Minutes of Council Meeting held on 1 October 1982, GATT document C/M/161 of 29 October 1982.
- 77 Minutes of Council Meeting held on 2 November 1982, GATT document C/M/162 of 19 November 1982.
- 78 CONTRACTING PARTIES, Thirty-Eighth Session, Summary Record of the Tenth Meeting held on 30 November 1982, GATT document SR.38/10 of 16 December 1982. See also Contracting Parties, Thirty-Eighth Session, Council of Representatives, Report of Work since the Thirty Seventh Session, GATT document L/5414 of 12 November 1982), pp. 17-26.
- 79 "Decision concerning Article XXI of the General Agreement", Decision of 30 November 1982, GATT document L/5426 of 2 December 1982, based on Argentina's draft text submitted to the Council, GATT document C/W/402 of 2 November 1982.
- 80 Communication from Poland, GATT document L/5390 of 22 October 1982.
- 81 See also the United States' request for consultations, GATT document L/5396 of 28 October 1982, pursuant to paragraph 7 of Poland's Protocol of Accession (GATT document L/2851 of 19 September 1967) regarding its undertaking to increase the total value of its imports from GATT contracting parties, which Poland had allegedly not fulfilled. See also conflicting communications on the consultations having been held or not: Communication from the United States of 22 October 1982, GATT document L/5396 of 28 October 1982; Communication from Poland of 27 October 1982, GATT document L/5396/Add.1 of 28 October 1982; Communication from the United States of 27 October 1982, GATT document L/5392/Add.2 of 28 October 1982; Communication from Poland of 27 October 1982, GATT document L/5396/Add.3 of 29 October 1982; Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 2 November 1982, GATT document C/M/162 of 19 November 1982.
- 82 1974 Arrangement regarding International Trade in Textiles – Articles 1:6 and 11:4-10; 1979 Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade – Article 14; 1979 Agreement on Government Procurement – Articles II, IV and VII; 1979 Agreement on Interpretation and Application of Articles VI, XVI and XXIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ("Subsidies Code") – Articles 3, 12, 13, 16, 17 and 18; 1979 Arrangement regarding Bovine Meat – Article IV:6; 1979 International Dairy Arrangement – Article IV:5 and IV:6; 1979 Agreement on Implementation of Article VII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ("Customs Valuation Code") – Articles 19, 20 and Annex III; 1979 Agreement on Import Licensing Procedures – Article 4; 1979 Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft – Article 8; 1979 Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ("Anti-Dumping Code") – Article 15.
- 83 Article XIII:2 of the GATT 1947 ("Non-discriminatory Administration of Quantitative Restrictions").
- 84 Article XVI of the GATT 1947 ("Subsidies").
- 85 Article XVIII of the GATT 1947 ("Governmental Assistance to Economic Development").
- 86 Article XIX of the GATT 1947 ("Emergency Action on Imports of Particular Products").
- 87 Article XXIV:6 of the GATT 1947 ("Territorial Application – Frontier Traffic – Customs Unions and Free-trade Areas").
- 88 Article XXVIII of the GATT 1947 ("Modification of Schedules").
- 89 Including Mexico.
- 90 Including Turkey.
- 91 This publication uses the term "adjudicating" body without any suggestions of a stricto sensu "judicial" activity conducted by the body involved in settling relevant GATT disputes.
- 92 It is also important to note that not all working parties were composed as an adjudicating body for a GATT dispute. Working parties could also be composed to review the scope or application of a provision.
- 93 "Italy – Discrimination against Imported Agricultural Machinery" (GD/43), complainant: United Kingdom; and "French Assistance to Exports of Wheat and Wheat Flour" (GD/36), complainant: Australia.
- 94 "United Kingdom – Waiver from Article I, Sixth Annual Report (1959) on the Use of the Waiver by the United Kingdom, CONTRACTING PARTIES Fifteenth Session". Document L/1059 of 13/10/1959.
- 95 "Article I – United Kingdom Waiver (Ornamental Pottery)", GATT document IC/SR.44 of 23 March 1959.

- 96 "Greek Increase of Bound Duty" (GD/38), complainant: Germany, Fed. Rep..
- 97 CONTRACTING PARTIES, Eleventh Session, Summary Record of the Twelfth Meeting, held on 5 November 1956, GATT document SR.11/12 of 10/11/1956. The "Greek Increase of Bound Duty" (GD/38) (also known as "Greek Gramophones") was discussed by a group of customs experts and its status could, therefore, be questioned as not being a GATT dispute. Moreover, it was initially not referred to a panel or panel on complaints, and ended up in political negotiations. However, there was a formal complaint against a specific identified measure of a contracting party, and its inconsistency with GATT obligations, which required the establishment of an adjudicating body ("Statement submitted by the Delegation of Germany requesting to place the item on the agenda of the Intersessional Committee", GATT document L/575 of 2 November 1956). The complaint was withdrawn "[f]ollowing the report of customs experts, [and] the parties agreed on a compromise duty rate." "Statement submitted by the Delegations of Greece and the Federal Republic of Germany", GATT document L/765 of 26 November 1956.
- 98 "Denmark – Import Restrictions on Grains" (GD/80), complainant: United States.
- 99 GATT document L/2644 of 5 April 1966, BISD 14S/18-20.
- 100 "EEC – Refunds on Exports of Malted Barley" (GD/99), complainant: Chile.
- 101 "Indian complaint against Japan (leather)" (GD/112), complainant: India. In the other dispute settled through bilateral consultations, the request for good offices was not withdrawn, "United States – Restrictions on Imports of Wool Suits from Brazil" (GD/268), complainant: Brazil. This was the only dispute under the 1973 Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles, the "Multifibre Arrangement" (MFA). GATT document TEX.NG/1 of 20 December 1973, BISD 21S/3-19.
- 102 "United States – Taxes on Petroleum and Certain Imported Substances (Superfund)" (GD/171), complainants: Canada, EEC-12 and Mexico.
- 103 "EEC – Member States' Import Regime for Bananas" (GD/270), complainants: Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Venezuela.
- 104 GATT document L/4907 of 4 December 1979, BISD 26S/210-218.
- 105 "United States – Tariff Increases and Import Prohibitions of Imports from Brazil" (GD/189), complainant: Brazil.
- 106 Minutes of the Council Meeting of 1 October 1982, GATT document C/M/161 of 29 October 1982, and Minutes of the Council Meeting of 2 November 1982, GATT document C/M/162 of 19 November 1982.
- 107 Minutes of the Council Meeting of 2 November 1982, GATT document C/M/162 of 19 November 1982.
- 108 "The EC Animal Hormones Directive" (85/649/EEC) (GD/175), complainant: United States.
- 109 "EEC – Exports of Canadian Grains" (GD/225), complainant: Canada.
- 110 GATT document L/6489 of 13 April 1989, BISD 36S/61-67.
- 111 GATT document DS12/3 of 8 August 1990, "Canada/European Communities, Article XXVIII Rights, Recourse to Arbitration" (GD/225).
- 112 See for example "Report of Working Party 7 on the Cuban Schedule" (GD/1), GATT document GATT/CP.2/43; and "Brazilian Compensatory Concessions" (GD/21), GATT document L/167.
- 113 See Guide to GATT LAW and Practice (GATT Analytical Index), Vol. 2, p. 761. In one dispute, however, the disputed matter was submitted to a vote. See GATT/CP.3/SR.22, GATT/CP.3/SR.22/Corr.1, and BISD II/28, "Article XXI - United States Exports Restrictions" (GD/4), complainant: Czechoslovakia. Also, in another dispute the report included a draft waiver decision, which was ultimately adopted by a vote. See C/M/66, L/3485, L/3485/Corr.1, BISD 18S/183, and L/3503, "Jamaica - Margins of Preference" (GD/76), complainant: United States.
- 114 "Canada – Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Beer from the United States" (GD/261), complainant: United States.
- 115 Follow up to the Panel Report in "European Economic Community – Payments and Subsidies Paid to Processors and Producers of Oilseeds and Related Animal-Feed Proteins", DS28/R (GD/262). See also the minutes of the GATT Council of 19 June 1992, GATT document C/M/257, p. 28.

Part 2: One-page case summaries

Introduction

A one-page case summary is devoted to each of the 316 GATT disputes identified by this publication.

Each summary indicates the official title of the dispute and a unique GATT dispute (GD) number assigned for the purposes of this publication, according to the chronological order of the relevant request initiating the dispute. The case summaries list the parties (complainants and defendants) and third parties to the dispute, and the products and types of products at issue. They also indicate any other titles under which the dispute has been referenced, as well as any related GATT or WTO disputes, when applicable.

Under “key legal aspects”, the case summaries identify the legal basis of each dispute, the dispute procedures followed and all provisions under which claims and defences were raised in the course of the dispute.

If the dispute involved a contentious phase before an “adjudicator”¹, the case summaries specify the type of body that dealt with the phase of the dispute in question (e.g. panel, working party, etc.), its Chairperson and other members, including their gender and origin by contracting party.

If the dispute involved a report, the case summary specifies the type of report (e.g. panel report), its status of adoption and its total number of pages as well as the number of pages of legal reasoning. In addition, the provisions under which claims and defences were at issue in the context of the report as well as the outcome of the report in regard to each such claim or defence is indicated.

Furthermore, the case summaries show the timeline of each dispute, recording the date of each main step and referencing the relevant document(s).

Finally, each case summary indicates the final outcome of the dispute.

¹ This publication uses the terms “adjudicator” and “adjudicating” body without any suggestion of a *stricto sensu* “judicial” activity conducted by the body involved in settling relevant GATT disputes.

REPORT OF WORKING PARTY 7 ON THE CUBAN SCHEDULE (GD/1)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Textiles (trimmings, ribbons, gallons, etc.); nylon stockings, hollow tyres and inner tubes	
Respondent:	Cuba	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Cuba - Textiles I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(b), XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVIII, XIX, XXIII:1(b), XXV; Havana Charter Schedule IX (Cuba)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. L. Dana Wilgress (Canada)
Other members:	Cuba, India, Netherlands, United States

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XIX GATT Article XVIII GATT Article XXIII:1(b) GATT Article XXV Havana Charter Schedule IX (Cuba)	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	08/19/1948	GATT/CP.2/W.12	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	09/09/1948	GATT/CP.2/SR.22	
Appointment of adjudicator:	11/09/1948	GATT/CP.2/WP.7/1	
Adjudicator's report:	13/09/1948	GATT/CP.2/43	
Adoption of report:	14/09/1948	GATT/CP.2/SR.25	
Mutually agreed solution:	29/06/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.29	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

**REQUEST OF THE GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR DECISION UNDER
ARTICLE XXIII CONCERNING REBATE OF EXCISE DUTIES ON CERTAIN
PRODUCTS EXPORTED FROM INDIA
(GD/2)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Pakistan	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	India	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Chairman of the CONTRACTING PARTIES
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/02/1949	GATT/CP.3/6	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	12/02/1949	GATT/CP.3/6	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	31/05/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.19, GATT/CP.3/SR.19/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	31/05/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.19, GATT/CP.3/SR.19/Corr.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

BRAZILIAN INTERNAL TAXES (GD/3)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	France	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Brazil - Internal Taxes (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	n.a. (not GATT Article XXIII)
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2, Part II, Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA)
Defences raised:	GATT Article III:2 Ad Note

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Dr. A. Argyropoulos (Greece)
Other members:	Brazil, Chile, China, Cuba, France, Greece, India, United Kingdom, United States

Report			
Type:	Working party report; Decision of the CP; Working party report (on draft law)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	7 / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:2 GATT Part II Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA)	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article III:2 Ad Note	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/04/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.9,	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	25/04/1949; 06/11/1950	GATT/CP.3/SR.9, GATT/CP.5/SR.6, GATT/CP.5/SR.6/Corr.1 (Working Party on draft law)	
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/04/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.10, GATT/CP.3/SR.10/Corr.1, GATT/CP.3/SR.10/Corr.2	
Adjudicator's report:	27/06/1949; 11/04/1950; 07/12/1950	GATT/CP.3/42 , GATT/CP.3/42/Corr.1 , GATT/CP.4/SR.21 , GATT/CP.5/37 ; BISD II/181 and 186	
Adoption of report:	30/06/1949; 03/04/1950; 13/12/1950	GATT/CP.3/SR.30, GATT/CP.3/SR.30/Corr.1, GATT/CP.4/SR.21, GATT/CP.5/SR.21, GATT/CP.5/SR.21./Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, withdrawal or modification of measure

ARTICLE XXI - UNITED STATES EXPORTS RESTRICTIONS (GD/4)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Czechoslovakia	Products at issue:	Various materials and equipment	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Export Restrictions (Czechoslovakia) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XIII:1, XIII:2, XIII:3, XX:2, XXI(b)(ii)
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XX, XXI

Adjudicator	
Type:	Contracting Parties
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Decision of the CONTRACTING PARTIES (claims rejected by a vote)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article XIII:1 GATT Article XIII:2 GATT Article XIII:3 GATT Article XX:2 GATT Article XXI(b)(ii)	No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX GATT Article XXI	Defence found to be applicable Defence found to be applicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	30/05/1949	GATT/CP.3/33
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	08/06/1949	GATT/CP.3/SR.22 , GATT/CP.3/SR.22/Corr.1 ; BISD II/28
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, claims rejected by a vote

AUSTRALIA - SUBSIDY ON AMMONIUM SULPHATE (GD/5)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Ammonium sulphate	
Respondent:	Australia	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Australia - Ammonium Sulphate (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:2, I:4, III, III:8(b), III:9, XI:1, XVI, XXII, XXIII:1(b), Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. Ofstedal (Norway)
Other members:	Australia, Chile, Norway, United Kingdom, United States

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	7 (and 2 annex) / 5
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:2 GATT Article I:4 GATT Article III GATT Article III:8(b) GATT Article III:9 GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XVI GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment Finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	27/07/1949	GATT/CP.3/61
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	GATT/CP.4/23
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/03/1950	GATT/CP.4/SR.15, GATT/CP.4/SR.15/Corr.1, GATT/CP.4/SR.15/Corr.2, GATT/CP.4/SR.15/Corr.3
Adjudicator's report:	31/03/1950	GATT/CP.4/39 ; BISD II/188
Adoption of report:	03/04/1950	GATT/CP.4/SR.21
Mutually agreed solution:	06/11/1950	GATT/CP.5/SR.6, GATT/CP.5/SR.6/Corr.1
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

**FRENCH EXPORT RESTRICTIONS ON HIDES AND SKINS
(PROPOSED BY THE UNITED STATES)
(GD/6)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Hides and skins	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	07/10/1950	GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	15/11/1950	GATT/CP.5/27	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

**EXAMINATION UNDER THE PROCEDURES PROVIDED IN ARTICLE XXIII, OF ACTUAL CASES OF
QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS APPLIED FOR PROTECTIVE PURPOSES (PROPOSED BY
BELGIUM)
(GD/7)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Belgium	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/9
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/10/1950	GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.1, GATT/CP.5/1/Rev.2
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EFFECT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM PURCHASE TAX ON CERTAIN IMPORTS INTO THE UNITED KINGDOM WITH REFERENCE TO ARTICLE III (GD/8)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Netherlands	Products at issue:	Durable consumer goods (e.g. blankets, shoes, clothing, woollen knitted fabrics and cotton, rayon and linen piece goods)
Respondent:	United Kingdom	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	United Kingdom Purchase Tax (GATT/CP.6/SR.7)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/10/1950	GATT/CP.5/12
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	16/08/1952, 09/10/1952	G/18, SR.7/5
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**ASSURED LIFE OF TARIFF CONCESSIONS WITH REGARD TO ARTICLE XIX /
US - FUR FELT HATS
(GD/9)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Czechoslovakia	Products at issue:	Ladies' fur felt hats	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Fur Felt Hats (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XIX:1, XIX:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. Cassiers (Belgium)
Other members:	Belgium, Cuba, United States, France, Italy, United Kingdom, Sweden, Czechoslovakia

Report			
Type:	Intersessional Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	17 (and 1 annex) / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XIX:1 GATT Article XIX:2	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/11/1950	GATT/CP.5/22; GATT/CP.5/SR.14
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/12/1950	GATT/CP.5/SR.23, GATT/CP.5/SR.23/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/12/1950	GATT/CP.5/SR.25, GATT/CP.5/SR.25/Corr.1, GATT/CP.5/SR.25/Corr.2, GATT/CP.5/SR.25/Corr.3
Adjudicator's report:	27/03/1951	GATT/CP/106
Adoption of report:	22/10/1951	GATT/CP.6/SR.19, GATT/CP.6/SR.19/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**BELGIUM - FAMILY ALLOWANCES (ALLOCATIONS FAMILIALES)
(GD/10)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Denmark and Norway	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Belgium	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Belgium - Family Allowances (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, III:2; Treaty on Commerce and Navigation between Norway and Belgium
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. I Ad Note, II:2, II:4, III:2, III:4, III:8(a), Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) Part II

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. C. M. Isbister (Canada)
Other members:	Australia, Canada, Ceylon, Cuba, Finland, Netherlands

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	3 (and 13 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article III:2	Inconsistency found No conclusion reached	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article I Ad Note GATT Article III:8(a) Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) Part II	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	19/09/1951	GATT/CP.6/25 (Norway), GATT/CP.6/25/Add.1 (Denmark)
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/10/1952 (SR.7/7)	W.7/20, SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	06/11/1952	G/32 ; BISD 1S/59
Adoption of report:	07/11/1952	SR.7/14, SR.7/14/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON DAIRY PRODUCTS (GD/11)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Denmark, Netherlands	Products at issue:	Dairy products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/18
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:1, XI:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Contracting Parties
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Contracting Parties Resolution (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 (GATT/CP/130); 2 (L/59) / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XI:2	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	GATT/CP.6/26 (Netherlands), GATT/CP.6/28 (Denmark)
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	08/11/1951, 06/11/1952	GATT/CP/130, L/59
Adoption of report:	27/10/1951, 08/11/1952	GATT/CP.6/SR.27 (GATT/CP/130), SR.7/16 (L/59)
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**BELGIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON DOLLAR GOODS
(GD/12)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada, United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Belgium	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. X, XII:2(a), XII:4(a)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XV:9(b); IMF Agreement XIV

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. J.F. Nimmo (Australia)
Other members:	Belgium, Canada, Cuba, France, Germany, India, Netherlands, South Africa, Sweden, United Kingdom, United States

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/10/1951	GATT/CP.6/50	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	21/02/1952	GATT/IC/7	
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/02/1952	GATT/IC/SR.3	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	29/10/1952	SR.7/11, SR.7/11/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

GREECE - INCREASE ON IMPORT DUTIES ON PRODUCTS INCLUDED IN SCHEDULE XXV (GD/13)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Greece - Import Duties (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. II:1, Schedule XXV
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXV:5(a)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. C. M. Isbister (Canada)
Other members:	Australia, Canada, Ceylon, Cuba, Finland, Netherlands

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 (and 2 annex) / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:1 Schedule XXV	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/09/1952	L/15
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/10/1952	SR.7/8, SR.7/8/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/10/1952	W.7/20, SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	30/10/1952	G/27 ; BISD 1S/51
Adoption of report:	03/11/1952	SR.7/13
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

TREATMENT BY GERMANY OF IMPORTS OF SARDINES (BRISLING) (GD/14)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Norway	Products at issue:	Sardines
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Germany - Sardines (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(a)-(b), XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, III, XIII:1, XXII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. C. M. Isbister (Canada)
Other members:	Australia, Canada, Ceylon, Cuba, Finland, Netherlands

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	6 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article III GATT Article XIII:1 GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment Judicial economy exercised No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment Finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/09/1952	L/16
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	09/10/1952	SR.7/5
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/10/1952	W.7/20, SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	30/10/1952	G/26 ; BISD 1S/53 and 30 (Recommendation)
Adoption of report:	31/10/1952	SR.7/12
Mutually agreed solution:	23/10/1953	SR.8/18
Withdrawal of the request:	24/09/1953	G/52, G/52/Add.1, G/52/Add.2

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

GREECE - SPECIAL IMPORT TAXES INSTITUTED BY GREECE (GD/15)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	France	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Greece - Import Taxes (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:2, Schedule XXV
Defences raised:	GATT Article III

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. C. M. Isbister (Canada)
Other members:	Australia, Canada, Ceylon, Cuba, Finland, Netherlands

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	3 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article III:2 Schedule XXV	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article III	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	

Timeline		
Consultation:	27/09/1952	L/26
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	14/10/1952	SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/10/1952	W.7/20, SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	31/10/1952	G/25 , L/88 ; BISD 1S/48
Adoption of report:	03/11/1952	SR.7/13
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, withdrawal or modification of measure

**PAKISTAN LICENCE FEE AND DUTY ON EXPORTS OF JUTE
(GD/16)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Raw jute	
Respondent:	Pakistan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	30/09/1952	L/41, L/41/Add.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES EXPORT SUBSIDY ON SULTANAS (GD/17)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Greece, Turkey	Products at issue:	Sultana raisins	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, South Africa	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CONTRACTING PARTIES
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Report by the complainants	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 (L/146), 1 (L/146/Add.1) 1 (L/148) / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI	No conclusion reached	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/10/1952 (Greece), 10/10/1952 (Turkey)	L/39 (Greece), L/45 (Turkey)
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/10/1952	SR.7/8, SR.7/8/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	30/09/1953 (L/146, L/146/Add.1), 30/09/1953 (L/148)	L/146 , L/146/Add.1 (Greece) L/148 (Turkey)
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, not considered for adoption

NETHERLANDS ACTION UNDER ARTICLE XXIII:2 TO SUSPEND OBLIGATIONS TO THE UNITED STATES (GD/18)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Netherlands	Products at issue:	Dairy products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/11
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Suspension of Obligations (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working party 8
Chairperson:	Dr. Treu (Austria)
Other members:	Austria, Burma, Brazil, Germany, Haiti, Sweden, Southern Rhodesia

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	3 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	28/10/1952	SR.7/10
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	28/10/1952	SR.7/10
Appointment of adjudicator:	28/10/1952	W.7/1/Add.8
Adjudicator's report:	07/11/1952	L/61 ; BISD 1S/62
Adoption of report:	08/11/1952, 10/11/1952	SR.7/16, SR.7/17, SR.7/17/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

FRENCH "STATISTICAL TAX" ON IMPORTS AND EXPORTS (GD/19)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	07/11/1952	L/64, G/46/Add.4	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	07/01/1955	SR.9/28, SR.9/28/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

UNITED STATES' IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON FILBERTS (GD/20)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Turkey	Products at issue:	Filberts (nuts)	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	13/08/1953	G/46/Add.3	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	05/10/1953	SR.8/12	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

BRAZILIAN COMPENSATORY CONCESSIONS (GD/21)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom and United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Annecy First Protocol of Modifications of Schedule III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Resolution (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 1
Claims at issue:	Annecy First Protocol of Modifications of Schedule III	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	15/09/1953	G/46/Add.4
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	23/10/1953	L/167
Adoption of report:	24/10/1953	SR.8/20, SR.8/20/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**FRENCH SPECIAL TEMPORARY COMPENSATION TAX ON IMPORTS
(GD/22)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Italy	Products at issue:	General
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	France - Compensation Tax (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II:1(b), XII:4(b) first sentence, second part, XV
Defences raised:	GATT Article XII

Adjudicator	
Type:	CONTRACTING PARTIES, Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. L. Cozzi (Italy)
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Decision of the CONTRACTING PARTIES; Interim Report of the Working Party; Report of the Intersessional Committee (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 (L/302), 7 (and 27 annex) (L/657), 11 (L/708) / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article II:1(b)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	29/07/1954	L/213
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	Unknown	Unknown
Adjudicator's report:	17/01/1955 (L/302), 23/11/1957 (L/657), 17/10/1957 (L/708)	L/302 , SR.9/29 , SR.9/29/Corr.1 (Decision of the CONTRACTING PARTIES), L/657 (Interim Report of the Working Party); L/708 , SR.12/5 (Report of the Intersessional Committee); BISD 3S/26 (L/302), 4S/20 and 5S/27
Adoption of report:	17/01/1955, 15/11/1957	SR.9/29 (L/302), SR. 12/5 (L/708)
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

SWEDISH ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES (GD/23)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Italy	Products at issue:	Nylon stockings	
Respondent:	Sweden	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Sweden - AD Duties (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, VI:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. L.K. Jha (India)
Other members:	Mr. Alvaro Muñoz (Chile), Dr. H.E. Priester (Dominican Republic) (appointed subsequently), Mr. G. J. F. Steyn (South Africa), Mr. Gunnar Seidenfaden (Denmark), Mr. G.A. Rattigan (Australia) (appointed subsequently), Mr. Jayasuriya (Sri Lanka) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. Johnsen (New Zealand) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. R.C.S. Koelmeyer (Sri Lanka) (appointed subsequently), Mr. Salvador Ortiz (Dominican Republic) (did not conclude the proceedings)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	10 / 8
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article VI:4	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/07/1954	L/215	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	05/11/1954	SR.9/7, SR.9/7/Corr.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	05/11/1954, 18/01/1955	SR.9/7, SR.9/7/Corr.1, W.9/7, SR.9/30	
Adjudicator's report:	23/02/1955	L/328 ; BISD 3S/81	
Adoption of report:	26/02/1955	SR.9/37	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**TURKISH IMPORT TAXES AND EXPORT BONUSES
(GD/24)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Italy	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Turkey	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), XVI
Defences raised:	Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 1(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	30/07/1954	L/214	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/10/1952	SR.7/7, SR.7/7/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	02/03/1955	SR.9/40, SR.9/40/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	02/03/1955	SR.9/40, SR.9/40/Corr.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

GREEK LUXURY TAX AND TARIFF CHANGES (GD/25)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Italy	Products at issue:	Textiles fibres, cutlery and eye glasses	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. III:2, Schedule XXV
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/09/1954	L/234	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	18/01/1955	SR.9/30	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**PERU'S IMPORT PROHIBITION OF IMPORTS FROM CZECHOSLOVAKIA
(GD/26)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Czechoslovakia	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Peru	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/09/1954	L/235	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	22/12/1954	SR.9/27, SR.9/27/Corr.1, SR.9/27/Corr.2	
Withdrawal of the request:	22/12/1954	SR.9/27, SR.9/27/Corr.1, SR.9/27/Corr.2	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

GERMAN DISCRIMINATION IN COAL IMPORTS (GD/27)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Coal	
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	05/10/1954	L/242	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	27/10/1955	SR.10/1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

FRENCH STAMP TAX (GD/28)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/32
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, VIII
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. II:2(c), Schedule XI A (France)

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	13/10/1954	L/245	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	07/01/1955	SR.9/28, SR.9/28/Corr.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

BELGIAN RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF UNITED STATES COAL (GD/29)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Coal	
Respondent:	Belgium	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/10/1954	L/258	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	04/03/1955	SR.9/42, SR.9/42/Corr.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

GERMAN IMPORT DUTIES ON STARCH AND POTATO FLOUR (GD/30)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Belgium, Luxemburg, and the Netherlands	Products at issue:	Potato flour, starch and their derivatives	
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Germany - Starch Duties (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Torquay undertakings, GATT Art. XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel on Complaints
Chairperson:	Mr. L. K. Jha (India)
Other members:	Mr. Alvaro Muñoz (Chile), Dr. H.E. Priester (Dominican Republic) (appointed subsequently), Mr. G. J. F. Steyn (South Africa), Mr. Gunnar Seidenfaden (Denmark), Mr. G.A. Rattigan (Australia) (appointed subsequently), Mr. R.C.S. Koelmeyer (Sri Lanka) (appointed subsequently)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	3 (and 1 annex) / 1
Claims at issue:	Torquay undertakings GATT Art. XXIII:1(b)	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/10/1954	L/260
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	18/01/1955	SR.9/30, L/260
Appointment of adjudicator:	18/01/1955	W.9/5/Rev.1
Adjudicator's report:	07/02/1955	W.9/178 ; BISD 3S/77
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	07/02/1955	See W.9/178
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, mutually agreed solution

ITALIAN DUTY ON CHEESE (GD/31)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Denmark	Products at issue:	Cheese	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXVIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/09/1955	L/417/Add.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	02/12/1955	See SR.10/20	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

FRENCH STAMP TAX ON IMPORTS (GD/32)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/28
			WTO	-
Other titles:	France - Stamp Tax			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, VIII, Schedule XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	26/09/1955	L/410	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	19/01/1961, 22/02-02/03/1961	L/1412, C/M/4	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

HAWAIIAN REGULATIONS AFFECTING THE SALE OF IMPORTED EGGS (GD/33)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Eggs	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	28/09/1955	L/411, L/411/Corr.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**ITALIAN GENERAL TURNOVER TAX APPLIED TO IMPORTED
PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS
(GD/34)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Pharmaceutical products	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	11/10/1955	L/421	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	01/12/1955	SR.10/19, SR.10/19/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

ITALIAN IMPORT DUTIES ON GREEK COTTON (GD/35)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Greece	Products at issue:	Cotton	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article X:3(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	03/11/1955	L/449	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

FRENCH ASSISTANCE TO EXPORTS OF WHEAT AND WHEAT FLOUR (GD/36)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Wheat and wheat flour	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Japan	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	France - Wheat Exports (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII, XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI:3
Defences raised:	GATT Article XVI

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel for conciliation
Chairperson:	Mr. T. Swaminathan (India)
Other members:	Mr. F. Gundelach (Denmark), Mr. R. Arents (Belgium) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. L. E.C. Boissovain (Netherlands) (appointed subsequently)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	15 / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:3	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XVI	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/04/1956	See IC/SR.38	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	14/04-02/05/1958	IC/SR.38	
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/04-02/05/1958; 23/10/1958	IC/SR.38, SR.13/8	
Adjudicator's report:	20/11/1958	L/924 ; BISD 7S/46. See BISD 7S/22	
Adoption of report:	21/11/1958	SR.13/20	
Mutually agreed solution:	24/10/1960	L/1323, L/1548. See SCM/W/48	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

FRENCH INTERNAL TAX ON AUTOMOBILES (GD/37)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Automobiles	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/09/1956	L/520	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

GREEK INCREASE OF BOUND DUTY (GD/38)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Products at issue:	Long-playing phonograph records (gramophone records and cylinders) and refrigerators	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Greece - Phonograph Records (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XIX, XXVIII, Schedule XXV (Annecy, Torquay)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XIX:2

Adjudicator	
Type:	Group of Customs Experts
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	Group of customs experts: Mr. Howey (Canada), Mr. Fiala (Czechoslovakia), Mr. van Alphen (Netherlands), and Mr. Saarer (Norway).

Report			
Type:	Report by the Group of Experts (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 2
Claims at issue:	Schedule XXV (Annecy, Torquay)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/10/1956	L/575
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	05/11/1956	SR.11/12, SR.11/12/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	05/11/1956	SR.11/16
Adjudicator's report:	09/11/1956	L/580
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	29/11/1957	L/765, SR.12/21
Withdrawal of the request:	29/11/1957	L/765

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

**GERMAN TURNOVER TAX AS APPLIED TO IMPORTS OF PRINTED MATTER
(GD/39)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Netherlands	Products at issue:	Printed matter	
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	23/10/1956	L/562	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EXPORT SUBSIDY ON POULTRY (GD/40)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Denmark	Products at issue:	Poultry	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/11/1956	L/586	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CHILEAN LUXURY TAX ON AUTOMOBILES (GD/41)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Automobiles	
Respondent:	Chile	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/11/1956	L/599	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	24-27/04/1957	IC/SR.31	
Withdrawal of the request:	24-27/04/1957	IC/SR.31	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

EXPORT OF SUBSIDIZED EGGS AND CATTLE FROM THE UNITED KINGDOM (GD/42)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Denmark	Products at issue:	Eggs and cattle	
Respondent:	United Kingdom	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Belgium, Germany, Fed. Rep., Netherlands, Sweden	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. L. Dana Wilgress (Canada)
Other members:	Mr. Cozzi (Italy), Mr. Cuhruk (Turkey), Mr. Swaminathan (India), Mr. Salvador Ortiz (Dominican Republic)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/04/1957	L/627
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	24-27/04/1957	IC/SR.31
Appointment of adjudicator:	24-27/04/1957	IC/SR.31
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	19-23/09/1957	IC/SR.34
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

ITALY - DISCRIMINATION AGAINST IMPORTED AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY (GD/43)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Agricultural machinery	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Denmark	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/60
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Italy - Agricultural Machinery (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel for conciliation
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	Mr. J. H. Warren (Canada), Mr. J. Hoogwater (Netherlands), Mr. Johan Cappelen (Norway), Mr. O. Beneš (Czechoslovakia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:4	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/07/1957	L/649	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	14/04-02/05/1958	IC/SR.38, IC/SR.38/Corr.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/04-02/05/1958	IC/SR.38, IC/SR.38/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	15/07/1958	L/833 ; BISD 7S/23	
Adoption of report:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8	
Mutually agreed solution:	20/11/1958	SR.13/18	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

GREEK DISCRIMINATION IN CREDIT FACILITIES FOR IMPORTED GOODS (GD/44)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	19-23/09/1957	IC/SR.34, IC/SR.34/Corr.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	08/11/1957	L/740	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

FRENCH DISCRIMINATION AGAINST IMPORTED AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY (GD/45)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Sweden and United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Agricultural machinery	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	GATT Article III:8(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/10/1965	L/695
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	21/10/1958	SR.13/7, SR.13/7Add.1
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**CONSULTATIONS REQUESTED BY AUSTRIA ON THE COMPATIBILITY OF THE ECSC
HARMONIZED TARIFF WITH THE WAIVER GRANTED TO THE ECSC
(GD/46)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Austria	Products at issue:	Iron and steel	
Respondent:	ECSC	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Decision of 10 November 1952: Waiver granted to the European Coal and Steel Community under GATT Article XXV:5(s)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	29/11/1957	See SR.12/21, L/755, L/886
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY RHODESIA AND NYASALAND FOR A CONSULTATION WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON UNMANUFACTURED TOBACCO (GD/47)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland	Products at issue:	Unmanufactured tobacco
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Brazil, Canada, Cuba, Dominican Republic, India, Indonesia, Turkey, United States	Related disputes:	GATT GD/48, GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/52, GD/57, GD/58
		WTO	-
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and non-member States
Chairperson:	Chair alternated between a representative of an EEC member State and the other participating Contracting Parties
Other members:	

Report		
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning): 7 (and 30 annex) / 2
Claims at issue:	-	-
Defences at issue:	-	-

Timeline		
Consultation:	09/07/1958	L/831, IC/SR.41, IC/SR.41/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8
Adjudicator's report:	13/07/1959	L/995
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC FOR A
CONSULTATION WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON SUGAR
(GD/48)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Dominican Republic	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/52, GD/57, GD/58
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	14/07/1958	L/832
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY THE UNITED KINGDOM FOR A CONSULTATION
WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON COFFEE
(GD/49)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Coffee	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil, Dominican Republic, Haiti, India, Indonesia, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/48, GD/50, GD/51, GD/52, GD/57, GD/58
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Chair alternated between a representative of one of the EEC member States and the other participating contracting parties
Other members:	

Report			
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	10 (and 11 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	28/07/1958	L/838	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8	
Adjudicator's report:	13/07/1959	L/1007	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY THE UNITED KINGDOM FOR A CONSULTATION
WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON BANANAS
(GD/50)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Bananas	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil, Dominican Republic, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/48, GD/49, GD/51, GD/52, GD/57, GD/58
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Chair alternated between a representative of one of the EEC member States and the other participating contracting parties
Other members:	

Report			
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	11 (and 9 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	28/07/1958	L/838
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8
Adjudicator's report:	10/07/1959	L/1008 , L/1008/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY THE UNITED KINGDOM FOR A CONSULTATION
WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON COCOA
(GD/51)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Cocoa	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil, Dominican Republic, Ghana, Indonesia, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/48, GD/49, GD/50, GD/52, GD/57, GD/58
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Chair alternated between a representative of one of the EEC member States and the other participating contracting parties
Other members:	

Report			
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	9 (and 9 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	28/07/1958	L/838
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8
Adjudicator's report:	10/07/1959	L/994 , L/994/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY INDIA FOR A CONSULTATION WITH THE
MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON TEA
(GD/52)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Tea
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Ceylon, India, Indonesia, Japan, Pakistan, United Kingdom	Related disputes:	GATT GD/47, GD/48, GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/57, GD/58 WTO -
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Chair alternated between a representative of one of the EEC member States and the other participating contracting parties
Other members:	

Report			
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 (and 9 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	15/08/1958	L/841
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/10/1958	SR.13/8
Adjudicator's report:	11/06/1959	L/996
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

ITALIAN ASSISTANCE TO EXPORTS OF FLOUR (GD/53)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Flour	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. T. Swaminathan (India)
Other members:	Mr. F. Gundelach (Denmark), Mr. R. Arents (Belgium) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. L. E.C. Boissvain (Netherlands) (appointed subsequently)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/09/1958	L/853	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	24-26/09/1958	IC/SR.41, IC/SR.41/Corr.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	24-26/09/1958	IC/SR.41, IC/SR.41/Corr.1 (Same composition as GD/36; see IC/SR.38)	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	Unknown	See SCM/W/48	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

ITALIAN MEASURES IN FAVOUR OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTION OF SHIPS' PLATES (GD/54)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Austria	Products at issue:	Ships' plates	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	09/10/1958	L/875	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	20/11/1958	SR.13/18	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

GERMAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS (GD/55)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Greece, India, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States (SR.13/20) Czechoslovakia and Japan (L/966)	Products at issue:	Negative list of products not yet liberalized by Germany, Fed. Rep. (see MGT/130/58/Rev.1)
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), XIII, XIX
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(b), XI:2(c)(i), XIII, XX(b), Torquay Protocol reservation

Adjudicator	
Type:	GATT Executive Secretary
Chairperson:	Mr. Eric Whyndam White (GATT Executive Secretary)
Other members:	-

Report		
Type:	Report by the Contracting Parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning): 10 / 8
Claims at issue:	-	-
Defences at issue:	-	-

Timeline		
Consultation:	21/11/1958	SR.13/20, L/949
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	10/04/1959	L/966
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ITALIAN MEASURES CONCERNING THE IMPORTATION OF
SHIPS' PLATES UNDER LAW NO. 522
(GD/56)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Austria	Products at issue:	Ships' plates	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/04/1959, 28/05/1959	L/979, L/979/Add.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY AUSTRALIA FOR A CONSULTATION WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON LEAD AND ZINC (GD/57)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Lead and zinc	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Austria, Canada, Norway, South Africa, Sweden, United Kingdom	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/48, GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/52, GD/58
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Mr. Cappelen (Norway)
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 (and 2 annex) / 1
Claims at issue:	-	-	-
Defences at issue:	-	-	-

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/06/1959	L/1010, L/1010/Add.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	Unknown	Unknown
Adjudicator's report:	11/12/1959	L/1128
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

ARTICLE XXII CONSULTATIONS - REQUEST BY AUSTRALIA FOR A CONSULTATION WITH THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC ON BAUXITE, ALUMINA AND METAL (GD/58)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Bauxite, alumina and metal	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Austria, Canada, Ghana, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/47, GD/48, GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/52, GD/57
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	CP Participants in the consultations, the six EEC member States and the non-member States
Chairperson:	Mr. Savini (Italy)
Other members:	-

Report		
Type:	Agreed minutes submitted by the participating contracting parties	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning): 11 / 1
Claims at issue:	-	-
Defences at issue:	-	-

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/06/1959	L/1010, L/1010/Add.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	Unknown	Unknown
Adjudicator's report:	11/12/1959	L/1129 , L/1129/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, no record of submission for adoption

**ITALIAN RESTRICTIONS AFFECTING IMPORTS FROM THE UNITED STATES AND CERTAIN
OTHER CONTRACTING PARTIES
(GD/59)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States and Japan	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/62, GD/64
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Italian Import Restrictions			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:2, XXIII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XII
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XVII, XX, XXI, Annecy Protocol para. 1(a)(ii)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. B. Sward (Sweden)
Other members:	Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Denmark, Ghana, France, Italy, India, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	6 (and 10 annex) / 5
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XII	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	Annecy Protocol para. 1(a)(ii) GATT Article XVII GATT Article XX GATT Article XXI	Beyond competence of Working Party Beyond competence of Working Party Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	22/06/1960, 15/07/1960	L/1222/Add.1 (United States); L/1222/Add.2 (Japan)
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	13/02/1961	See C/M/4
Appointment of adjudicator:	02/03/1961	L/1428
Adjudicator's report:	09/05/1961	L/1468 , L/1468/Add.1 , L/1468/Add.2 ; BISD 10S/117
Adoption of report:	16/05/1961	SR.18/2
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, partial withdrawal or modification of measure

ITALY - DISCRIMINATION AGAINST IMPORTED AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY (GD/60)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	Agricultural machinery	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/43
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	08/09/1960	L/1294	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

URUGUAYAN RECOURSE TO ARTICLE XXIII (I) (GD/61)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Uruguay	Products at issue:	Frozen bovine meat, chilled bovine meat, frozen ovine meat, chilled offals (bovine or sheep), preserved meat, meat extracts, wheat, wheat flour, barley, rice, crude linseed oil, boiled linseed oil, crude edible oils, refined or purified edible oils, oil cake, meal resulting from the extraction of vegetable oils, dried and salted cow-hide, dried and salted sheepskins, sheepskins in the wool, tanned cow-hide, sheepskin leather, chamois-dressed leather, parchment-dressed leather, patent leather and metallized leather, greasy wool, washed wool, waste of wool, combed wool, yarn of combed wool, wool textiles	
Respondent:	Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Fed. Rep., Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United States			
Third parties:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural	
		Related disputes:	GATT	GD/66, GD/71, GD/73
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Uruguay - Recourse to Article XXIII (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			
Key legal aspects				
Legal basis:	Consultations under GATT Article XXII (with France, Italy); GATT Article XXIII:1 brought together under GATT Article XXIII:2			
Procedures:	-			
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2, XXII, XXIII:1(b), XXIII:2			
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. I:2, II:4, III, XII:4, XVII, XX, Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA), Torquay Protocol Paragraph 1(a)(ii), Declaration on the Provisional Accession of the Swiss Confederation to the GATT Paragraph 1(b)			
Adjudicator				
Type:	Panel			
Chairperson:	Mr. R. Campbell Smith (Canada)			
Other members:	Mr. A. Schnebli (Switzerland), Mr. A. Vlachos (Greece) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. C. Kayra (Turkey) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. M. G. Mathur (India) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. M. H. van Wijk (Netherlands) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. R. W. Dell (Rhodesia & Nyasaland) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. P. P. Vidal (Brazil) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. E. J. Biermann (Netherlands) (Did not participate in Panel's consideration of the cases against Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany and Italy), Mr. M. Itan (Israel) (appointed subsequently), Mr. S. L. Portella de Aguiar (Brazil) (appointed subsequently)			
Report				
Type:	Panel report (adopted)		No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	64 / 20
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:2; GATT Article XXII GATT Article XXIII:1(b); GATT Article XXIII:2		No inconsistency found but finding nullification or impairment in certain cases / and concerning certain contracting parties	
Defences at issue:	Declaration on the Provisional; Accession of the Swiss Confederation to the GATT Paragraph 1(b); GATT Article I:2; GATT Article II:4; GATT Article III; GATT Article XII:4; GATT Article XVII; GATT Article XX; Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA); Torquay Protocol		Recording of contracting parties' positions.	
Timeline				
Consultation:	10/02/1961, 19/10/1961, 26/10/1961, 07/11/1961		L/1712. See L/1662, L/1662/Rev.1 and L/1679	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.		n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.		n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	26/02/1962		C/W/33	
Appointment of adjudicator:	22-28/02/1962		C/M/9, C/M/9/Corr.1, C/M/9/Corr.2, L/1739	
Adjudicator's report:	15/11/1962		BISD 11S/56; L/1923 , BISD 11S/95	
Adoption of report:	16/11/1962		SR.20/13	
Mutually agreed solution:	-		-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-		-	
Outcome				
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted			

ITALIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS AFFECTING EXPORTS FROM JAPAN (GD/62)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Certain meats, fish, fruits, spices, cereal, tobacco, various inorganic chemicals, colloidal precious metals, etc.	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/59, GD/64
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (principle of non-discrimination)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/05/1961	L/1448. See L/1547, L/1547/Add.1, L/1871, SR.19/8
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

FRENCH IMPORT RESTRICTIONS (GD/63)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Agricultural and petroleum products	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, Israel, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/65, GD/88
			WTO	-
Other titles:	France - Import restrictions (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI and XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XII

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. E. Wyndham White (GATT Executive Secretary)
Other members:	Mr. C. H. Datson (New Zealand), Mr. J. H. Warren (Canada), Mr. N. V. Skak-Nielsen (Denmark)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	Inconsistency found No finding	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XII	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/05/1961	SR.18/4
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/11/1962	L/1899
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/11/1962	SR.20/8
Adjudicator's report:	14/11/1962, 15/11/1962	L/1921 , L/1921/Corr.1 , L/1921/Corr.2 (French only); BISD 11S/55 and 94
Adoption of report:	14/11/1962	SR.20/10
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

ITALIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS AFFECTING ISRAELI EXPORTS (GD/64)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Israel	Products at issue:	Certain fruits and vegetables, bromine and bromine derivatives, potassium sulphate	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/59, GD/62
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXII:2
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT (in general)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. P. Naegeli (Denmark)
Other members:	Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Denmark, Ghana, France, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, United States, Uruguay

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	5 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	10/05/1961	L/1495. See L/1575	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	10/10/1961	L/1575	
Appointment of adjudicator:	05/12/1961	W.19/15	
Adjudicator's report:	08/12/1961	L/1675 , L/1675/Corr.1 ; BISD 10S/130	
Adoption of report:	09/12/1961	SR.19/12	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

FRENCH RESTRICTIONS WHICH AFFECT URUGUAYAN EXPORTS (GD/65)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Uruguay	Products at issue:	Meat cereals, oil seeds, animal and vegetable fats and oils, pharmaceutical products, raw hides and skins, wool, agates and amethysts
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT GD/63, GD/88 WTO -
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions Affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/11/1958	L/1496
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

URUGUAYAN RECOURSE TO ARTICLE XXIII (II)
(GD/66)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Uruguay	Products at issue:	Frozen bovine meat, chilled bovine meat, frozen ovine meat, chilled offals (bovine or sheep), preserved meat, meat extracts, wheat, wheat flour, barley, rice, crude linseed oil, boiled linseed oil, crude edible oils, refined or purified edible oils, oil cake, meal resulting from the extraction of vegetable oils, dried and salted cow-hide, dried and salted sheepskins, sheepskins in the wool, tanned cow-hide, sheepskin leather, chamois-dressed leather, parchment-dressed leather, patent leather and metallized leather, greasy wool, washed wool, waste of wool, combed wool, yarn of combed wool, wool textiles	
Respondent:	Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/61, GD/71, GD/73
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			
Key legal aspects				
Legal basis:	Consultations under GATT Article XXII (with France, Italy); GATT Article XXIII:1 brought together under GATT Article XXIII:2			
Procedures:	-			
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2, XXII, XXIII:1, XXIII:2			
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. I:2, II:4, III, XII:4, XVII, XX, Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA), Torquay Protocol Paragraph 1(a)(ii), Declaration on the Provisional Accession of the Swiss Confederation to the GATT Paragraph 1(b)			
Adjudicator				
Type:	Panel			
Chairperson:	Mr. R. Campbell Smith (Canada)			
Other members:	Mr. A. Schnebli (Switzerland), Mr. E. J. Biermann (Netherlands) (Did not participate in Panel's consideration of the cases against Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany and Italy), Mr. M. Itan (Israel) (appointed subsequently), Mr. S. L. Portella de Aguiar (Brazil) (appointed subsequently)			
Report				
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	4 (and 6 annex) / 6	
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:2; GATT Article XXII; GATT Article XXIII:1; GATT Article XXIII:2	No inconsistency found in certain cases, otherwise no conclusion reached		
Defences at issue:	Declaration on the Provisional ; Accession of the Swiss ; Confederation to the GATT ; Paragraph 1(b) ; GATT Article I:2 ; GATT Article II:4 ; GATT Article III ; GATT Article XII:4 ; GATT Article XVII ; GATT Article XX ; Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) ; Torquay Protocol		No conclusion reached	
Timeline				
Consultation:	25/01/1962	L/1712		
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.		
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.		
Request for adjudication:	25/04/1963-01/05/1963	C/M/15		
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/04/1963-01/05/1963	C/M/15		
Adjudicator's report:	30/10/1963	L/2074; BISD 13S/35		
Adoption of report:	03/03/1965	SR.22/2, SR.22/2/Corr.1. See C/M/21		
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-		
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-		
Outcome				
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted			

EXPORTS OF POTATOES TO CANADA (GD/67)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Potatoes	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Potatoes (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, VI, VII:2(a), Schedule V
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. J. H. C. Schell (Netherlands)
Other members:	Mr. A. Holland (Norway), Mr. F. P. Donovan (Australia), Mr. K. Jacobi (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	5 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article VI GATT Article VII:2(a) Schedule V	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Found not relevant Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	09/11/1962	SR.20/8
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/11/1962	SR.20/8
Adjudicator's report:	16/11/1962	L/1927 ; BISD 11S/55 and 88
Adoption of report:	16/11/1962	SR.20/13
Mutually agreed solution:	02/01/1963	L/1968
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

**AUSTRIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS - CONSULTATIONS REQUESTED BY THE NETHERLANDS
UNDER ARTICLE XXII
(GD/68)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Netherlands	Products at issue:	Meat	
Respondent:	Austria	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/70
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Austrian Import Restrictions			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	19/04/1963	L/1998
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED KINGDOM IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON GRAPEFRUIT AND
CITRUS FRUIT PRODUCTS
(GD/69)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Grapefruit and citrus fruit products	
Respondent:	United Kingdom	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Israel, Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	Procedures of the CONTRACTING PARTIES concerning consultations under Article XXII and residual import restrictions (BISD 7S/24 and 9S/19-20)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/07/1963	L/2030
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**AUSTRIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS - CONSULTATIONS REQUESTED BY
THE UNITED STATES UNDER ARTICLE XXII
(GD/70)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Commodities and industrial items; penicillin	
Respondent:	Austria	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Italy	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/68
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Austrian Import Restrictions			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/08/1963, 25/05/1964	L/2046, L/2046/Add.2, L/2046/Add.3
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**URUGUAYAN RECOURSE TO ARTICLE XXIII (III)
(GD/71)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Uruguay	Products at issue:	Frozen bovine, chilled bovine, frozen ovine meat, chilled offals (bovine or sheep), preserved meat, meat extracts, wheat flour, barley, rice (peeled), crude linseed oil, boiled linseed oil, crude edible oils, refined or purified edible oils, oil cake, meal resulting from the extraction of vegetable oils, dried and salted cow-hide, dried and salted sheepskins, sheepskins in the wool, tanned cow-hide, sheepskin leather, chamois-dressed leather, parchment-dressed leather, patent leather and metallized leather, greasy wool, washed wool, waste of wool, combed wool, yarn of combed wool, wool textiles	
Respondent:	Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden.	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/61, GD/66, GD/73
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			
Key legal aspects				
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII, XXIII:2			
Procedures:	GATT XXIII:2			
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision), respondents' Protocols of Accession			
Defences raised:	-			
Adjudicator				
Type:	Panel			
Chairperson:	Mr. R. Campbell Smith (Canada)			
Other members:	Mr. A. Schnebli (Switzerland), Mr. E. J. Biermann (Netherlands) (Did not participate in Panel's consideration of the cases against Belgium, France, the Federal Republic of Germany and Italy), Mr. M. Itan (Israel) (appointed subsequently), Mr. S. L. Portella de Aguiar (Brazil) (appointed subsequently)			
Report				
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	13 / 4	
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision) respondents' Protocols of Accession	No conclusion reached		
Defences at issue:	-	-		
Timeline				
Consultation:	06/07/1964	C/M/21		
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.		
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.		
Request for adjudication:	06/07/1964	C/M/21		
Appointment of adjudicator:	22-28/02/1962	C/M/9, C/M/9/Corr.1, C/M/9/Corr.2, L/1739		
Adjudicator's report:	27/10/1964	L/2278 , L/2278/Add.1 , L/2278/Corr.1 ; BISD 13S/45		
Adoption of report:	03/03/1965	SR.22/2, SR.22/2/Corr.1		
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-		
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-		
Outcome				
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted			

**TURKEY - EEC CUSTOMS UNION
(GD/72)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United Kingdom	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Turkey	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XXIV:5(a), XXIV:6
Defences raised:	GATT Art. XXVIII; Ankara Agreement (Turkey-EEC)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. F.P. Donovan (Australia)
Other members:	Austria, Brazil, European Economic Community, India, Madagascar, Nigeria, Norway, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States

Report			
Type:	Working party report (Council took note)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	5 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XXIV:5(a) GATT Article XXIV:6	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXVIII Ankara Agreement (Turkey-EEC)	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	

Timeline		
Consultation:	23/03/1965	SR.22/9, SR.22/9/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/03/1965	SR.22/10
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/03/1965	SR.22/11, SR.22/11/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	27/07/1965	L/2465 ; BISD 14S/59
Adoption of report:	14-16/03/1966	C/M/35 (the Council took note of the report)
Mutually agreed solution:	27/07/1965	L/2465; BISD 14S/59
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, the Council took note, mutually agreed solution

JAPANESE IMPORT RESTRICTIONS (GD/73)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Uruguay	Products at issue:	Tanned hides, yarn of combed wool, glycerine, glands, casein, woollen yarn (TN.64/LDC/7)	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/61, GD/66, GD/71
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/04/1965	L/2430
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**NORWEGIAN IMPORT RESTRICTIONS - REQUEST BY THE UNITED STATES FOR
CONSULTATIONS UNDER ARTICLE XXII
(GD/74)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Certain fruits and vegetables	
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/184, GD/185, GD/231
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	21/07/1966	L/2675
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	16/01/1967	L/2675/Add.2
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

UNITED STATES - EXPORT SUBSIDY ON UNMANUFACTURED TOBACCO (GD/75)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Malawi	Products at issue:	Unmanufactured tobacco	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Canada, India, Jamaica, Turkey	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXII:2
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI, XXXVI:2, XXXVI:3, XXXVII:3(b), XXXVII:3(c), Part IV
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XVI, XXXVII:3(c)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. A. Mahmood (Pakistan)
Other members:	Canada, Germany, India, Jamaica, Japan, Malawi, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States, Commission of European Communities

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	12 (and 26 annex) (INT(67)50); 9 (L/2925) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI GATT Article XXXVI:2 GATT Article XXXVI:3 GATT Article XXXVII:3(b) GATT Article XXXVII:3(c) GATT Part IV	No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XVI GATT Article XXXVII:3(c)	No conclusion reached No conclusion reached	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/12/1966, 23/10/1967	L/2715, L/2856
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	06/11/1967	L/2897
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/11/1967	L/2902
Adjudicator's report:	22/02/1967, 20/11/1967, 04/12/1967	INT(67)50, L/2925 , L/2925/Add.1 ; BISD 15S/116
Adoption of report:	21/11/1967	SR.24/13
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAMAICA - MARGINS OF PREFERENCE (GD/76)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Jamaica	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Jamaica - Margins of Preference (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:4, XXVI:5(c)
Defences raised:	GATT Art. XXVI:5(b), Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 2

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Sermet R. Pasin (Turkey)
Other members:	Mr. A. Schnebli (Switzerland), Mr. J. R. Samaranyake (Sri Lanka), Mr. M. H. E. Moerel (Netherlands)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	4 (and 12 annex) / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:4 GATT Article XXVI:5(c)	No finding, panel recommended a waiver No finding, panel recommended a waiver	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXVI:5(b) Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 2	No finding, panel recommended a waiver No finding, panel recommended a waiver	

Timeline		
Consultation:	spring 1969	See L/3440
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	18/09/1970	L/3440
Appointment of adjudicator:	29/09/1970	C/M/64
Adjudicator's report:	20/01/1971, 21/01/1971	L/3485 , L/3485/Corr.1 ; BISD 18S/183
Adoption of report:	02/02/1971	C/M/66
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

ITALY - ADMINISTRATIVE AND STATISTICAL FEES (GD/77)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Italy	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII as referenced in document SCM/W/48 (11/01/1983) SCM Committee, the Negotiating History of Article 18:9, the Treatment of Reports of Working Parties, Panels under Article XXIII of the General Agreement
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), III:2, VIII:1(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	20/11/1969	L/3279
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**EEC - EMERGENCY ACTION ON TABLE APPLES
(GD/78)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Torquay undertakings (Tariff concessions given during the Torquay Tariff Conference 1960-1961)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/04/1970	L/3385
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	28/04/1970	C/M/62, C/M/62/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	15/07/1970	C/M/63. See L/3385/Add.1

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

GREECE - PREFERENTIAL TARIFF QUOTAS TO THE USSR (GD/79)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Greece	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Greece - USSR Tariff Quotas (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I:1
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXV:5

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working Party
Chairperson:	Mr. B. Meere (Australia)
Other members:	Argentina, Canada, Ceylon, Chile, EEC, Greece, Japan, Nordic Countries (Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden), United Kingdom, United States, Yugoslavia

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	4 (and 8 annex) / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXV:5	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	13/04/1970	L/3384
Appointment of adjudicator:	15/07/1970	C/M/63
Adjudicator's report:	14/10/1970	L/3447 ; BISD 18S/179
Adoption of report:	02-03/12/1970	C/M/65, C/M/65/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

DENMARK - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON GRAINS (GD/80)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Grains	
Respondent:	Denmark	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	14/09/1970	L/3436
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	31/10/1970	See SCM/W/48
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

UNITED KINGDOM - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON COTTON TEXTILES (GD/81)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Israel	Products at issue:	Textiles	
Respondent:	United Kingdom	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	UK - Cotton Textiles (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Cotton Textiles Arrangement Article 4 (Long-Term Arrangement)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. P. T. Eastham (Canada)
Other members:	Mr. Eun Tak Lee (Korea), Mr. H. Colliander (Sweden), Mr. P. Affolter (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	Cotton Textiles Arrangement Article 4 (Long-Term Arrangement)	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/04/1971	See L/3741	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	25/10/1972	L/3741, L/3741/Corr.1, C/M/81	
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/11/1972	L/3770	
Adjudicator's report:	26/01/1973	L/3812 ; BISD 20S/237	
Adoption of report:	05/02/1973	C/M/84	
Mutually agreed solution:	01/01/1973	L/3812	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

UNITED KINGDOM - DOLLAR AREA QUOTAS (GD/82)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Bananas, grapefruit, rum, cigars
Respondent:	United Kingdom	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	UK - Dollar Quotas (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI, XIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. K. A. Sahlgren (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. Arthur Dunkel (Switzerland), Mr. G. O. Niyi (Nigeria), Mr. P. Tomic (Yugoslavia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	14/01/1972	See L/3753
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	13/10/1972	L/3753
Appointment of adjudicator:	06/02/1973	L/3816
Adjudicator's report:	20/07/1973	L/3891 ; BISD 20S/230 and 236
Adoption of report:	30/07/1973	C/M/89
Mutually agreed solution:	09/07/1973	L/3890, L/3891
Withdrawal of the request:	09/07/1973	L/3890, L/3891

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

**UNITED STATES - INCOME TAX LEGISLATION (DISC)
(GD/83)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-6	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	Canada	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/85, GD/86, GD/87
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - DISC (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:1, XVI:3, XVI:4, XXIII:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. L. J. Mariadason (Sri Lanka)
Other members:	Mr. Allan R. Prest (United Kingdom), Mr. Francesco Forte (Italy), Mr. T. Gabrielsson (Sweden), Mr. William J. Falconer (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	17 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:1 GATT Article XVI:3 GATT Article XVI:4 GATT Article XXIII:2	Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/02/1972	See L/3851
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	24/04/1973	L/3851
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/02/1976	C/M/112, C/M/112/Corr.1 (French only), C/M/112/Corr.2
Adjudicator's report:	02/11/1976	L/4422 ; BISD 23S/98 and 28S/114
Adoption of report:	08/12/1981	C/M/154
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EEC - COMPENSATORY TAXES ON IMPORTS
(GD/84)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Agricultural products	
Respondent:	EEC-6	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XXIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	23/06/1972	L/3715 and L/3715/Add.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	19/09/1972	C/M/80	
Withdrawal of the request:	19/09/1972	C/M/80	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

INCOME TAX PRACTICES MAINTAINED BY FRANCE (GD/85)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/83, GD/86, GD/87
			WTO	-
Other titles:	France - Income Tax (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:4, XXIII:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. L. J. Mariadason (Sri Lanka)
Other members:	Mr. Allan R. Prest (United Kingdom), Mr. Francesco Forte (Italy), Mr. T. Gabrielsson (Sweden), Mr. William J. Falconer (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	13 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:4 GATT Article XXIII:2	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/05/1972	See L/3860
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	14/05/1973	L/3860
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/02/1976	C/M/112, C/M/112/Corr.1 (French only), C/M/112/Corr.2
Adjudicator's report:	02/11/1976	L/4423 ; BISD 23S/114 and 28S/114
Adoption of report:	07-08/12/1981	C/M/154
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

INCOME TAX PRACTICES MAINTAINED BY BELGIUM (GD/86)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Belgium	Type of product:	ANot specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/83, GD/85, GD/87
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Belgium - Income Tax (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. L. J. Mariadason (Sri Lanka)
Other members:	Mr. Allan R. Prest (United Kingdom), Mr. Francesco Forte (Italy), Mr. T. Gabrielsson (Sweden), Mr. William J. Falconer (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	10 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:4	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	24/05/1972	See L/3860	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	14/05/1973	L/3860	
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/02/1976	C/M/112, C/M/112/Corr.1 (French only), C/M/112/Corr.2	
Adjudicator's report:	02/11/1976	L/4424 ; BISD 23S/127	
Adoption of report:	07-08/12/1981	C/M/154	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

INCOME TAX PRACTICES MAINTAINED BY THE NETHERLANDS (GD/87)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Netherlands	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/83, GD/85, GD/86
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Netherlands - Income Tax (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. L. J. Mariadason (Sri Lanka)
Other members:	Mr. Allan R. Prest (United Kingdom), Mr. Francesco Forte (Italy), Mr. T. Gabrielsson (Sweden), Mr. William J. Falconer (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	11 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:4	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	24/05/1972	See L/3860	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	14/05/1973	L/3860	
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/02/1976	C/M/112, C/M/112/Corr.1 (French only), C/M/112/Corr.2	
Adjudicator's report:	02/11/1976	L/4425 ; BISD 23S/137	
Adoption of report:	07-08/12/1981	C/M/154	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**FRANCE - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS (PHASE II)
(GD/88)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Several agricultural and industrial products	
Respondent:	France	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/63, GD/65
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	08/09/1972	L/3744
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	After 1972	See SCM/W/48
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**RULES OF ORIGIN - UNITED STATES REQUEST FOR CONSULTATIONS
UNDER ARTICLE XXII:1
(GD/89)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Austria, EEC-9, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	BISD 7S/24 Procedures under Article XXII on Questions affecting the Interests of a Number of Contracting Parties. Procedures Adopted on 10 November 1958 (IC.SR/38 and L/928)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	31/01/1974	L/3992
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA/EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES - ARTICLE XXVIII RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO WHEAT (GD/90)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Wheat	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada – Article XXIV:6 Renegotiations with the European Communities (Source: L/4107)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(c), XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XXIV:6 , XXVIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	04/11/1974	L/4107	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	08/11/1974	C/W/250, C/M/101, C/M/101/Corr.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	21/03/1975	C/W/259	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON BEEF AND VEAL (GD/91)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Bovine meat	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/11/1974	L/4117	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA - WITHDRAWAL OF TARIFF CONCESSIONS (LEAD AND ZINC) (GD/92)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-9	Products at issue:	Lead and unwrought zinc	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Lead and Zinc (Source: GATT Analytical Index); Canada – Withdrawal of Tariff Concessions under Article XXVIII:3 (Source: C/M/125)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXVIII:2
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXVIII:3

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Ukawa (Japan)
Other members:	Mr. Erik Hagfors (Finland), Mr. Greig (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	7 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XXVIII:2	No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	28/10/1976	L/4432
Appointment of adjudicator:	02/03/1977	C/M/119, C/M/119/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	28/04/1978	L/4636 ; BISD 25S/42
Adoption of report:	17/05/1978	C/M/125
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADIAN IMPORT QUOTAS ON EGGS (GD/93)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Eggs	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Eggs (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI, XI:2(c)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working party
Chairperson:	Mr. Mauri Eggert (Finland)
Other members:	Australia, Brazil, Canada, EEC, India, Japan, United States

Report			
Type:	Working party report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI GATT Article XI:2(c)	No conclusion reached No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	09/09/1975, 10/09/1975	L/4222, L/4223
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/09/1975	C/M/108
Adjudicator's report:	12/12/1975	L/4279 ; BISD 23S/91
Adoption of report:	17/02/1976	C/M/112, C/M/112/Corr.1 (French only), C/M/112/Corr.2
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EEC - PROGRAMME OF MINIMUM IMPORT PRICES (MIPS), LICENCES, AND SURETY DEPOSITS
FOR CERTAIN PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES (US)
(GD/94)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Processed fruits and vegetables	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/95
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Minimum Import Prices (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. I:1, II:1(b), VIII, XI:1, XI:2(c)(i), XI:2(c)(ii), XXIII
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(c)(i), XI:2(c)(ii)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Carlo S. F. Jagmetti (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Erik Hagfors (Finland) (appointed subsequently), Mr. Korenelius Sigmundsson (Iceland) (appointed subsequently), Mr. Mauri Eggert (Finland) (did not conclude the proceedings), Ms. Nimal L. Breckenridge (Sri Lanka), Mr. Takashi Yoshikuni (Japan) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. Viktor Segalla (Austria)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	45 / 13
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article VIII GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XI:2(c)(i) GATT Article XI:2(c)(ii) GATT Article XXIII	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)(i) GATT Article XI:2(c)(ii)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	22/03/1976	L/4321
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	11/06/1976	L/4321/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/11/1975	C/M/117
Adjudicator's report:	04/10/1978	L/4687 ; BISD 25S/68
Adoption of report:	18/10/1978	C/M/128, C/M/128/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EEC - PROGRAMME OF MINIMUM IMPORT PRICES (MIPS), LICENCES, AND SURETY DEPOSITS
FOR CERTAIN PROCESSED FRUITS AND VEGETABLES (AUSTRALIA)
(GD/95)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Processed fruits and vegetables, including canned peaches and canned pears	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/94
Other titles:	Licenses on Peaches and Pears		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV:6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/03/1976	L/4322
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - MEASURES ON ANIMAL FEED PROTEINS (GD/96)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Skimmed milk powder	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Animal Feed Proteins (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, II:1(b), III Ad Note, III:1, III:4, III:5; second sentence
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. P. Kaarlehto (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. B. Eberhard (Switzerland), Mr. C. G. Barnett (Jamaica), Mr. G. Denis (Canada), Mr. I. Parman (Turkey)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	22 / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article III:5; second sentence	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

131

Timeline		
Consultation:	27/04/1976	C/M/113
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/07/1976	C/M/115
Appointment of adjudicator:	02/03/1977	C/M/119, C/M/119/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	02/12/1977	L/4599 , L/4599/Corr.1 ; BISD 25S/49
Adoption of report:	14/03/1978	C/M/124
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN - MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF THROWN SILK YARN (GD/97)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Silk yarn	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Silk Yarn (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XI, XIII, XV
Defences raised:	GATT Article XVII

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Ekblom (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. Hall (Australia), Ms. Nimal L. Breckenridge (Sri Lanka)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII GATT Article XV	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XVII	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/07/1976	See L/4530
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/07/1977	L/4530
Appointment of adjudicator:	11/11/1977	C/M/123, C/M/123/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	03/03/1978	L/4637 ; BISD 25S/107
Adoption of report:	17/05/1978	C/M/125
Mutually agreed solution:	15/02/1978	See L/4637
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

UNITED STATES - SUSPENSION OF CUSTOMS LIQUIDATION REGARDING CERTAIN JAPANESE CONSUMER ELECTRONIC PRODUCTS (GD/98)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Consumer electronic products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	United States / Zenith Case (Source: L/4508)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. VI:4, XVI Ad Note
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Working party
Chairperson:	Mr. E. Farnon (New Zealand)
Other members:	Australia, Brazil, Canada, EEC, India, Japan, Malaysia, Sweden, Switzerland, United States, Yugoslavia

Report			
Type:	Working party report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	4 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article VI:4 GATT Article XVI Ad Note	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	12/05/1977	L/4500
Appointment of adjudicator:	23/05/1977	C/M/120
Adjudicator's report:	06/06/1977	L/4508
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - REFUNDS ON EXPORTS OF MALTED BARLEY (GD/99)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Malted barley	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Export Refunds - Malted Barley			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	Procedures under Article XXIII - Decision of 5 April 1966 under Article XXIII (BISD 14S/18, document L/2644) for cases of conciliation and dispute settlement where the injured party is a developing country
Claims raised:	GATT Article XVI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	DG Good Offices
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/10/1977	L/4588
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

NORWAY - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN TEXTILE PRODUCTS (GD/100)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Textiles
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Norway - Textiles (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XI, XIII:2(a), XIII:2(d), XIX:3(a)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XIX

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. R. J. Martin (Canada)
Other members:	Mr. J. D. Gerber (Switzerland), Mr. P. J. Dass (Trinidad & Tobago)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XIII:2(a) GATT Article XIII:2(d) GATT Article XIX:3(a)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XIX	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/03/1978	See L/4671
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	31/05/1978; 12/07/1979	L/4671, L/4815
Appointment of adjudicator:	06/11/1979	C/M/135
Adjudicator's report:	24/03/1980	L/4959 ; BISD 27S/119
Adoption of report:	18/06/1980	C/M/141
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPANESE MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF LEATHER (GD/101)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Leather	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, India, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/107, GD/112, GD/138, GD/156
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Leather I (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. E. Nettel (Austria)
Other members:	Mr. Furulyas (Hungary), Mr. Ostenfeld (Denmark)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision)	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/05/1978	See L/4691
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/07/1978	L/4691
Appointment of adjudicator:	29/01/1979	C/M/132, C/M/132/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	16/03/1979	L/4789 ; BISD 26S/320
Adoption of report:	06/11/1979	C/M/135
Mutually agreed solution:	26/02/1979	L/4789
Withdrawal of the request:	26/02/1979	L/4789

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

EEC - REFUNDS ON EXPORTS OF SUGAR – COMPLAINT BY AUSTRALIA (GD/102)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/103
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EC - Sugar Exports (Australia) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:1, XVI:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. P. Kaarlehto (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. B. Eberhard (Switzerland), Mr. I. Parman (Turkey)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	32 (and 16 annex) / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:1 GATT Article XVI:3	Inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/10/1978	C/M/128, C/M/128/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/09/1978	L/4701
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/11/1978	C/M/130
Adjudicator's report:	25/10/1979	L/4833 ; BISD 26S/290 and 28S/80
Adoption of report:	06/11/1979	C/M/135
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - REFUNDS ON EXPORTS OF SUGAR - COMPLAINT BY BRAZIL (GD/103)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/102
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EC - Sugar Exports (Brazil) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:1, XVI:3, XXXVI, XXXVIII, Part IV
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. P. Kaarlehto (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. B. Eberhard (Switzerland), Mr. I. Parman (Turkey) (did not conclude the proceedings), Mr. Ki-Choo Lee (Korea) (appointed subsequently)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	30 (and 18 annex) / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:1 GATT Article XVI:3 GATT Article XXXVI GATT Article XXXVIII GATT Part IV	Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	10/11/1978	L/4722
Appointment of adjudicator:	29/01/1979, 06/11/1979	C/M/132, C/M/132/Corr.1, C/M/135
Adjudicator's report:	07/10/1980	L/5011 ; BISD 27S/69 and 28S/80
Adoption of report:	10/11/1980	C/M/144, C/M/144/Corr.1 (French only)
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - APPLICATION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTIES (GD/104)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-9	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	Application of CVDs		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article VI:6(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	07/12/1978	L/4745	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES FROM CHILE (GD/105)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/288, GD/293
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Apples I (Chile) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II:1(a), II:1(b), XIII:1, XIII:2(a), XIII:2(d), XIII:3(b) first sentence, XIII:3(b) second sentence, XXIII, XXXVI, XXXVII, Part IV
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(c)(i), XI:2(c)(ii), XIII:2(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. A. El Gowhari (Egypt)
Other members:	Mr. Magnus Lemmel (Sweden), Mr. R. Wright (Canada)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	19 / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article II:1(a) GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article XIII:1 GATT Article XIII:2(a) GATT Article XIII:2(d) GATT Article XIII:3(b) first sentence GATT Article XIII:3(b) second sentence GATT Article XXIII GATT Article XXXVI GATT Article XXXV	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)(i) GATT Article XI:2(c)(ii) GATT Article XIII:2(d)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be applicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/06/1979, 13/07/1979	L/4805, L/4816
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/07/1979	C/M/134, C/M/134/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	29/01/1980	C/M/138, C/M/138/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	31/10/1980	L/5047 ; BISD 27S/98
Adoption of report:	10/11/1980	C/M/144, C/M/144/Corr.1 (French only)
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**SPAIN - TARIFF TREATMENT OF UNROASTED COFFEE
(GD/106)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Unroasted coffee	
Respondent:	Spain	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Spain - Unroasted Coffee (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1; XXIII:1, XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. H. Ewerlöf (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. R. Daniel (Poland), Mr. U. Herrmann (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	11 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	13/09/1979, 10/03/1980	L/4832, L/4954	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	28/04/1980	L/4974	
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/10/1980	C/M/143	
Adjudicator's report:	27/04/1981	L/5135 ; BISD 28S/102	
Adoption of report:	11/06/1981	C/M/148	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN'S MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF LEATHER (GD/107)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Leather	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/101, GD/112, GD/138, GD/156
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Leather (Canada) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. H. Ewerlöf (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Furulyas (Hungary), Mr. Ostenfeld (Denmark)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision)	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	20/09/1979	See L/4856
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	26/10/1979	L/4856
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/03/1980	C/M/139, C/M/139/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	20/10/1980	L/5042 ; BISD 27S/118
Adoption of report:	10/11/1980	C/M/144, C/M/144/Corr.1 (French only)
Mutually agreed solution:	22/09/1980	Record of Discussions
Withdrawal of the request:	22/09/1980	Record of Discussions

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

**JAPAN - RESTRAINTS ON IMPORTS OF MANUFACTURED TOBACCO
FROM THE UNITED STATES
(GD/108)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Manufactured tobacco	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Sweden	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Tobacco (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III, XVII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. H. Kröyer (Iceland)
Other members:	Mr. A. P. Lautenberg (Switzerland), Mr. Alejandro Jara (Chile)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III GATT Article XVII	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	07/11/1979	L/4871
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/03/1980	C/M/139, C/M/139/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	15/05/1981	L/5140 ; BISD 28S/100
Adoption of report:	11/06/1981	C/M/148
Mutually agreed solution:	04/03/1981	Joint letter US-Japan
Withdrawal of the request:	05/05/1981	US informed the Panel

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

SPAIN - MEASURES CONCERNING THE DOMESTIC SALE OF SOYABEAN OIL - RECOURSE TO ARTICLE XXIII:2 BY THE UNITED STATES (GD/109)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Soyabean oil	
Respondent:	Spain	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Spain - Soyabean Oil (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:4, III:5 second sentence, XVII, XXIII:1(a), XXIII:1(b), XXIII:1(c)
Defences raised:	Spain's Protocol of Accession

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. J. J. Real (Uruguay)
Other members:	Mr. M. Besson (Switzerland), Mr. Furulyas (Hungary)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	17 / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article III:5 second sentence GATT Article XVII GATT Article XXIII:1(a) GATT Article XXIII:1(b) GATT Article XXIII:1(c)	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment No inconsistency - but finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	Spain's Protocol of Accession	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/11/1979	C/M/136, C/M/136/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/10/1979	L/4859
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/03/1980	C/M/139, C/M/139/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	17/06/1981, 22/06/1981	L/5142 , L/5142/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

UNITED STATES - PROHIBITION OF IMPORTS OF TUNA AND TUNA PRODUCTS FROM CANADA (GD/110)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Tuna products
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	US - Canadian Tuna (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:1, XI:2
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(g)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Ms. Annette Auguste (Trinidad & Tobago) (until 10/11/1980 due to transfer from Geneva), Mr. P.K. Williams (United Kingdom) (following consultations with the two parties)
Other members:	Mr. J. D. Gerber (Switzerland), MR. T. H. Chau (UK, Hong Kong Affairs)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	27 / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XI:2	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(g)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	21/01/1980	L/4931
Appointment of adjudicator:	18/06/1980, 10/11/1980	C/M/141, C/M/144, C/M/144/Corr.1 (French only)
Adjudicator's report:	22/12/1981	L/5198 ; BISD 29S/91
Adoption of report:	22/02/1982	C/M/155
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - IMPORTS OF BEEF FROM CANADA
(GD/111)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Import of Beef (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II:1
Defences raised:	Tokyo Declaration, Par 5

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. K. Berger (Norway)
Other members:	Mr. C.A. Rego Santos-Neves (Brazil), Mr. Elvezio Contestabile (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article II:1	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	Tokyo Declaration, Parr. 5	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/03/1980	C/M/139, C/M/139/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	L/4987
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/10/1980	C/M/143
Adjudicator's report:	23/01/1981	L/5099 ; BISD 28S/92
Adoption of report:	10/03/1981	C/M/146
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

INDIAN COMPLAINT AGAINST JAPAN (LEATHER) (GD/112)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Leather	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/101, GD/107, GD/138, GD/156
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	Procedures under Article XXIII - Decision of 5 April 1966 under Article XXIII (BISD 14S/18, document L/2644) for cases of conciliation and dispute settlement where the injured party is a developing country
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, X:1, X:3, XI:1, XIII:3(b), XXXVI:5
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	11/04/1980	Restricted document
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	07/1980	See L/5623, p. 12
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

EEC - UNITED KINGDOM APPLICATION OF EEC DIRECTIVES TO IMPORTS OF POULTRY FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/113)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Poultry	
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Poultry (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Manuel Trucco (Chile)
Other members:	Mr. Ki-Choo Lee (Korea), Mr. M. Pullinen (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	2 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	

Timeline			
Consultation:	24/07/1980	L/5013	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	29/09/1980	L/5033	
Appointment of adjudicator:	18/12/1980	C/M/145	
Adjudicator's report:	03/06/1981	L/5155 ; BISD 28S/90	
Adoption of report:	11/06/1981	C/M/148	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	13/05/1981	L/5149	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, request withdrawn, report adopted

EEC - TARIFF TREATMENT ON IMPORTS OF CITRUS PRODUCTS FROM CERTAIN COUNTRIES IN THE MEDITERRANEAN REGION (GD/114)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Citrus products
Respondent:	EEC-9	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	EC - Citrus (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907, BISD 26S/210)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(a), XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXIV

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Paul Wurth (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Anti Kuosmanen (Finland), Mr. B. Eberhard (Switzerland), Mr. Hardeep Puri (India), Mr. J. Goodman (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	105 (and 22 annex) / 14
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XXIII:1(a) GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	No inconsistency found Finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXIV	No decision given the lack of consensus	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/07/1980	L/5012
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/06/1982	L/5337
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/07/1983	C/M/170, C/M/170/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	07/02/1985	L/5776
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTY WITHOUT INJURY
CRITERION/INDUSTRIAL FASTENERS IMPORTED FROM INDIA
(GD/115)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Industrial fasteners	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/132
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - CVD (India) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, VI
Defences raised:	TR SCM Article 19:9

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. H. Ewerlöf (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro Jara (Chile), Mr. G. Maggio (Retired Senior Counsellor, GATT Secretariat), Mr. Gerard Curzon (United Kingdom), Mr. T. Flory (France)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article VI	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	TR SCM Article 19:9	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/09/1980	L/5028	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	29/10/1980	L/5062	
Appointment of adjudicator:	11/06/1981	C/M/148	
Adjudicator's report:	30/09/1981	L/5192 ; BISD 28S/113	
Adoption of report:	03/11/1981	C/M/152	
Mutually agreed solution:	25/09/1981	L/5062/Add.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	25/09/1981	L/5062/Add.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

**GREECE - EXPORT SUBSIDIES
(GD/116)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	09/01/1981	SCM/Spec/2	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

AUSTRIA - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF VIDEO TAPE RECORDERS (GD/117)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Video tape recorders	
Respondent:	Austria	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XIX
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/02/1981	L/5119	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**PANEL ON VITAMINS
(GD/118)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Vitamins	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	US -Vitamin B12		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. E. Nettel (Austria)
Other members:	Mr. J. Yeabsley (New Zealand), Mr. M. Pullinen (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	7 (and 1 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision)	No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/03/1981	L/5129
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	01/06/1981	L/5157
Appointment of adjudicator:	13/08/1981	C/121
Adjudicator's report:	18/06/1982	L/5331 ; BISD 29S/110
Adoption of report:	01/10/1982	C/M/161, C/M/161/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - PRODUCTION SUBSIDIES ON CANNED FRUIT (GD/119)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Canned fruit	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Production Subsidies on Canned Fruit			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:1 and XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	11/06/1981, 01/07/1981	C/M/148, L/5167	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - VALUE-ADDED TAX (VAT) AND THRESHOLD (GD/120)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - VAT and Threshold (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Arts. VII:3, VII:6
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. K. Berger (Norway)
Other members:	Mr. Elvezio Contestabile (Switzerland), Mr. Sivam Subramaniam (Singapore)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	10 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1(b)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	27/06/1981	GPR/Spec/10	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	30/06/1982	GPR/Spec/18	
Appointment of adjudicator:	21/04/1983	GPR/W/29	
Adjudicator's report:	17/01/1984, 26/06/1984	GPR/Spec/31 , GPR/21 ; BISD 31S/247	
Adoption of report:	16/05/1984	GPR/M/Spec/9	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - IMPORTS OF CERTAIN AUTOMOTIVE SPRING ASSEMBLIES
(GD/121)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Automotive spring assemblies	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/178
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Spring Assemblies (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), III:1, III:2, III:4, XI:1
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	Mr. Harry Reed (United Kingdom), Mr. D. M. McPhail (Hong Kong), Mr. Haron Siraj (Malaysia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	19 / 5
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:2 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article XI:1	Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(d)	Defence found to be applicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/09/1981	L/5195	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	23/10/1981	L/5195/Add.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	22/02/1982	C/M/155	
Adjudicator's report:	11/06/1982	L/5333 ; BISD 30S/107	
Adoption of report:	26/05/1983	C/M/168, C/M/168/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - SUBSIDIES ON EXPORT OF WHEAT FLOUR (GD/122)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Wheat	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/140
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Wheat Flour Subsidies (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:2, XVI:3; TR SCM Arts. 8, 8:3(c), 8:4 fn. 26, 10:1, 10:2(a), 10:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Fumihiko Suzuki (Japan)
Other members:	Mr. D.E. Hobson (Canada), Mr. R. Lempen (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	42 (and 35 annex) / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XVI:2 TR SCM Article 10:2(a) TR SCM Article 10:3	Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	29/09/1981	SCM/10
Conciliation:	28-30/10/1981, 08/12/1981	SCM/M/9, SCM/Spec/6
Conciliation meeting:	28-30/10/1981	SCM/M/9
Request for adjudication:	08/12/1981	SCM/Spec/6
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/12/1981	SCM/M/10
Adjudicator's report:	21/03/1983	SCM/42
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**EEC - SUBSIDIES ON THE EXPORT OF POULTRY AND POULTRY PARTS
(GD/123)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Poultry	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Arts. 12:1, 12:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	13/11/1981	SCM/Spec/9
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS AGAINST IMPORTS OF CERTAIN PRODUCTS FROM HONG KONG (GD/124)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Knitwear and clothing other than of cotton, man-made fibers and wool; umbrellas; radios and radio parts; pleasures and sports boats for marine use; compound optical microscopes; toys and toy parts; electronic watches with piezo-electric quartz crystal regulating device	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Import Restrictions (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XI, XIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Richard Hochörtler (Austria)
Other members:	Mr. Alberto Dumont (Argentina), Mr. D. J. Greenfield (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	11 (and 10 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII	Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07-08/12/1981	C/M/154
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/08/1982	L/5362
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/01/1983	C/M/165
Adjudicator's report:	01/07/1983	L/5511 ; BISD 30S/129
Adoption of report:	12/07/1983	C/M/170, C/M/170/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA - ADMINISTRATION OF THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REVIEW ACT (FIRA) (GD/125)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	ANot specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - FIRA (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:4, III:5, XI:1, XVII:1(c)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. T.C. O'Brien (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Johannes N. Feij (Netherlands), Mr. M. Ikeda (Japan)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	22 / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:4 GATT Article III:5 GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XVII:1(c)	Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(d)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/01/1982	L/5280
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/03/1982	L/5308
Appointment of adjudicator:	02/11/1982	C/M/162
Adjudicator's report:	25/07/1983	L/5504 ; BISD 30S/140
Adoption of report:	07/02/1984	C/M/174
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES - EXPORT SUBSIDIES ON SUGAR
(GD/126)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Arts. 12, 13:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI, XVI Ad Note; TR SCM Arts. 8, 10, 10:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	07/02/1982	L/5294	
Conciliation:	06/04/1982	SCM/Spec/14	
Conciliation meeting:	-	-	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**THE UNITED STATES COMPLAINT AGAINST THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY AND BRAZIL,
CONCERNING THE GRANTING OF SUBSIDIES ON THE EXPORT AND PRODUCTION OF POULTRY
(GD/127)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Poultry	
Respondent:	Brazil and EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Export and production subsidies of poultry			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XVI; TR SCM Arts. 8, 9, 10
Defences raised:	TR SCM Arts. 12:3, 14:4

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/02/1982	See SCM/Spec/19
Conciliation:	27/09/1983	SCM/Spec/19
Conciliation meeting:	-	-
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - SUBSIDIES ON EXPORT OF PASTA PRODUCTS (GD/128)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Pasta products	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Pasta Subsidies (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:1, XVI:4; TR SCM Arts. 9, 9 fn. 29, 10
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. E. Nettel (Austria) (until 09/03/1983 due to transfer from Geneva), Mr. D. M. McPhail (Hong Kong) (from 15/03/1983)
Other members:	Mr. F. Laschinger (Canada), Mr. Hardeep Puri (India), Mr. M. Pullingen (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	16 / 6
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 9	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	18/02/1982	SCM/Spec/8	
Conciliation:	01/03/1982	SCM/Spec/11	
Conciliation meeting:	24/03/1982	SCM/M/Spec/4	
Request for adjudication:	07/04/1982	SCM/M/Spec/5	
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/06/1982	SCM/Spec/16	
Adjudicator's report:	19/05/1983	SCM/43	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**EEC - PRODUCTION AIDS GRANTED ON CANNED PEACHES, CANNED PEARS, CANNED FRUIT
COCKTAIL AND DRIED GRAPES
(GD/129)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Canned peaches, canned pears, raisins	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Canned Fruit (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	TR SCM Article 8:4(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. J. L. MacNeil (Canada)
Other members:	Mr. Bo Henrikson (Sweden), Mr. Shi-Hyung Kim (Korea)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	31 (and 2 annex) / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	Finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/02/1982	See L/5306	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	17/03/1982	L/5306	
Appointment of adjudicator:	29-30/06/1982	C/M/159, C/M/159/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	20/02/1985	L/5778	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	12/02/1986	C/M/195, C/M/195/Corr.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, mutually agreed solution

JAPAN - NULLIFICATION OR IMPAIRMENT OF THE BENEFITS ACCRUING TO THE EEC UNDER THE GENERAL AGREEMENT AND IMPEDIMENT TO THE ATTACHMENT ATTAINMENT OF GATT OBJECTIVES (GD/130)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes			
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	All products, in particular manufactured products and processed foodstuffs		
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural		
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-	
			WTO	-	
Other titles:	Nullification or Impairment of Benefits				

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(c); XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XXIII:1(c)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/03/1982	See L/5479
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/04/1983	L/5479
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES - SUGAR REGIME
(GD/131)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, India, Nicaragua, Peru, Philippines	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XVI:2, XVI:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	02/04/1982, 04/05/1982	L/5309, L/5309/Add.3
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**CERTAIN DOMESTIC PROCEDURES OF THE UNITED STATES (CVD PROCEDURES)
(GD/132)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Industrial fasteners	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/115
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 17:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 4:2, 4:9, 5
Defences raised:	TR SCM Article 4

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
Conciliation:	22/04/1982	SCM/20
Conciliation meeting:	29/04/1982, 15/07/1982	SCM/M/11, SCM/M/11/Corr.1, SCM/M/11/Corr.2, SCM/M/Spec/7
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES MANUFACTURING CLAUSE (GD/133)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Books	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Manufacturing Clause (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, XI, XIII; Concessions under the understanding between US and the EEC during the Tokyo Round
Defences raised:	GATT Part II; Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 1(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. P. Rantanen (Finland)
Other members:	Mr. N. Kemmochi (Japan), Mr. Siraj Haron (Malaysia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	14 (and 3 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII Concessions under the understanding between US and the EEC during the Tokyo Round	Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 1(b)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/07/1982	C/M/160	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	03/03/1983	L/5467	
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/07/1983	C/M/170, C/M/170/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	01/03/1984	L/5609 ; BISD 31S/74	
Adoption of report:	15/05/1984	C/M/178, C/M/178/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

JAPAN'S TREATMENT OF US MANUFACTURED METAL SOFTBALL BATS (GD/134)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Metal softball bats	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Metal Softball Bats			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR TBT Arts. 14:1, 14:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR TBT Article 7:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	06/08/1982	See TBT/Spec/7	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	20/09/1982	TBT/Spec/7	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	18/03/1983	TBT/Spec/8	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

FINLAND - INTERNAL REGULATIONS HAVING EFFECT ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN PARTS FOR FOOTWEAR (GD/135)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Footwear parts	
Respondent:	Finland	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	23/09/1982	L/5369	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	02/11/1982	C/M/162	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**SWITZERLAND - IMPORTS OF TABLE GRAPES
(GD/136)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Table grapes	
Respondent:	Switzerland	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Imports of Table Grapes			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XIX
Defences raised:	GATT Article XIX

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/09/1982	L/5371	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - INITIATION OF A COUNTERVAILING DUTY INVESTIGATION INTO
SOFTWOOD LUMBER PRODUCTS FROM CANADA
(GD/137)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Lumber	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/164, GD/258
			WTO	-
Other titles:				

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	27/10/1982	See SCM/40
Conciliation:	15/02/1983, 09/03/1983	SCM/40, SCM/40/Add.1
Conciliation meeting:	-	-
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**PANEL ON JAPANESE MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF LEATHER
(GD/138)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Leather	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, EEC-10, India, New Zealand, Pakistan	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/101, GD/107, GD/112, GD/156
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Leather II (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, X:1, X:3, XI, XIII:3, Japan's Schedule XXVIII [XXVII] appended to the GATT
Defences raised:	Understanding of the Chairman of the Ministerial Meeting November 1982 (SR.38/9 page 2) in the Ministerial Declaration para. 7(i) (BISD 29S/11)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Martin Huslid (Norway)
Other members:	Mr. Douglas Jayasekera (Sri Lanka), Mr. Harry Reed (United Kingdom)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	17 / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article X:1 GATT Article X:3 GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII:3	Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

173

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/12/1982	L/5440
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	24/02/1983	L/5462
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/07/1983	C/M/170, C/M/170/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	02/03/1984	L/5623 ; BISD 31S/94
Adoption of report:	15-16/05/1984	C/M/178, C/M/178/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**EEC - IMPORT RESTRICTIVE MEASURES ON VIDEO TAPE RECORDERS
(GD/139)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Video tape recorders	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. VIII:1(c), XI:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/12/1982	L/5427	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - SUBSIDIES ON EXPORTS OF WHEAT FLOUR TO EGYPT (GD/140)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Wheat flour	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/122
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 10:1, 10:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/02/1983	Letter. See SCM/M/Spec/8	
Conciliation:	07/07/1983	SCM/Spec/18	
Conciliation meeting:	08/07/1983	SCM/M/Spec/8	
Request for adjudication:	18/05/1983	SCM/M/Spec/8	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC COMPLAINT CONCERNING ANTI-DUMPING ACTION BY CANADA ON ELECTRIC GENERATORS IMPORTED FROM THE COMMUNITY (GD/141)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Electric generators	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Anti-Dumping Action by Canada on Electric Generators from Italy			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Article 2:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	26-27/04/1983	ADP/M/10, ADP/M/10/Corr.1	
Conciliation:	04/11/1983	ADP/16	
Conciliation meeting:	15/11/1983, 14/03/1984	ADP/M/11, ADP/M/Spec/1	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - IMPORTS OF SUGAR FROM NICARAGUA
(GD/142)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Nicaragua	Products at issue:	Sugar
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	US- Sugar Quota (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XI:1, XIII:2(d), XXXVI:1(a), XXXVI:1(b), XXXVI:1(d), XXXVI:1(e), XXXVI:2, XXXVI:3, XXXVI:4, XXXVI:9, XXXVII:1(b), XXXVII:2(a), Part IV, Ministerial Declaration of November 1982 para. 7(iii) (BISD 29S/15)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. R. E. B. Peren (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Christer Manhusen (Sweden), Mr. Huberto Villar Sarraillet (España)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	7 / 2
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII:2(d) GATT Part IV	Judicial economy exercised Found to fall outside of terms of reference Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

177

Timeline		
Consultation:	11/05/1983	L/5492
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	27/06/1983	L/5513
Appointment of adjudicator:	03/10/1983	C/M/171
Adjudicator's report:	02/03/1984	L/5607 ; BISD 31S/67
Adoption of report:	13/03/1984	C/M/176
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - RECLASSIFICATION OF MACHINE-THRESHED TOBACCO
(GD/143)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Machine-threshed tobacco	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Impairment of GATT concessions
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/09/1983	L/5541	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**PROBLEMS POSED FOR COMMUNITY EXPORTS BY SPANISH HOMOLOGATION REQUIREMENTS
FOR HEATING RADIATORS AND ELECTRICAL MEDICAL EQUIPMENT, WITH A VIEW TO
FACILITATING MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY SOLUTION
(GD/144)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Heating radiators and electrical medical equipment	
Respondent:	Spain	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Homologation Requirements - Radiators			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR TBT Arts. 14:1, 14:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR TBT Arts. 2:1, 5:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/10/1983, 02/02/1984	See TBT/Spec/9
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	16/02/1984	TBT/Spec/9
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - PANEL ON NEWSPRINT (GD/145)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Newsprint	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Newsprint (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article II
Defences raised:	GATT Article XIII

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Gardner Patterson (GATT Deputy Director General)
Other members:	Mr. Alberto Dumont (Argentina), Mr. M. Shaton (Israel)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	16 (and 5 annex) / 3
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XIII	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/12/1983	L/5589	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	01/03/1984	L/5628	
Appointment of adjudicator:	05/06/1984	C/127	
Adjudicator's report:	17/10/1984	L/5680 ; BISD 31S/114	
Adoption of report:	06-08 and 20/11/1984	C/M/183, C/M/183/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**CHILE - IMPORT MEASURES ON CERTAIN DAIRY PRODUCTS
(GD/146)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Dairy products	
Respondent:	Chile	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Import Measures - Dairy Products			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article II:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/04/1984	L/5653	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**CANADA - IMPORT, DISTRIBUTION AND SALE OF ALCOHOLIC DRINKS BY PROVINCIAL
MARKETING AUTHORITIES
(GD/147)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Alcoholic and malt beverages	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/232
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Provincial Liquor Boards (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(a), II:4, III:1, III:2, III:4, XI, XVII, XVII:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Ephraim F. Haran (Israel)
Other members:	Mr. Elvezio Contestabile (Switzerland), Mr. Jorge Vigano (Argentina)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	51 (and 6 annex) / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:4 GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:2 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article XI GATT Article XVII GATT Article XVII:4	Inconsistency found Found not relevant Found not relevant Found not relevant Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/06/1984	See L/6304
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	06/02/1985	L/5777
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/02/1986	C/143
Adjudicator's report:	05/02/1988	L/6304 ; BISD 35S/37
Adoption of report:	22/03/1988	C/M/218
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**CANADA - EEC CONSULTATIONS ON IMPORTS OF BEEF
(GD/148)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Frozen boneless beef	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 10:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/06/1984	SCM/51	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA - MEASURES AFFECTING THE SALE OF GOLD COINS (GD/149)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	South Africa	Products at issue:	Gold coins	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	EEC-10	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Gold Coins (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:2, XXIV:12
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXIV:12

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Pierre Luis Girard (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. M. Ikeda (Japan), Mr. M. Shaton (Israel)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	23 / 8
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article III:2 GATT Article XXIV:12	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXIV:12	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/06/1984	L/5662
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	24/10/1984	L/5711
Appointment of adjudicator:	19/02/1985	C/131
Adjudicator's report:	17/09/1985	L/5863
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

NEW ZEALAND - IMPORTS OF ELECTRICAL TRANSFORMERS FROM FINLAND (GD/150)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Finland	Products at issue:	Electrical transformers	
Respondent:	New Zealand	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	New Zealand - Finnish Transformers (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article VI:1(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Hielke van Tuinen (Former Director, Office of Legal Affairs, GATT Secretariat, 1981-1982)
Other members:	Mr. Andrew Stoler (United States), Mr. Janusz Kaczurba (Poland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	13 / 5
Claims at issue:	GATT Article VI:1(a)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	11/07/1984	C/M/180	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	21/09/1984	L/5682	
Appointment of adjudicator:	06-08/11/1984, 20/11/1984	C/M/183, C/M/183/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	19/06/1985	L/5814 ; BISD 32S/55	
Adoption of report:	17-19/07/1985	C/M/191	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - OPERATION OF ITS BEEF AND VEAL REGIME (GD/151)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Beef and veal	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	26/08/1984	L/5715	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

JAPAN - SINGLE TENDERING PROCEDURES (GD/152)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Article VII:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	05/11/1984	GPR/Spec/42	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - DEFINITION OF INDUSTRY CONCERNING WINE AND GRAPE PRODUCTS
(GD/153)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Wine and grape products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Wine and Grape Products (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 16:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 6:1 fn. 18, 6:5, 6:6, 9 fn. 29; 19:5(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Magnus Lemmel (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Darry Salim (Indonesia), Mr. Hielke van Tuinen (Former Director, Office of Legal Affairs, GATT Secretariat, 1981-1982)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	12 / 3
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 6:1 fn. 18 TR SCM Article 6:5 TR SCM Article 6:6 TR SCM Article 9 fn. 29 TR SCM Article 19:5(a)	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	29/11/1984	SCM/54
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	23/01/1985	SCM/60
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/10/1985	SCM/M/29
Adjudicator's report:	24/03/1986	SCM/71 ; BISD 39S/436
Adoption of report:	28/04/1992	SCM/M/59
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES BAN ON IMPORTS OF STEEL PIPES AND TUBES
FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES
(GD/154)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Steel pipes and tubes
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Ban on Steel Pipes and Tubes		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210); para. 3
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/12/1984	L/5747, L/5747/Add.2
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	15/01/1985	L/5773
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN SUGAR-CONTAINING PRODUCTS (GD/155)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Sugar-containing products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/202, GD/203
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Sugar Waiver (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	Section 22 Waiver to the US in 1955 Decision (BISD 3S/32)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/02/1985	See L/5783
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	26/02/1985	L/5783
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

JAPAN - QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF LEATHER FOOTWEAR (GD/156)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Leather footwear	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/101, GD/107, GD/112, GD/138
			WTO	-
Other titles:	QRs on Leather Footwear			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210), para. 20
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/03/1985	C/M/186
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	03/07/1985	L/5826
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	02/12/1985	L/5826/Add.1

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, withdrawal or modification of measure, request withdrawn

**FRENCH "COMPUTER LITERACY PROGRAM"
(GD/157)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Computer and related equipment	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Article VII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR GPR Arts. V:3, V:4, V:10, VI:7
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	24/04/1985	GPR/W/69
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - TRADE MEASURES AFFECTING NICARAGUA
(GD/158)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Nicaragua	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Nicaraguan Trade (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, II, V, XI:1, XIII, XXIII:1(b), XXIV, XXXVI, XXXVII, XXXVIII
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXI(b)(iii)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Martin Huslid (Norway)
Other members:	Mr. Darry Salim (Indonesia), Mr. Huberto Villar (España)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	19 / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article II GATT Article V GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII GATT Article XXIII:1(b) GATT Article XXIV GATT Article XXXVI GATT Article XXXVII GATT Article XXXVIII	No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached No conclusion reached	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XXI(b)(iii)	No conclusion reached	

Timeline		
Consultation:	11/07/1985	L/5847
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	17-19/07/1985	C/M/191
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/04/1986	C/137
Adjudicator's report:	13/10/1986	L/6053
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF COTTON PILLOWCASES AND BEDSHEETS (GD/159)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Portugal	Products at issue:	Cotton pillowcases and sheets	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Cotton Pillowcases and Sheets			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/08/1985	L/5859	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA - IMPOSITION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF MANUFACTURING BEEF FROM THE EEC (GD/160)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	EEC-10	Products at issue:	Manufacturing beef
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Australia, United States	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Canada - Manufacturing Beef CVD (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 2:1, 6:5
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Carl Henrik von Platen (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Adin Talbar (Israel), Mr. Robert E. Hudec (United States)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	22 / 8
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 2:1 TR SCM Article 6:5	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	30/10/1985	See SCM/75
[Conciliation:]	30/07/1986	SCM/75
Conciliation meeting:	01/08/1986	SCM/M/Spec/12
Request for adjudication:	10/10/1986	SCM/77
Appointment of adjudicator:	03/06/1987	SCM/M/34, SCM/M/34/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	13/10/1987	SCM/85
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES - BAN ON IMPORTATION OF CERTAIN SEAL PUPS AND RELATED PRODUCTS (GD/161)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Seal pups and related products	
Respondent:	EEC-10	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	WT/DS369, WT/DS400, WT/DS401
Other titles:	Ban on Seal Pup Skins			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	09/12/1985	L/5940	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

BRAZILIAN EXPORTS OF NON-BEVERAGE ETHYL ALCOHOL INTO THE UNITED STATES (GD/162)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Non-beverage ethyl alcohol	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Non-Beverage Ethyl Alcohol			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	06/05/1986	L/5993	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ENLARGEMENT OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY (RECOURSE BY ARGENTINA) (GD/163)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina	Products at issue:	Grain sorghum and maize	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210), para. 20
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIV:6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	27/05/1986	See L/6201
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	07/07/1987	L/6201
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING THE EXPORT OF SOFTWOOD
LUMBER FROM CANADA
(GD/164)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Lumber	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/137, GD/258
			WTO	-
Other titles:				

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI; TR SCM Art. 2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Michael D. Cartland (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. Luzius Wasescha (Switzerland), Mr. Ulrich Mohrmann (Germany)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	4 / 1
Claims at issue:	GATT Article VI TR SCM Article 2	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/06/1986	See SCM/73
Conciliation:	14/06/1986	SCM/73
Conciliation meeting:	30/07/1986	SCM/M/Spec/11
Request for adjudication:	25/07/1986	SCM/76
Appointment of adjudicator:	27-31/10/1986, 13/11/1986	SCM/M/32
Adjudicator's report:	25/05/1987	SCM/83 ; BISD 34S/194
Adoption of report:	03/06/1987	SCM/M/34, SCM/M/34/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	30/12/1986	See SCM/83
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted, mutually agreed solution

COUNTERVAILING DUTY IMPOSED BY CANADA ON PASTA PRODUCTS FROM THE EEC (GD/165)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Pasta products	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 2:1(a), 2:1(b), 2:1(c), 5:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	26/06/1986	See SCM/78	
Conciliation:	24/10/1986	SCM/78	
Conciliation meeting:	27/01/1987	SCM/M/32	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (GD/166)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Milk and cream, cheese, dried leguminous vegetables, starch and inulin, groundnuts, meat of bovine animals, other sugars and syrups, fruit purée and pastes, fruit pulp and pineapple, fruit and vegetable juices, tomato ketchup and sauce, food preparations	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, EEC-12, Uruguay	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/194, GD/195, GD/200, GD/244
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan -Agricultural Products I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2, XI:2(c)(i)
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. II, II:4 Ad Note, XI Ad Note, XII, XIII, XIV, XVIII, XX(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Sermet R. Pasin (Former Assistant Director-General of the GATT)
Other members:	Mr. Johannes N. Feij (Netherlands), Mr. Sandor Simon (Hungary)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	83 / 26
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:2 GATT Article XI:2(c)(i)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(d)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	11/07/1983, 08-09/09/1983	See L/6037
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	12/08/1986	L/6037
Appointment of adjudicator:	27/02/1987	C/145
Adjudicator's report:	18/11/1987	L/6253 ; BISD 35S/163
Adoption of report:	02/02/1988	C/M/217, C/M/217/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN - CUSTOMS DUTIES, TAXES AND LABELLING PRACTICES ON IMPORTED WINES AND ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES (GD/167)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Wines and alcoholic beverages
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Argentina, Canada, Finland, United States, Yugoslavia	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Japan - Alcoholic Beverages I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210-214)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2, IX:6
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Manuel Tello (Mexico)
Other members:	Mr. Christian Kauter (Switzerland), Mr. Delfino Bondad (Philippines)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	40 (and 8 annex) / 14
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:2 GATT Article IX:6	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(b)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/07/1986	L/6031	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	31/10/1986	L/6078	
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/02/1987	C/M/206	
Adjudicator's report:	13/10/1987	L/6216 ; BISD 34S/83	
Adoption of report:	10-11/11/1987	C/M/215, C/M/215/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA - MEASURES AFFECTING EXPORTS OF UNPROCESSED HERRING AND SALMON (GD/168)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Herring and salmon
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Canada - Herring and Salmon (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI:1
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(b), XX(g)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. János Nyerges (Hungary)
Other members:	Mr. Arne Sivertsen (Norway), Mr. Timothy Groser (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	16 / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:1	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(b) GATT Article XX(g)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/09/1986, 27/10/1986	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/02/1987	L/6132
Appointment of adjudicator:	15/04/1987	C/M/208
Adjudicator's report:	20/11/1987	L/6268 ; BISD 35S/98
Adoption of report:	22/03/1988	C/M/218
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF HERRING, POLLOCK AND SURIMI (GD/169)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Herring, pollock and surimi	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	11-12/09/1986, 22/10/1986	See L/6070
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	23/10/1986	L/6070
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	04/03/1987	C/M/207
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

JAPAN - TRADE IN SEMI-CONDUCTORS (GD/170)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Semi-conductors	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Brazil, Canada, Hong Kong, Singapore	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/177
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Japan - Semi-Conductors (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, VI, X, XI:1, XVII:1(c), XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Julio Lacarte-Muró (Uruguay)
Other members:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand), Mr. Joseph A. Greenwald (United States)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	47 (and 9 annex) / 12
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article X GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XVII:1(c) GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised No nullification or impairment found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	08/10/1986	L/6057
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/02/1987	L/6129
Appointment of adjudicator:	24/06/1987	C/149
Adjudicator's report:	24/03/1988	L/6309 ; BISD 35S/116
Adoption of report:	04/05/1988	C/M/220
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - TAXES ON PETROLEUM AND CERTAIN IMPORTED SUBSTANCES
(SUPERFUND)
(GD/171)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada, EEC-12, Mexico	Products at issue:	Petroleum	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Indonesia, Kuwait, Malaysia, Nigeria, Norway	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Superfund (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2 first sentence
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Michael D. Cartland (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. Christer Manhusen (Sweden), Mr. Kyota Akasaka (Japan)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	30 / 10
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:2 first sentence	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	30/10/1986, 07/11/1986, 10/11/1986	L/6080, L/6085, L/6093
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	13/01/1987, 20/01/1987, 22/01/1987	L/6114, L/6121, L/6123
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/02/1987	C/146
Adjudicator's report:	05/06/1987	L/6175 ; BISD 34S/136
Adoption of report:	17/06/1987	C/M/211, C/M/211/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA - RESTRICTIONS ON EXPORT OF UNPROCESSED URANIUM (GD/172)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Uranium	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Restrictions on Uranium Export			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/12/1986	L/6104	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

TAX - PASSENGER AIRCRAFT (GD/173)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Passenger aircraft	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/12/1986	L/6103	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	06/04/1987	L/6153	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - CUSTOMS USER FEE
(GD/174)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada and EEC-12	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	Australia, Hong Kong, India, Japan, New Zealand, Peru, Singapore	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Customs User Fee (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210-214)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:2(c), VIII:1(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. F. P. Donovan (Australia)
Other members:	Mr. Elbio Rosselli (Uruguay), Mr. Robert E. Hudec (United States)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	46 / 19
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:2(c) GATT Article VIII:1(a)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/12/1986	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/02/1987	L/6130; L/6131
Appointment of adjudicator:	27/05/1987	C/147
Adjudicator's report:	25/11/1987	L/6264 ; BISD 35S/245
Adoption of report:	02/02/1988	C/M/217, C/M/217/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**THE EC ANIMAL HORMONES DIRECTIVE (85/649/EEC)
(GD/175)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Animal hormones
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT GD/210 WTO WT/DS26, WT/DS39, WT/DS48, WT/DS320
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR TBT Article 14:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR TBT Arts. 14:6, 7:1, 7:2
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/01/1987	See TBT/Spec/18
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	06/03/1987	TBT/Spec/18
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**GOVERNMENT FINANCING OF AIRBUS
(GD/176)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Aircraft	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	WT/DS316, WT/DS347
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR TCA Article 8:7
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR TCA Arts. 4, 6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/02/1987	AIR/W/62	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	19-20/03/1987	AIR/M/20	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

UNITED STATES - UNILATERAL MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN JAPANESE PRODUCTS (GD/177)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Semi-conductors	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/170
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Semi-conductor Retaliation			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	18/04/1987	L/6159	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - SECTION 337 OF THE TARIFF ACT OF 1930
(GD/178)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	Canada, Japan, Korea, Switzerland	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/121
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Section 337 (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Graham Fortune (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Andreas Lowenfeld (United States), Mr. Pierre Pescatore (Luxembourg)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	65 (and 8 annex) / 17
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:4	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(d)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/04/1987	L/6160	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	02/07/1987	L/6198	
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/01/1988	C/153	
Adjudicator's report:	16/01/1989	L/6439 , L/6439/Add.1 ; BISD 36S/345	
Adoption of report:	07/11/1989	C/M/237	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA - INJURY DETERMINATION ON GRAIN CORN FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/179)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Grain corn	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	EEC-12	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Grain Corn (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Arts. 3:1, 16:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 6:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Luzius Wasescha (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Hiroyuki Ishige (Japan), Ms. Jo Tyndall (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	29 / 9
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 6:1	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	30/04/1987	SCM/82	
Conciliation:	02/10/1989	SCM/95	
Conciliation meeting:	26-27/10/1989	SCM/M/44	
Request for adjudication:	08/07/1991	SCM/118	
Appointment of adjudicator:	08/08/1991	SCM/121	
Adjudicator's report:	21/02/1992, 26/02/1992	SCM/140 , SCM/140/Corr.1 ; BISD 39S/411	
Adoption of report:	26/03/1992	SCM/M/58	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

INDIA - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON ALMONDS (GD/180)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Almonds	
Respondent:	India	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/181
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1; TR LIC Article 4:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	GATT Article XVIII section B (BoP); 1979 Declaration on Trade Measures Taken for Balance-of-Payments Purposes (BISD 26S/205) para. 3

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. See Chak Mun (Singapore)
Other members:	Mr. Johannes N. Feij (Netherlands), Mr. Christer Manhusen (Sweden), Mr. Darry Salim (Indonesia), Mr. Sandor Simon (Hungary)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/06/1987	C/M/211; C/M/211/Corr.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	02/07/1987	L/6197
Appointment of adjudicator:	20/04/1988	C/154
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	03/06/1988	C/154/Add.1
Withdrawal of the request:	03/06/1988	C/154/Add.1

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

INDIA - LICENSING PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO IMPORTS OF ALMONDS (GD/181)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Almonds	
Respondent:	India	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/180
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1; TR LIC Article 4:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR LIC Arts. 1, 3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Richard Hochörtler (Austria)
Other members:	Mr. Delfino Bondad (Philippines), Mr. Peter P. T. Cheung (Hong Kong), Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand), Mr. Jorge Vigano (Argentina)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	17/06/1987	C/M/211, C/M/211/Corr.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	10/08/1987	LIC/W/37	
Appointment of adjudicator:	20/04/1988	LIC/14	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	03/06/1988	LIC/15	
Withdrawal of the request:	03/06/1988	LIC/15	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

PROCUREMENT OF MACHINE TOOLS (GD/182)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Machine tools	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Arts. VII:3, VII:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/07/1987	GPR/41	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - SECTION 337 OF THE TARIFF ACT OF 1930 (RECOURSE BY CANADA)
(GD/183)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Cellular mobile telephones and sub-assemblies and component parts	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/09/1987	L/6213
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**SWEDEN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES AND PEARS
(GD/184)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Apples and pears	
Respondent:	Sweden	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/74, GD/185, GD/231
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/09/1987, 25/01/1988, 18/04/1988	See L/6330
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	21/04/1988	L/6330
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

NORWAY - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES AND PEARS (I) (GD/185)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Apples and pears	
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/74, GD/184, GD/231
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Norway - Apples and Pears (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI:2(c)
Defences raised:	Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 1(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Pierre Pescatore (Luxembourg)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro de la Peña (Mexico), Mr. Munir Ahmad (Pakistan)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	18 (and 18 are annex) / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	Protocol of Provisional Application (PPA) para. 1(b)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	22/09/1987, 16/11/1987	See L/6311
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	09/03/1988	L/6311
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/06/1988	C/M/222, C/M/222/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	19/04/1989	L/6474 ; BISD 36S/306
Adoption of report:	21-22/06/1989	C/M/234, C/M/234/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - THIRD-COUNTRY MEAT DIRECTIVE (GD/186)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Meat	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/240
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article III:4
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	25/09/1987	L/6218	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	03/12/1987	SR.43/5	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	03/06/1993	L/6218/Add.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

UNITED STATES - COLLECTION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON NON-RUBBER FOOTWEAR FROM BRAZIL (GD/187)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Non-rubber footwear	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Non-Rubber Footwear (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. VI:6(a), X:2; TR SCM Arts. 1:1, 2:1, 4, 5:2, 5:3, 5:6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Luzius Wasescha (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Peter P. T. Cheung (Hong Kong), Mr. Robert John Arnott (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	25 / 8
Claims at issue:	GATT Article VI:6(a) GATT Article X:2 TR SCM Article 1:1 TR SCM Article 2:1 TR SCM Article 4 TR SCM Article 5:2 TR SCM Article 5:3 TR SCM Article 5:6	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	27-28/10/1987	SCM/M/35
Conciliation:	31/05/1988, 13/06/1988	SCM/M/38, SCM/87
Conciliation meeting:	14/07/1988	SCM/M/39
Request for adjudication:	06/10/1988	SCM/M/40
Appointment of adjudicator:	06/10/1988	SCM/M/40/Add.1
Adjudicator's report:	04/10/1989	SCM/94 ; BISD 42S/208
Adoption of report:	13/06/1995	SCM/M/73
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA/JAPAN: TARIFF ON IMPORTS OF SPRUCE, PINE, FIR (SPF) DIMENSION LUMBER (GD/188)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Lumber
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	EEC-12, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Japan -SPF Dimension Lumber (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article I:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Pierre Pescatore (Luxembourg)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro de la Peña (Mexico), Mr. Richard Senti (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	40 / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1	No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	06/11/1987	L/6262	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	09/03/1988	L/6315	
Appointment of adjudicator:	15/06/1988	C/M/222, C/M/222/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	26/04/1989	L/6470 ; BISD 36S/167	
Adoption of report:	19/07/1989	C/M/235	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - TARIFF INCREASES AND IMPORT PROHIBITIONS OF IMPORTS FROM BRAZIL (GD/189)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Computer products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Informatics Retaliation			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210), paras. 7, 8 and 9 which reaffirm the Decision of 5 April 1966 under Article XXIII (BISD 14S/18, document L/2644).
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, XIII, XXVII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/11/1987	L/6274
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	15/12/1987	L/6274/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**REPUBLIC OF KOREA - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF BEEF - COMPLAINT OF THE UNITED STATES
(GD/190)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	Korea	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/193, GD/199
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Korea - Beef (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), X:1, XI:1, XIII:3(b), XVIII:11, XXIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Tai Soo Chew (Singapore)
Other members:	Mr. Piotr Freyberg (Poland), Mr. Yvonne Choi (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	41 (and 6 annex) / 7
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article X:1 GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII:3(b) GATT Article XVIII:11 GATT Article XXIII	Found not relevant Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	19-20/02/1988	See L/6316
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	10/03/1988	L/6316
Appointment of adjudicator:	22/09/1988	C/M/224, C/M/224/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	24/05/1989	L/6503 ; BISD 36S/268
Adoption of report:	07/11/1989	C/M/237
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - PAYMENTS AND SUBSIDIES PAID TO PROCESSORS AND PRODUCERS OF OILSEEDS AND RELATED ANIMAL-FEED PROTEINS (GD/191)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Oilseeds and related animal-feed proteins
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada	Related disputes:	GATT GD/216, GD/217, GD/262, GD/273
		WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Oilseeds I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	Understanding regarding Notification, Consultation, Dispute Settlement and Surveillance adopted on 28 November 1979 (document L/4907) (BISD 26S/210)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:4, XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. III:8(b), XXIV:6; TR SCM Art. 8:3

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Michael D. Cartland (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. János Nyerges (Hungary), Mr. Pierre Pescatore (Luxembourg)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	49 (and 18 annex) / 12
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article III:4 GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Finding of nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article III:8(b) GATT Article XXIV:6 TR SCM Article 8:4	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	19/02/1988, 19/04/1988	See L/6328
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	22/04/1988	L/6328
Appointment of adjudicator:	01/06/1989	C/166
Adjudicator's report:	14/12/1989	L/6627 ; BISD 37S/86
Adoption of report:	25/01/1990	C/M/238, C/M/238/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - PROHIBITION ON IMPORTS OF ALMONDS BY GREECE (GD/192)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Almonds	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/03/1988	C/M/218	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	21/04/1988	L/6327	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	21/06/1988	MTN.SB/6	
Withdrawal of the request:	21/06/1988	MTN.SB/6	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, withdrawal or modification of measure, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

REPUBLIC OF KOREA - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF BEEF - COMPLAINT BY AUSTRALIA (GD/193)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	Korea	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/190, GD/199
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Korea - Beef (Australia) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, IX, X, XI, XIII, XVIII:11
Defences raised:	GATT Article XVIII section B (BoP)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Tai Soo Chew (Singapore)
Other members:	Mr. Piotr Freyberg (Poland), Mr. Yvonne Choi (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	31 (and 6 annex) / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article X GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII GATT Article XVIII:11	Found not relevant Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XVIII section B (BoP)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	23/03/1988, 05/04/1988	See L/6332	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	21/04/1988	L/6332	
Appointment of adjudicator:	22/09/1988	C/M/224, C/M/224/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	24/05/1989	L/6504 ; BISD 36S/202	
Adoption of report:	07/11/1989	C/M/237	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON ADDITIONAL AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (GD/194)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Beef and citrus products (fresh oranges and orange juice)	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/166, GD/195, GD/200, GD/244
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	Exact date(s) unknown	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	28/03/1988	L/6322
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	05/07/1988	L/6322/Add.1

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

**JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF BEEF
(GD/195)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/166, GD/194, GD/200, GD/244
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	08/04/1988	Unknown	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	21/04/1988	L/6333	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	05/07/1988	L/6333/Add.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF DESSERT APPLES - COMPLAINT BY CHILE (GD/196)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Argentina, Canada, South Africa	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/198, GD/201
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Dessert Apples (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, X, XI:1, XIII, Part IV
Defences raised:	GATT Article XI:2

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. George A. Maciel (Brazil)
Other members:	Ms. Margaret Liang (Singapore), Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	49 / 14
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article X GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII GATT Part IV	Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/04/1988	Letter. See L/6329 and L/6329/Add.1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	20/04/1988, 25/04/1988, 02/05/1988	L/6329, L/6329/Add.1, L/6339
Appointment of adjudicator:	05/08/1988	C/158
Adjudicator's report:	18/04/1989	L/6491 ; BISD 36S/93
Adoption of report:	21/06/1989	C/M/234, C/M/234/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - QUALITY STANDARDS FOR GRAPES (GD/197)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Grapes	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I , III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	14/04/1988	L/6324, L/6324/Add.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES (GD/198)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	New Zealand	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/196, GD/201
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/04/1988	L/6336	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**REPUBLIC OF KOREA - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF BEEF - COMPLAINT OF
NEW ZEALAND
(GD/199)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	New Zealand	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	Korea	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/190, GD/193
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Korea - Beef (NZ) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:1(b), VII, X, XI, XIII, XVIII
Defences raised:	GATT Article XVIII section B (BoP)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Tai Soo Chew (Singapore)
Other members:	Mr. Piotr Freyberg (Poland), Ms. Yvonne Choi (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	41 (and 5 annex) / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article X GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII GATT Article XVIII	Found not relevant Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XVIII section B (BoP)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/04/1988	L/6335
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	31/05/1988, 12/07/1988, 01/09/1988	L/6354, L/6354/Add.1, L/6395
Appointment of adjudicator:	19-20/10/1988	C/M/226
Adjudicator's report:	24/05/1989	L/6505 ; BISD 36S/234
Adoption of report:	07/11/1989	C/M/237
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORT OF BEEF (GD/200)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	New Zealand	Products at issue:	Beef	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/166, GD/194, GD/195, GD/244
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	28/04/1988	L/6340	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	31/05/1988	L/6355	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	06/07/1988	L/6355/Add.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES - COMPLAINT OF THE UNITED STATES (GD/201)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/196, GD/198
Other titles:	EEC - Apples (US) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. X, XI:1, XIII
Defences raised:	GATT Article XI:2

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. George A. Maciel (Brazil)
Other members:	Ms. Margaret Liang (Singapore), Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	37 / 10
Claims at issue:	GATT Article X GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/05/1988	C/M/220
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	07/07/1988	L/6371
Appointment of adjudicator:	19-20/10/1988	C/M/226
Adjudicator's report:	09/06/1989	L/6513 ; BISD 36S/135
Adoption of report:	21-22/06/1989	C/M/234, C/M/234/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF SUGAR (GD/202)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, EEC-12, Nicaragua, Thailand	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/155, GD/202, GD/203
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Sugar (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XI:1; 1955 US Waiver (BISD 3S/32)
Defences raised:	GATT Article II:1(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Keith Broadbridge (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. Elbio Rosselli (Uruguay), Mr. Witold Jozwiak (Poland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	15 / 4
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:1 1955 US Waiver (BISD 3S/32)	Inconsistency found Found to fall outside of terms of reference	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article II:1(b)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

237

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/06/1988	See L/6514
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/07/1988	L/6373
Appointment of adjudicator:	20/12/1988	C/M/227, C/M/227/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	09/06/1989	L/6514 ; BISD 36S/331
Adoption of report:	21-22/06/1989	C/M/234, C/M/234/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON THE IMPORTATION OF SUGAR AND SUGAR-CONTAINING PRODUCTS APPLIED UNDER THE 1955 WAIVER AND UNDER THE HEADNOTE TO THE SCHEDULE OF TARIFF CONCESSIONS (GD/203)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Sugar	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Argentina, Australia, Canada, Chile, Japan	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/155, GD/202
			WTO	-
Other titles:	1955 Waiver - Headnote; US - Sugar Waiver			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1(b); XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	1955 US Waiver (BISD 3S/32) (granted under GATT Article XXV:5), GATT Articles II:1(b), XI:2 and XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Felipe Jaramillo (Colombia)
Other members:	Mr. Darry Salim (Indonesia), Mr. Pekka Huhtaniemi (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	38 / 10
Claims at issue:	1955 US Waiver (BISD 3S/32) (granted under GATT Article XXV:5) GATT Article II:1(b) GATT Article XI:2 GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No finding of nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/07/1988, 01/09/1988	See L/6393
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	12/09/1988	L/6393
Appointment of adjudicator:	21-22/06/1989	C/M/234, C/M/234/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	22/01/1990	L/6631 ; BISD 37S/228
Adoption of report:	07/11/1990	C/M/246
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF SEAMLESS
STAINLESS STEEL HOLLOW PRODUCTS FROM SWEDEN
(GD/204)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Sweden	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Swedish Steel (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 1, 2:6, 3:2, 5:1 first sentence
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Jacques Bourgeois (Belgium)
Other members:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand), Mr. Didier Chambovey (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	82 / 8
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 1 TR AD Article 5:1 first sentence	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	14/07/1988	See ADP/38
Conciliation:	09/09/1988	ADP/38
Conciliation meeting:	05/08/1988	ADP/M/23
Request for adjudication:	01/12/1988	ADP/40
Appointment of adjudicator:	14/04/1989	ADP/43
Adjudicator's report:	20/08/1990	ADP/47
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - REGULATION ON IMPORTS OF PARTS AND COMPONENTS (GD/205)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Assembled products / electronic products (electronic typewriters and electronic scales)	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Korea, Singapore, United States	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Parts and Components (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1; TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), Section G.2
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:2 first sentence, III:4, TR SCM Art. 13:10
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(d)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Joseph A. Greenwald (United States)
Other members:	Mr. Christopher Thomas (Canada), Mr. Timothy Groser (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	77 / 12
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:2 first sentence GATT Article III:4 TR SCM Article 13:10	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(d)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/07/1988	L/6381	
Conciliation:	10/10/1988	ADP/39	
Conciliation meeting:	22/09/1988	C/M/224, C/M/224/Corr.1	
Request for adjudication:	06/10/1988	L/6410	
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/05/1989	C/165	
Adjudicator's report:	22/03/1990	L/6657 ; BISD 37S/132	
Adoption of report:	16/05/1990	C/M/241, C/M/241/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - EEP SUBSIDY (SOYA BEAN)
(GD/206)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Soya bean oil	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/227
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XVI:2; TR SCM Arts. 8:2, 8:3(c), 8:4(c)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	15/08/1988	SCM/89	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON CERTAIN PRODUCTS FROM BRAZIL (GD/207)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Pharmaceuticals	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter S. Lai (Malaysia)
Other members:	Mr. Johannes N. Feij (Netherlands), Mr. Meinhard Hilf (Germany)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/08/1988	L/6386	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	06/12/1988	L/6386/Add.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/09/1989	C/169	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	04/09/1990	C/169/Add.1	
Withdrawal of the request:	04/09/1990	C/169/Add.1	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn

CANADA - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON ICE CREAM AND YOGHURT (GD/208)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Ice cream and yoghurt
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	EEC-12, Japan	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Canada - Ice Cream and Yoghurt (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. X:1, X:2, XI:2, XIII:3(b)
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(c)(i), XIII:2(a)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Lars E.R. Anell (Sweden)
Other members:	Ms. Carmen-Luz Guarda (Chile), Mr. Hugh W. Bartlett (United Kingdom)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	30 / 12
Claims at issue:	GATT Article X:1 GATT Article X:2 GATT Article XI:2 GATT Article XIII:3(b)	Judicial economy exercised Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)(i) GATT Article XIII:2(a)	Defence found to be inapplicable Judicial economy exercised	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/09/1988, 07/10/1988	See L/6568
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/12/1988	L/6445
Appointment of adjudicator:	03/04/1989	C/164, C/164/Corr.1
Adjudicator's report:	27/09/1989	L/6568 ; BISD 36S/68
Adoption of report:	04/12/1989	SR.45/2
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**PROCUREMENT OF ANTARCTIC RESEARCH VESSEL WITH ICE-BREAKING CAPABILITY BY
UNITED STATES NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
(GD/209)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Finland	Products at issue:	Antarctic research vessels	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Article VII:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR GPR Arts. I:1(a), IX:4 (a), Preamble
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	04/10/1988	GPR/W/89
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	16/03/1989	GPR/W/92
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - INCREASE IN THE RATES OF DUTY ON CERTAIN PRODUCTS
OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY
(PRESIDENTIAL PROCLAMATION NO. 5759 OF 24 DECEMBER 1987)
(GD/210)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Boneless beef, pork hams and shoulders, tomatoes (preserved), soluble or instant coffee extracts (etc.), fruit juices (not elsewhere specified), fermented alcoholic beverages containing less than 7% of alcohol by volume, pet food, intestines for artificial sausage casings.	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/175
			WTO	WT/DS26, WT/DS39, WT/DS48, WT/DS320
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XXII, XXIII
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	22/11/1988	L/6438
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - IMPORT PROHIBITION ON ICE CREAM FROM CANADA
(GD/211)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Ice cream	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	08/12/1988	L/6444	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON POWER TRANSFORMERS FROM FINLAND (GD/212)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Finland	Products at issue:	Power transformers	
Respondent:	Australia	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2:2, 2:6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/01/1989	Letter. See ADP/42	
Conciliation:	14/04/1989	ADP/42	
Conciliation meeting:	25 and 28/04/1989	ADP/M/26	
Request for adjudication:	14/09/1990	ADP/49; ADP/49/Add.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

GERMAN EXCHANGE RATE SCHEME FOR DEUTSCHE AIRBUS (GD/213)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Civil aircraft	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Airbus (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 9
Defences raised:	TR SCM Art. 8:3; TR TCA Art. 6:1

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Julio Lacarte-Muró (Uruguay)
Other members:	Mr. Pekka Huhtaniemi (Finland), Mr. Peter Hussin (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	30 / 7
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 9	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	20/03/1989	SCM/92
Conciliation:	11/12/1989	SCM/97, SCM/99
Conciliation meeting:	31/01/1990	SCM/M/45
Request for adjudication:	14/02/1991	SCM/108
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/04/1991	SCM/M/51
Adjudicator's report:	04/03/1992	SCM/142
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON EXPORTS OF COPPER SCRAP (GD/214)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Copper scrap	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Copper Scrap (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI:1
Defences raised:	GATT Article XI:2(a)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Hassan Sampurna Kartadjoemena (Indonesia)
Other members:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand), Mr. Sandor Simon (Hungary)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT Article XI:1	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(a)	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	

Timeline			
Consultation:	10/05/1989	See L/6518	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	07/06/1989	L/6518	
Appointment of adjudicator:	28/09/1989	DS5/1	
Adjudicator's report:	05/02/1990	DS5/R ; BISD 37S/200	
Adoption of report:	20/02/1990	C/M/239	
Mutually agreed solution:	18/01/1990	DS5/R	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report adopted, mutually agreed solution

**DEFINITIVE ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON VIDEO CASSETTES ORIGINATING IN
HONG KONG
(GD/215)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Video cassettes	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/06/1989	ADP/44	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - DETERMINATION UNDER SECTIONS 304 AND 305 OF THE TRADE ACT OF 1974 IN RESPECT OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY'S SUBSIDIES FOR PRODUCERS AND PROCESSORS OF OILSEEDS AND ANIMAL-FEED PROTEINS (GD/216)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Oilseeds and animal feed proteins
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT GD/191, GD/217, GD/262, GD/273
		WTO	-
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article XXIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/07/1989	DS2/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - SUBSIDIES FOR PRODUCERS AND PROCESSORS OF OILSEEDS (GD/217)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Oilseeds	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/191, GD/216, GD/262, GD/273
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/08/1989	DS3/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**MEASURES TO BE TAKEN UNDER THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON TRANSFRONTIER
TELEVISION
(GD/218)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Television broadcasting of cinematographic and audiovisual works
Respondent:	Austria, EEC-12, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-		
Defences at issue:	-		

Timeline		
Consultation:	01/09/1989, 11/10/1989, 11/10/1989, 26/04/1990	DS4/1, DS4/2, DS4/3, DS4/5
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**FINLAND: RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES AND PEARS
(GD/219)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Apples and pears	
Respondent:	Finland	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/07/1989, 13/09/1989	DS1/1, DS1/2 (replaces DS5/1)
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	18/09/1989	DS1/3

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

UNITED STATES - COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON FRESH, CHILLED AND FROZEN PORK FROM CANADA (GD/220)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Pork
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	US - Canadian Pork (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI:3; TR SCM Arts. 2:1, 4:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter Hussin (Australia)
Other members:	Mr. Anthony W. Dell (United Kingdom), Mr. Rudolf Ramsauer (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	21 / 6
Claims at issue:	GATT Article VI:3	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	19/09/1989	DS7/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	26/10/1989	DS7/2 (formerly L/6583)
Appointment of adjudicator:	20/02/1990	C/M/239
Adjudicator's report:	18/09/1990	DS7/R (formerly issued as L/6721); BISD 38S/30
Adoption of report:	11/07/1991	C/M/251
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

CANADA - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF BROILER HATCHING EGGS/CHICKS (GD/221)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Broiler hatching eggs/chicks	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/09/1989	DS6/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

BRAZIL - QRS ON CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL AND MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS (GD/222)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Certain agricultural and manufactured products	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural and non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/226
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), paras. C.3, F(a)
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

257

Timeline		
Consultation:	06/10/1989	DS8/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	10/01/1990	DS8/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**CHILE - INTERNAL TAXES ON SPIRITS
(GD/223)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Spirits	
Respondent:	Chile	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/316
			WTO	WT/DS87, WT/DS109, WT/DS110
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	31/10/1989	DS9/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

THAILAND - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTATION OF AND INTERNAL TAXES ON CIGARETTES (GD/224)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Cigarettes	
Respondent:	Thailand	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Thailand - Cigarettes (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III, XI:1
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(c)(i), XX(b), Thailand's Protocol of Accession para. 1(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Rudolf Ramsauer (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Adrian Macey (New Zealand), Mr. Pekka Huhtaniemi (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	34 / 11
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III GATT Article XI:1	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)(i) GATT Article XX(b) Thailand's Protocol of Accession para. 1(b)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/12/1989	DS10/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	07/02/1990	DS10/2	
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/05/1990	C/M/241, C/M/241/Corr.1	
Adjudicator's report:	05/10/1990	DS10/R ; BISD 37S/200	
Adoption of report:	07/11/1990	C/M/246	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - EXPORTS OF CANADIAN GRAINS (GD/225)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Grains	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62)
Claims raised:	Examination of Canada's negotiating rights under GATT Article XXVIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Arbitration
Chairperson:	Mr. Gardner Patterson (Former Deputy-Director General of GATT)
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	Arbitration award (Council took note)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	8 / 5
Claims at issue:	Examination of Canada's negotiating rights under GATT Article XXVIII	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/01/1990	DS12/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	22/03/1990	DS12/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/07/1990	DS12/3
Adjudicator's report:	26/10/1990	DS12/R ; BISD 37S/80
Adoption of report:	07/11/1990	C/M/246
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**BRAZIL - TAX ON RAW SISAL
(GD/226)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Raw sisal	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/222
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	15/01/1990	DS11/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - MEASURES UNDER THE EXPORT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAMME AFFECTING
SOYA-BEAN OIL EXPORTS BY BRAZIL
(GD/227)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Soya-bean oil	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/206
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	09/02/1990	DS13/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY - RESTRICTION OF CIRCULATION OF AUSTRIAN LORRIES (GD/228)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Austria	Products at issue:	Lorries	
Respondent:	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, III:4, V, XI
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(b)

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	21/02/1990	DS14/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - RESTRICTIONS AND CHARGES ON IMPORTS OF OVINE MEAT (GD/229)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Ovine meat	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	08/03/1990	DS15/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	03/04/1990	C/M/240	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

**EXPORT SUBSIDIES GRANTED BY AUSTRALIA
(GD/230)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Photographic film	
Respondent:	Australia	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 9
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/03/1990	SCM/101	
Conciliation:	24/04/1990, 13/06/1990	SCM/M/46, SCM/103	
Conciliation meeting:	22/06/1990	SCM/M/47	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

NORWAY - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES AND PEARS (II)
(GD/231)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Apples and pears	
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/74, GD/184, GD/185
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:1, XI:2(c)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	11/05/1990	DS16/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA - DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES OF PROVINCIAL LIQUOR BOARDS AFFECTING BEER IMPORTS (GD/232)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Beer	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, EEC-12	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/147
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Canada - Provincial Liquor Boards (US)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II:4, III:2, III:4, X, XI:1, XXIV:12
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Ephraim F. Haran (Israel)
Other members:	Mr. Elvezio Contestabile (Switzerland), Mr. Jorge Vigano (Argentina)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	71 / 14
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II:4 GATT Article III:2 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article X GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XXIV:12	Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

267

Timeline		
Consultation:	29/06/1990	DS17/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	03/12/1990, 06/02/1991	DS17/2, DS17/3 (previously Spec(91)7)
Appointment of adjudicator:	08/03/1991	DS17/4
Adjudicator's report:	16/10/1991	DS17/R ; BISD 39S/27
Adoption of report:	18/02/1992	C/M/254
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - DENIAL OF MOST-FAVOURLED NATION TREATMENT AS TO NON-RUBBER FOOTWEAR FROM BRAZIL (GD/233)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Non-rubber footwear	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	India	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/187
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - MFN Footwear (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3 and F(a)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I:1, VI:6(a), X, XXIII:1(b), XXIII:1(c)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter Stephen Lai (Malaysia)
Other members:	Mr. János Nyerges (Hungary), Mr. Meinhard Hilf (Germany)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	28 / 8
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I:1 GATT Article VI:6(a) GATT Article X GATT Article XXIII:1(b) GATT Article XXIII:1(c)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Found to fall outside of terms of reference Found to fall outside of terms of reference Found to fall outside of terms of reference	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/08/1990	DS18/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	28/02/1991	DS18/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	03/06/1991	DS18/3
Adjudicator's report:	10/01/1992	DS18/R ; BISD 39S/128
Adoption of report:	19/06/1992	C/M/257, C/M/257/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - ANTI-CIRCUMVENTION INQUIRY WITH RESPECT TO COLOUR TELEVISION
PICTURE TUBES
(GD/234)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Mexico	Products at issue:	Colour television picture tubes	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/236, GD/238
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision); AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/10/1990	ADP/52	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	30/04/1991	ADP/M/32	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

MEXICO - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON ELECTRIC POWER TRANSFORMERS FROM BRAZIL (GD/235)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Electric transformers	
Respondent:	Mexico	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2:1, 2:6, 3:1, 3:2, 5:1, 5:3, 6:1, 6:2, 6:3, 6:7, 8:1, 10:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	04/08/1992	See ADP/91	
Conciliation:	18/03/1993	ADP/91	
Conciliation meeting:	26-27 and 29/04/1993	ADP/M/40, ADP/M/40/Corr.1	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - INVESTIGATION OF CIRCUMVENTION OF ANTI-DUMPING ORDER ON
COLOUR TELEVISION PICTURE TUBES
(GD/236)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Colour television picture tubes	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/234, GD/238
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision); AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/10/1990	DS19/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON GREY PORTLAND CEMENT AND
CEMENT CLINKER FROM MEXICO
(GD/237)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Mexico	Products at issue:	Cement	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Canada, EEC-12	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Cement (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Article 5:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter J. Williams (United Kingdom)
Other members:	Mr. Jorge A. Ruiz (Argentina), Mr. Miles Jordana (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	82 / 13
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 5:1	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	24/10/1990	ADP/51	
Conciliation:	20/06/1991	ADP/59, ADP/59/Corr.1 (Spanish only)	
Conciliation meeting:	19/07/1991	ADP/M/33	
Request for adjudication:	04/10/1991	ADP/66	
Appointment of adjudicator:	20/01/1992	ADP/71	
Adjudicator's report:	07/09/1992	ADP/82	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

UNITED STATES - ANTI-CIRCUMVENTION INQUIRY WITH RESPECT TO COLOUR TELEVISION PICTURE TUBES (GD/238)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Colour television picture tubes	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/234, GD/236
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision); AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	26/10/1990	ADP/50	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	30/04/1991	ADP/M/32	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF TUNA (GD/239)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Mexico	Products at issue:	Tuna	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, EEC-12, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Norway, Philippines, Senegal, Thailand, Venezuela	Related disputes:	GATT WTO	GD/263, GD/266, GD/272 WT/DS381
Other titles:	US - Tuna (Mexico) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), paras. C(2), F(b)(1)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III, IX, XI:1, XIII, XXIII
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XX(b), XX(g)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. András Szepesi (Hungary)
Other members:	Mr. Elbio Rosselli (Uruguay), Mr. Rudolf Ramsauer (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	51 / 15
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III GATT Article IX GATT Article XI:1 GATT Article XIII	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(b) GATT Article XX(g)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	05/11/1990	Unknown	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	22/01/1991	DS21/1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	12/03/1991	C/M/248	
Adjudicator's report:	03/09/1991	DS21/R ; BISD 39S/155	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF PORK AND BEEF UNDER THIRD COUNTRY
MEAT DIRECTIVE
(GD/240)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Pork and beef meat	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/186
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3; Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (L/6489) para. F(a)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, III, XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/11/1990	DS20/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	07/06/1991	DS20/2, DS20/2/Corr.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	03/06/1993	DS20/3

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, request withdrawn

**INDONESIA - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS AND CHARGES ON BOUND ITEMS
(GD/241)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Dry garlic, lemons, limes, grapefruit, pomelos, other citrus fruits, grapes, yellow soybeans, soybean cake/residue	
Respondent:	Indonesia	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3; and Procedures agreed at Montreal (L/6489).
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XI, XVIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/02/1991	DS22/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING ALCOHOLIC AND MALT BEVERAGES (GD/242)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Alcoholic and malt beverages
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	Australia, EEC-12, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	US - Malt Beverages (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3 and Section F(f) para.5
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:1, III:2 first sentence, III:4, XI
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. III:8(b), XX(d), XXIV:12

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Julio Lacarte-Muró (Uruguay)
Other members:	Mr. Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann (Germany), Ms. Yvonne Choi (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	101 / 33
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article III:1 GATT Article III:2 first sentence GATT Article III:4	Judicial economy exercised No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article III:8(b) GATT Article XX(d) GATT Article XXIV:12	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	06/02/1991	DS23/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	11/04/1991, 04/06/1991	DS23/2, DS23/3
Appointment of adjudicator:	01/07/1991	DS23/4
Adjudicator's report:	16/03/1992	DS23/R ; BISD 39S/206
Adoption of report:	19/06/1992	C/M/257, C/M/257/Corr.1
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - COUNTERVAILING DUTY AND ANTI-DUMPING ACTIONS ON SALMON (GD/243)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Norway	Products at issue:	Salmon	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	TR AD Art. 15:1, TR SCM Art. 3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/03/1991	DS24/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**JAPAN - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS - FOLLOW-UP
ON THE PANEL REPORT
(GD/244)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia, New Zealand, and United States	Products at issue:	Certain agricultural products	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/166, GD/194, GD/195, GD/200
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/03/1991, 05/04/1991, 21/08/1992	DS25/1; DS25/2; DS25/3
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	07/09/1993	DS25/4
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES IN THE UNITED STATES AGAINST IMPORTS OF MAN-MADE FIBRE SWEATERS FROM HONG KONG (GD/245)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Textiles	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/246, GD/313
			WTO	-
Other titles:	United States -Imports of man-made fibre sweaters originating in Hong Kong (Source: ADP/119)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2, 2:4, 3:2, 3:4 fn. 5, 5:1, 6:1, 6:8
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	08/04/1991	ADP/54
Conciliation:	15/07/1991	ADP/60
Conciliation meeting:	19/07/1991	ADP/M/33
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF MAN-MADE FIBRE SWEATERS
FROM HONG KONG
(GD/246)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Textiles	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/245, GD/313
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/04/1994	ADP/119
Conciliation:	16/06/1994	ADP/126
Conciliation meeting:	-	-
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF STAINLESS STEEL PLATE FROM SWEDEN (GD/247)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Sweden	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Swedish Steel Plate (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 8:3, 9:1, 9:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Friedrich Klein (Germany)
Other members:	Mr. David Walker (New Zealand), Mr. Peter Palecka (Czechoslovakia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	107 (and 24 annex) / 48
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 9:1 TR AD Article 9:2	Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	06/05/1991	ADP/56
Conciliation:	10/10/1991	ADP/67
Conciliation meeting:	21/08/1992	ADP/M/35
Request for adjudication:	15/04/1992	ADP/77
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/09/1992	ADP/84
Adjudicator's report:	24/02/1994, 30/03/1994	ADP/117 , ADP/117/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**EEC - SUBSIDIES PROVIDED TO THE AIRBUS CONSORTIUM
(GD/248)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Civil aircraft	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	WT/DS347, WT/DS316
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 7, 7:1, 7:2, 8, 11
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	31/05/1991, 02/07/1991	SCM/114, SCM/120	
Conciliation:	21/08/1991	SCM/122	
Conciliation meeting:	-	-	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF FRESH AND CHILLED ATLANTIC SALMON FROM NORWAY (GD/249)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Norway	Products at issue:	Salmon	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/251, GD/259
Other titles:	US - Norwegian Salmon CVD (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:1; TR SCM Arts 3:2, 17:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, VI; TR SCM Arts. 2:1, 4:2, 4:9, 6:4, 11, 11:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Janusz Kaczurba (Poland)
Other members:	Mr. Meinhard Hilf (Germany), Mr. Peter Gulbrandsen (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	135 (and 14 annex) / 45
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 11 TR SCM Article 11:2 TR SCM Article 2:1 TR SCM Article 4:2 TR SCM Article 4:9 TR SCM Article 6:4	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Found to fall outside of terms of reference No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/06/1991	SCM/115
Conciliation:	11/07/1991	SCM/117
Conciliation meeting:	18/07/1991	SCM/M/52
Request for adjudication:	22/08/1991, 19/09/1991	SCM/123, SCM/123/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	06/11/1991	SCM/129
Adjudicator's report:	04/12/1992	SCM/153 ; BISD 41S/576
Adoption of report:	28/04/1994	SCM/M/69
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

NORWAY - PROCUREMENT OF TOLL COLLECTION EQUIPMENT FOR THE CITY OF TRONDHEIM (GD/250)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Electronic toll collection system
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Norway - Trondheim Toll Ring (Source: GATT Analytical Index); Trondheim Toll Ring Procurement (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Arts. II:1, IV:2, IV:4
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR GPR Article V:16(e)
Defences raised:	TR GPR Article V:16(e)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter J. Williams (United Kingdom)
Other members:	Mr. Alexander Karrer (Switzerland), Mr. Roy Kilvert

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	24 (and 5 annex) / 9
Claims at issue:	TR GPR Article V:16(e)	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	TR GPR Article V:16(e)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/06/1991, 29/08/1991	GPR/W/106, GPR/W/108
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	19/09/1991	GPR/W/110
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/10/1991	GPR/62
Adjudicator's report:	28/04/1992	GPR.DS2/R ; BISD 40S/319
Adoption of report:	13/05/1992	GPR/M/46
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF FRESH AND CHILLED ATLANTIC SALMON FROM NORWAY (GD/251)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Norway	Products at issue:	Salmon	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/249, GD/259
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Norwegian Salmon AD (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2:4, 2:6, 5:1, 6:1, 6:8, 8:3, 9:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Janusz Kaczurba (Poland)
Other members:	Mr. Meinhard Hilf (Germany), Mr. Peter Gulbrandsen (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	218 (and 16 annex) / 84
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 2:4 TR AD Article 2:6 TR AD Article 5:1 TR AD Article 6:1 TR AD Article 6:8 TR AD Article 8:3 TR AD Article 9:1	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/06/1991	ADP/57
Conciliation:	11/07/1991	ADP/61
Conciliation meeting:	19/07/1991	ADP/M/33
Request for adjudication:	25/09/1991, 16/10/1991	ADP/65, ADP/65/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	06/11/1991	ADP/69
Adjudicator's report:	30/11/1992	ADP/87 ; BISD 41S/229
Adoption of report:	25-26/04/1994	ADP/M/44
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

UNITED STATES - PROCUREMENT OF A SONAR MAPPING SYSTEM (GD/252)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Multibeam sonar mapping equipment	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Sonar Mapping (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR GPR Arts. VII:3, VII:4, VII:5
Procedures:	GPR
Claims raised:	TR GPR Arts. II, III, IV:3, V
Defences raised:	TR GPR Article I:1(a)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. William Rossier (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Francois Nadeau (Canada), Mr. John Clarke (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	24 / 8
Claims at issue:	TR GPR Article II TR GPR Article III TR GPR Article IV:3 TR GPR Article V	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	TR GPR Article I:1(a)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	26/06/1991	See GPR.DS1/R
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	02/07/1991	GPR/W/107
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/09/1991	GPR/61
Adjudicator's report:	23/04/1992	GPR.DS1/R
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - IMPOSITION OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF AUDIO CASSETTES FROM JAPAN (GD/253)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Audio tapes	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Korea	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EC - Audio Cassettes (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2:1, 2:4, 2:6, 3, 3:1, 3:2, 3:4, 4:1(ii), 5:1, 5:3, 8:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Magnus Lemmel (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Hugh McPhail (New Zealand), Mr. Rudolf Ramsauer (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	99 (and 4 annex) / 45
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 2:1 TR AD Article 2:4 TR AD Article 2:6 TR AD Article 3:1 TR AD Article 3:2 TR AD Article 3:4 TR AD Article 8:3	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised No inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/1991, 10/1991, 12/1991, 04/1992	See ADP/79
Conciliation:	22/05/1992	ADP/79
Conciliation meeting:	09/07/1992	ADP/M/38
Request for adjudication:	13/10/1992, 21/10/1992	ADP/85, ADP/85/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/10/1993	ADP/108
Adjudicator's report:	28/04/1995, 08/06/1995	ADP/136 , ADP/136/Corr.1
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

KOREA - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF POLYACETAL RESINS FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/254)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Polyacetal resins
Respondent:	Korea	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	Canada, EEC-12, Japan	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	Korea - Resins (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 3:1, 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 3:6, 8:5
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Maamoun Abdel-Fattah (Egypt)
Other members:	Ms. Barbara Schneeberger (Switzerland), Mr. Paul O'Connor (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	102 / 33
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 3:1 TR AD Article 3:2 TR AD Article 3:3 TR AD Article 3:4 TR AD Article 3:6 TR AD Article 8:5	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/07/1991, 30/09/1991	Letter. See ADP/M/34	
Conciliation:	12/09/1991	ADP/64, ADP/64/Add.1	
Conciliation meeting:	04/10/1991	ADP/M/34	
Request for adjudication:	21/01/1992, 14/02/1992	ADP/72, ADP/72/Add.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	29/04/1992	ADP/76	
Adjudicator's report:	02/04/1993, 06/04/1993	ADP/92 , ADP/92/Corr.1 ; BISD 40S/205	
Adoption of report:	27/04/1993	ADP/M/40, ADP/M/40/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - ANTI-DUMPING ACTIONS ON COTTON YARN ORIGINATING FROM BRAZIL (GD/255)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Cotton yarn	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Cotton Yarn (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 13, 2:4, 2:6, 3:1, 3:2, 3:3, 3:4, 8:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Mohan Kumar (India), Mr. Paul O'Connor (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	131 / 30
Claims at issue:	TR AD Article 13 TR AD Article 2:4 TR AD Article 2:6 TR AD Article 3:1 TR AD Article 3:2 TR AD Article 3:3 TR AD Article 3:4 TR AD Article 8:2	No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	03/09/1991	ADP/63
Conciliation:	24/09/1993	ADP/106
Conciliation meeting:	20/12/1993	ADP/M/43
Request for adjudication:	05/04/1994	ADP/121
Appointment of adjudicator:	27/04/1994	ADP/125
Adjudicator's report:	04/07/1995	ADP/137 ; BISD 42S/17
Adoption of report:	30/10/1995	ADP/M/50
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING THE EXPORT OF PURE AND ALLOY MAGNESIUM
FROM CANADA
(GD/256)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Alloy magnesium
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT - WTO -
Other titles:	US - Magnesium (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 2:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter Hamilton (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Andrés Espinosa (Colombia), Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)

Report		
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning): 2 / 0
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 2:1	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure
Defences at issue:	-	-

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/09/1991	Letter. See SCM/M/56
Conciliation:	04/11/1991	SCM/130
Conciliation meeting:	16/12/1991	SCM/M/56
Request for adjudication:	20/12/1991	SCM/135
Appointment of adjudicator:	27/01/1992	SCM/143
Adjudicator's report:	09/08/1993	SCM/174
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	07/07/1993	Letter. See SCM/174

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report issued, request withdrawn

**EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - MEASURES AFFECTING IMPORTS OF
CORN GLUTEN FEED
(GD/257)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Corn gluten feed	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/09/1991	DS26/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING IMPORTS OF SOFTWOOD LUMBER FROM CANADA (GD/258)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Lumber	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Japan	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/137, GD/164
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Softwood Lumber II (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 2:1, 5:1
Defences raised:	TR SCM Article 4:6

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Michael D. Cartland (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. David Hayes (United Kingdom), Mr. Luzius Wasescha (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	162 / 39
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 2:1 TR SCM Article 5:1	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	TR SCM Article 4:6	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/10/1991	SCM/W/251	
Conciliation:	01/11/1991	SCM/128	
Conciliation meeting:	15 and 18/11/1991	SCM/M/55	
Request for adjudication:	02/12/1991	SCM/133	
Appointment of adjudicator:	21/02/1992	SCM/141	
Adjudicator's report:	19/02/1993	SCM/162 ; BISD 40S/358	
Adoption of report:	27/10/1993	SCM/M/67, SCM/M/67/Corr.1	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

NORWAY - MEASURES REGARDING SALMON FISH FARMERS (GD/259)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Salmon	
Respondent:	Norway	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/249, GD/251
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/12/1991	Unknown	
Conciliation:	23/12/1991	SCM/134	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - TRADE MEASURES TAKEN FOR NON-ECONOMIC REASONS (GD/260)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Yugoslavia	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. GATT Enabling Clause, I, XXI(b), XXI(c), Paragraph 7(iii) of the Ministerial Declaration adopted on 29 November 1982 (BISD 29S/13)
Defences raised:	GATT Article XXI

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	23/12/1991	DS27/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	06/02/1992	DS27/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CANADA - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF BEER FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/261)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Beer	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	AD Duties on US beer			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 2:6, 4:1(ii)
Defences raised:	TR AD Article 2:1

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Peter Hamilton (New Zealand)
Other members:	Mr. Andrés Espinosa (Colombia), Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	24/12/1991	Unknown	
Conciliation:	29/01/1992	ADP/73	
Conciliation meeting:	17/02/1992	ADP/M/36	
Request for adjudication:	03/06/1992	ADP/80	
Appointment of adjudicator:	09/07/1992	ADP/83	
Adjudicator's report:	-	Report submitted to the Parties but not to the Committee. The Parties requested a delay in its circulation and then a suspension of the proceedings without the issuance of a report.	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	28/07/1994	ADP/M/45	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**FOLLOW UP ON THE PANEL REPORT "EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY - PAYMENTS AND SUBSIDIES PAID TO PROCESSORS AND PRODUCERS OF OILSEEDS AND RELATED ANIMAL-FEED PROTEINS"
(GD/262)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Oilseeds and related animal-feed proteins	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/191, GD/216, GD/217, GD/273
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Oilseeds II (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. I.3
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:4, XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Michael Cartland (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. János Nyerges (Hungary), Mr. Pierre Pescatore (Luxembourg)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (two interpretations on status)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	29 (and 5 annex) / 8
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article III:4 GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Finding nullification or impairment	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/1992	DS28/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	03/12/1991	SR.47/1, DS28/1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	03/12/1991	DS28/1, W.47/22	
Adjudicator's report:	31/03/1992	DS28/R ; BISD 39S/91	
Adoption of report:	19/06/1992	C/M/257, C/M/257/Corr.1 (two interpretations as to whether the report was adopted)	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued, two interpretations as to whether the report was adopted

**UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF TUNA - COMMUNICATION FROM
VENEZUELA
(GD/263)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Venezuela	Products at issue:	Tuna	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/239, GD/266, GD/272
			WTO	WT/DS381
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	10/02/1992	DS21/2
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - HARBOUR MAINTENANCE FEES
(GD/264)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article VIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/02/1992	DS30/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**AUSTRALIAN CUSTOMS AMENDMENT ACT 1991 AND COUNTERVAILING DUTY PROCEEDING
CONCERNING IMPORTS OF GLACÉ CHERRIES FROM FRANCE AND ITALY
(GD/265)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Glacé cherries	
Respondent:	Australia	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/286
			WTO	-
Other titles:	Australia - Glacé Cherries (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Arts. 3; 16
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 1, 6:1 fn. 18, 6:5; 19:5(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand)
Other members:	Ms. Gloria Peña (Chile), Mr. Peter P. T. Cheung (Hong Kong)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 1 TR SCM Article 19:5(a) TR SCM Article 6:1 fn. 18 TR SCM Article 6:5	No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure No finding - withdrawal or modification of measure	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	09/03/1992, 10/04/1992	SCM/145, SCM/147	
Conciliation:	06/07/1992	SCM/150	
Conciliation meeting:	21/07/1992	SCM/M/61, SCM/M/61/Corr.1	
Request for adjudication:	11/11/1992	SCM/152	
Appointment of adjudicator:	26/11/1992	SCM/158	
Adjudicator's report:	28/10/1993	SCM/178	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	18/10/1993	SCM/178	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, report issued, request withdrawn

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF TUNA (GD/266)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12 and Netherlands	Products at issue:	Tuna	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, Canada, Costa Rica, Japan, New Zealand, Thailand, Venezuela	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/239, GD/263, GD/272
			WTO	WT/DS381
Other titles:	US - Tuna (EEC) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III Ad Note, XI:1
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XX(b), XX(d), XX(g)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. George A. Maciel (Brazil)
Other members:	Mr. Alan Oxley (Australia), Mr. Winfried Lang (Austria)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	58 (and 4 annex) / 13
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III Ad Note GATT Article XI:1	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(b) GATT Article XX(d) GATT Article XX(g)	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	11/03/1992	DS29/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	05/06/1992	DS29/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/08/1992	DS29/4
Adjudicator's report:	16/06/1994	DS29/R
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - TREATMENT OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES AS A COST IN REFUND PROCEDURES (GD/267)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	General	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Not specified	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Refund of anti-dumping duties (Source: C/182)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/04/1992	ADP/78	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF WOOL SUITS FROM BRAZIL (GD/268)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Wool suits	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	Multifibre Arrangement (MFA) Arts. 11:4, 11:5
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	Multifibre Arrangement (MFA) Arts. 1:6, 11:9
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	30/04/1992	Unknown
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	25/01/1993	DS37/1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - TAXES ON AUTOMOBILES (GD/269)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Automobiles	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Sweden	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Taxes on Automobiles (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2 , III:4
Defences raised:	GATT Article XX(g)

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)
Other members:	Mr. Adrian Macey (New Zealand), Mr. Carlos M. Cozende (Brazil)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	119 (and 6 annex) / 12
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:2 GATT Article III:4	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(g)	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline			
Consultation:	12/05/1992	DS31/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	11/03/1993	DS31/2	
Appointment of adjudicator:	02/08/1993	DS31/3	
Adjudicator's report:	11/10/1994	DS31/R	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

EEC - MEMBER STATES' IMPORT REGIME FOR BANANAS (GD/270)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Venezuela	Products at issue:	Bananas	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil, Cameroon, Chile, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Jamaica, Japan, Korea, Madagascar, Mexico, Philippines, Senegal	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/279
			WTO	WT/DS16, WT/DS27, WT/DS105, WT/DS158, WT/DS165, WT/DS361, WT/DS364
Other titles:	EEC (Member States) - Bananas I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4; Decision on Procedures under Article XXIII adopted on 05/04/1966 (BISD 14S/18), para. 4.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, VIII, XI:1, XIII, XXIII:1(b), XXIII:2, XXIV, XXXVII:1(a), Part IV
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XI:2(c)(i), XXIV

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Indrapasad Gordhanbhai Patel (India)
Other members:	Ms. Naoko Saiki (Japan), Mr. Peter Hamilton (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	83 (and 5 annex) / 16
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I	Inconsistency found	
	GATT Article II	Judicial economy exercised	
	GATT Article VIII	Judicial economy exercised	
	GATT Article XI:1	Inconsistency found	
	GATT Article XIII	Judicial economy exercised	
	GATT Article XXIII:1(b)	Judicial economy exercised	
	GATT Article XXIV	Inconsistency found	
	GATT Part IV	Judicial economy exercised	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XI:2(c)(i)	Defence found to be inapplicable	
	GATT Article XXIV	Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	12/06/1992, 16/06/1992	DS32/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/02/1993	DS32/4
Appointment of adjudicator:	17/03/1993	DS32/10
Adjudicator's report:	03/06/1993	DS32/R
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

BRAZIL - IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL AND DEFINITIVE COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON MILK POWDER AND CERTAIN TYPES OF MILK FROM THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY (GD/271)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Milk powder	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	United States	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	Brazil - EEC Milk (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 1, 5:1, 6:1, 6:2, 6:3, 6:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Thomas A. Bernes (Canada)
Other members:	Mr. J. Antonio Buencamino (Philippines), Mr. Mark Trainor (New Zealand)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	80 (and 6 annex) / 29
Claims at issue:	TR SCM Article 1	Inconsistency found	
	TR SCM Article 5:1	Inconsistency found	
	TR SCM Article 6:1	Inconsistency found	
	TR SCM Article 6:2	Inconsistency found	
	TR SCM Article 6:3	Inconsistency found	
	TR SCM Article 6:4	Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	23/06/1992	Unknown	
Conciliation:	06/07/1992, 01/10/1992	SCM/149, SCM/151	
Conciliation meeting:	28/10/1993	SCM/M/62	
Request for adjudication:	23/12/1992	SCM/155	
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/01/1993	SCM/164	
Adjudicator's report:	27/01/1994	SCM/179 , SCM/179/Corr.1 ; BISD 41S/467	
Adoption of report:	28/04/1994	SCM/M/69	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

**UNITED STATES - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF TUNA - REQUEST FOR CONSULTATIONS
UNDER ARTICLE XXIII:1 BY THE KINGDOM OF THE NETHERLANDS ON BEHALF OF THE
NETHERLANDS ANTILLES
(GD/272)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Netherlands	Products at issue:	Tuna	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/239, GD/263, GD/266
			WTO	WT/DS381
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXIII:1; XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	03/07/1992	DS33/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**NEGOTIATING RIGHTS OF ARGENTINA IN CONNECTION WITH THE RENEGOTIATION OF
OILSEED CONCESSIONS BY THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES
(GD/273)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina	Products at issue:	Oilseeds	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/191, GD/216, GD/217, GD/262
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62); Section F(f)5 (procedures for cases of urgency)
Claims raised:	GATT Art. XXVIII:1, Uruguay Round undersanding on Article XXVIII (MTN.TNC/W/FA pages W.1 and W.2)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/09/1992	DS34/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	16/09/1992	DS34/1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	04-05/11/1992	C/M/260, C/M/260/Corr.1
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

TURKEY – ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF COTTON YARN FROM PAKISTAN (GD/274)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Pakistan	Products at issue:	Cotton yarn	
Respondent:	Turkey	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/09/1992-01/10/1992	C/M/259, C/M/259/Corr.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	16-17/06/1993	C/M/264	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

BRAZIL - COUNTERVAILING DUTY INVESTIGATION ON IMPORTS OF WHEAT FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/275)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Wheat	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 2, 3:4, 5
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	13/10/1992	Unknown
Conciliation:	07/12/1992	SCM/154
Conciliation meeting:]	-	-
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

AD PROCEEDING ON BRAZILIAN TEXTILES (GD/276)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Textiles	
Respondent:	Mexico	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/10/1992	ADP/86	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	16-17/06/1993	C/M/264	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

**ARGENTINA - COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON DAIRY PRODUCTS FROM THE
EUROPEAN COMMUNITY
(GD/277)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Dairy products	
Respondent:	Argentina	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article VI:6(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/11/1992	DS35/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ARGENTINA - COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON CANNED PEACHES FROM GREECE (GD/278)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Canned peaches	
Respondent:	Argentina	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article VI:6(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/12/1992	DS36/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - IMPORT REGIME FOR BANANAS (GD/279)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Venezuela	Products at issue:	Bananas
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT GD/270 WTO WT/DS16, WT/DS27, WT/DS105, WT/DS158, WT/DS165, WT/DS361, WT/DS364
Other titles:	EEC - Bananas II (Source: GATT Analytical Index)		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, III, VIII, XI, XIII, XXIV
Defences raised:	GATT Arts. XX(h), XXIV

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. K. Kesavapany (Singapore)
Other members:	Mr. Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann (Germany), Mr. Thomas Cottier (Switzerland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	52 (and 4 annex) / 15
Claims at issue:	GATT Article I GATT Article II GATT Article III GATT Article VIII GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII GATT Article XXIV	Inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found No inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	GATT Article XX(h) GATT Article XXIV	Defence found to be inapplicable Defence found to be inapplicable	

Timeline		
Consultation:	28/01/1993	DS38/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/02/1993, 28/04/1993	DS38/2, DS38/6
Appointment of adjudicator:	16/07/1993	DS38/9
Adjudicator's report:	11/02/1994	DS38/R
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

UNITED STATES - DEFINITIVE AFFIRMATIVE INJURY DETERMINATIONS CONCERNING CERTAIN CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS FROM SEVERAL MEMBER STATES OF THE EEC (GD/280)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	Brazil, Canada, Japan, New Zealand	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/294, GD/302
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Lead and Bismuth I (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Arts. 3, 17:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision); TR SCM Arts. 1, 4:2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Hardeep Puri (India)
Other members:	Mr. Maamoun Abdel-Fattah (Egypt), Mr. Patrick Robertson (Australia)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	178 (and 16 annex) / 121
Claims at issue:	GATT (no specific provision) TR SCM Article 1 TR SCM Article 4:2	No inconsistency found Inconsistency found Inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	02/02/1993, 12/02/1993	SCM/159, SCM/159/Add.1	
Conciliation:	14/04/1993, 27/04/1993, 08/10/1993, 27/10/1993	SCM/167, SCM/167/Add.1, SCM/176, SCM/176/Add.1	
Conciliation meeting:	28-29/04/1993	SCM/M/65	
Request for adjudication:	19/05/1993	SCM/169	
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/06/1993	SCM/173	
Adjudicator's report:	15/11/1994	SCM/185	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report issued

**UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING AND COUNTERVAILING ACTIONS ON
STEEL PRODUCTS
(GD/281)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Brazil	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	AD actions and CVDs on steel products			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Art. 15:2; TR SCM Art. 3:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	10/02/1993, 12/07/1993	ADP/89, SCM/161, ADP/105, SCM/172	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CERTAIN STEEL PRODUCTS: DEFINITIVE AND PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES (GD/282)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/295
			WTO	-
Other titles:	AD on certain steel products			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	02/03/1993	ADP/90, ADP/90/Corr.1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATION OF IMPORTS OF STEEL WIRE ROPE FROM MEXICO (GD/283)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Mexico	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	31/03/1993	ADP/98	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF CUT-TO-LENGTH STEEL PLATE FROM SWEDEN (GD/284)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Sweden	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/285
			WTO	-
Other titles:	[AD actions on steel products]			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI; TR AD Arts. 2:4, 2:6, 3, 5:3, 6:8
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	02/04/1993	ADP/93
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL COUNTERVAILING MEASURES ON IMPORTS OF CUT-TO-LENGTH STEEL PLATE AND CORROSION RESISTANT STEEL PLATE FROM SWEDEN (GD/285)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Sweden	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/284
			WTO	-
Other titles:	CVD actions on steel products			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 2
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	02/04/1993	SCM/165	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - EXPORT SUBSIDIES ON GLACÉ CHERRIES (GD/286)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Glacé cherries	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/265
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Article 9
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/04/1993	SCM/166
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES - SUBSIDIES USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE PRODUCTION OF THYRISTORS (GD/287)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Thyristors	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 12:3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision); TR AD Article 12:4
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	23/04/1993	SCM/168	
Conciliation:	11/10/1993	SCM/177	
Conciliation meeting:	27-28/10/1993	SCM/M/67, SCM/M/67/Corr.1	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF APPLES (GD/288)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/105, GD/293
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Apples II (Chile) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Christer Manhusen (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro de la Peña (Mexico), Mr. Munir Ahmad (Pakistan)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	04/03/1993	DS39/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	08/07/1993	DS39/2, DS41/2	
Appointment of adjudicator:	11/10/1993	DS39/3	
Adjudicator's report:	20/06/1994	DS39/R	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	13/06/1994	DS39/4	
Withdrawal of the request:	13/06/1994	DS39/4	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn, report issued

**POLAND - IMPORT REGIME FOR AUTOMOBILES ORIGINATING IN
THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES
(GD/289)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	India	Products at issue:	Automobiles	
Respondent:	Poland	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Arts. XXII:1, XXIII:2
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, XXIV
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	20/05/1993	DS40/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	27/10/1994, 10/11/1994	DS40/2, DS40/2/Add.1
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

SUBSIDIES ON WHEAT EXPORTS (GD/290)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina	Products at issue:	Wheat	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	-
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	01/06/1993	C/M/264	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES AGAINST IMPORTS OF CERTAIN HOT-ROLLED CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS, CERTAIN COLD-ROLLED CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS AND CERTAIN CORROSION-RESISTANT CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS (GD/291)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Japan	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 3:1, 3:4, 6:8
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	09/06/1993	ADP/100
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - APPLICATION OF SECTION 781 OF THE US TARIFF ACT OF 1930 AS
AMENDED BY THE OMNIBUS TRADE AND COMPETITIVENESS ACT OF 1988
(ANTI-CIRCUMVENTION LAW) TO BRASS PLATE FROM CANADA
(GD/292)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Brass plate	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	1988 Omnibus Bill - Canadian Brass Plate			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 1, 10:1, 2, 3, 5, 8:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

327

Timeline			
Consultation:	11/06/1993	ADP/101	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - CHARGES ON IMPORTS OF APPLES ORIGINATING IN CHILE (GD/293)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Chile	Products at issue:	Apples	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/105, GD/288
			WTO	-
Other titles:	EEC - Apples II (Chile) (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, XI, XIII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Christer Manhusen (Sweden)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro de la Peña (Mexico), Mr. Munir Ahmad (Pakistan)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (unadopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	1 / 0
Claims at issue:	GATT Article II GATT Article XI GATT Article XIII	No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution No finding in light of mutually agreed solution	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/06/1993	DS41/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	08/07/1993	DS39/2, DS41/2
Appointment of adjudicator:	11/10/1993	DS39/3
Adjudicator's report:	20/06/1994	DS39/R
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	13/06/1994	DS39/4
Withdrawal of the request:	13/06/1994	DS39/4

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Withdrawal or modification of measure, mutually agreed solution, request withdrawn, report issued

**UNITED STATES - DEFINITIVE AFFIRMATIVE COUNTERVAILING DUTY DETERMINATIONS
CONCERNING CERTAIN CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS FROM SEVERAL MEMBER STATES OF
THE EEC
(GD/294)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/280, GD/302
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	02/07/1993	SCM/171	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON CERTAIN STEEL PRODUCTS (HOT-ROLLED, COLD-ROLLED, CORROSION RESISTANT AND CUT-TO-LENGTH) FROM VARIOUS MEMBER STATES OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITIES
(GD/295)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/295
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 3, 5, 5:1, 10, 10:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	02/07/1993	ADP/103
Conciliation:	11/10/1993, 26/10/1993	ADP/107, ADP/111, ADP/111/Corr.1
Conciliation meeting:	25-26/10/1994, 10/11/1994	ADP/M/41, ADP/M/42
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

REGULATIONS AFFECTING THE SALE OF IMPORTED BOVINE SEMEN IN ITALY (GD/296)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Bovine semen	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article III
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	08/07/1993	DS42/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - FINAL DETERMINATION ON DUMPING AND PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES AGAINST IMPORTS OF CERTAIN CORROSION-RESISTANT CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS FROM AUSTRALIA
(GD/297)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Australia	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 5:1 (a), 5:1 (b), 5:1 (c), 6:8
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	15/07/1993	ADP/104
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EEC - FRENCH REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE TRADE DESCRIPTION OF SCALLOPS (GD/298)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Scallops	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	18/08/1993	DS43/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING THE IMPORTATION, INTERNAL SALE AND USE OF TOBACCO (GD/299)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Thailand, and Zimbabwe	Products at issue:	Cigarettes	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	Australia, EEC-12, India, Turkey	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	US - Tobacco (Source: GATT Analytical Index)			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXIII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. II, III:1, III:2, III:4, III:5, VIII:1(a), XI:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Joseph W. P. Wong (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. Abdelkader Lecheheb (Morocco), Mr. Kim Luotonen (Finland)

Report			
Type:	Panel report (adopted)	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	39 (and 17 annex) / 15
Claims at issue:	GATT Article III:2 GATT Article III:4 GATT Article III:5 GATT Article VIII:1(a)	Inconsistency found Judicial economy exercised Inconsistency found No inconsistency found	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/09/1993, 07/09/1993, 30/09/1993, 22/09/1993	DS44/1, DS44/2, DS44/3, DS44/4
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	03/12/1993, 25/01/1994, 28/01/1994, 09/02/1994	DS44/5, DS44/6, DS44/6/Corr.1, DS44/8
Appointment of adjudicator:	25/01/1994	DS44/9, DS44/9/Rev.1
Adjudicator's report:	12/08/1994	DS44/R ; BISD 41S/131
Adoption of report:	04/10/1994	C/M/275
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Report adopted

EEC - COUNTERVAILING CHARGES ON LEMONS (GD/300)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Argentina	Products at issue:	Lemons	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.4.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. X:1, XI:1, XIII:1, XXIII:1(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	07/09/1993, 20/06/1994	DS45/1, DS45/2
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

MEXICO - INITIATION OF COUNTERVAILING DUTY INVESTIGATION ON CERTAIN STEEL PRODUCTS FROM THE UNITED STATES (GD/301)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	United States	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	Mexico	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62)
Claims raised:	-
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	08/09/1993	DS46/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - COUNTERVAILING DUTIES ON CERTAIN CARBON STEEL FLAT PRODUCTS
FROM SEVERAL MEMBERS STATES OF THE EEC
(GD/302)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/280, GD/294
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR SCM Article 3; TR AD (no specific provision)
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR SCM Arts. 1, 4:2, 6
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Hardeep Puri (India)
Other members:	Mr. Alejandro Jara (Chile), Mr. Adin Talbar (Israel)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	16/09/1993	SCM/175	
Conciliation:	08/10/1993, 27/10/1993	SCM/176, SCM/176/Add.1	
Conciliation meeting:	27-28/10/1993, 10/11/1993	SCM/M/67, SCM/M/67/Corr.1, SCM/M/68	
Request for adjudication:	11/04/1994	SCM/182, SCM/182/Corr.1	
Appointment of adjudicator:	28/04/1994	SCM/184	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES AGAINST IMPORTS OF CUT-TO-LENGTH CARBON STEEL PLATE FROM FINLAND (GD/303)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Finland	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI; TR AD Arts. 2:4, 3, 5:3, 6:8
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	18/10/1993	ADP/109	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**CANADA - ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON CERTAIN STEEL PRODUCTS
(COLD-ROLLED STEEL SHEET, FLAT HOT-ROLLED CARBON STEEL SHEET AND HOT-ROLLED
CARBON AND ALLOY STEEL PLATE) FROM VARIOUS MEMBER STATES OF
THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITIES
(GD/304)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products	
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/305
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD (no specific provision)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	22/10/1993	ADP/110	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**CANADA - ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATION OF CERTAIN HOT-ROLLED CARBON STEEL PLATE
AND HIGH STRENGTH LOW ALLOY PLATE, HEAT TREATED OR NOT, ORIGINATING IN OR
EXPORTED FROM, INTER-ALIA, ITALY AND SPAIN
(GD/305)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes	
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Steel products
Respondent:	Canada	Type of product:	Non-agricultural
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT GD/304
			WTO -
Other titles:	-		

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Article 5
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-		
Defences at issue:	-		

Timeline		
Consultation:	23/11/1993	ADP/112
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - STANDARDS FOR REFORMULATED AND CONVENTIONAL GASOLINE (GD/306)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Venezuela	Products at issue:	Gasoline	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	WT/DS2
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, III, VIII, XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	Panel
Chairperson:	Mr. Joseph W. P. Wong (Hong Kong)
Other members:	Mr. Crawford Falconer (New Zealand), Mr. Kim Luotonen (Finland)

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	17/01/1994	DS47/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	22/09/1994	DS47/3
Appointment of adjudicator:	04/10/1994	DS47/4
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EC - REFUND OF ANTI-DUMPING DUTY (GD/307)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Singapore	Products at issue:	Ball bearings	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI; TR AD Arts. 2:6, 8:3
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	20/01/1994	ADP/115	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

AUSTRALIA - IMPORT RESTRICTIONS ON SALMON (GD/308)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada and United States	Products at issue:	Salmon	
Respondent:	Australia	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3; Procedures adopted on 10/11/1958 by the CP to the GATT (BISD 7S/24)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. XI:1, XXIII:1(a), XXIII:1(b)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	28/01/1994, 04/03/1994	DS48/1, DS48/2
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EC - RESTRICTIONS ON IMPORTS OF CANNED TUNA AND SARDINES (GD/309)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Philippines and Thailand	Products at issue:	Canned tuna and sardines	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	16/02/1994, 05/04/1994	DS49/1, DS49/3
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	19/07/1994	DS49/5
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held, mutually agreed solution

BRAZIL - IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF CERTAIN PEACHES ORIGINATING IN GREECE (GD/310)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Peaches	
Respondent:	Brazil	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Arts. 15:1, 15:2
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Arts. 6:2, 6:7, 8:5, 10:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	28/02/1994	ADP/118	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

ARGENTINA - PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON IMPORTS OF THREE-PHASE ELECTRIC MOTORS (GD/311)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Czech Republic	Products at issue:	Electric motors	
Respondent:	Argentina	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Article VI:6(a)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	05/04/1994	DS50/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

EC - PROHIBITION OF IMPORTS OF PELT AND MANUFACTURED GOODS OF WILD ANIMALS (GD/312)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Canada	Products at issue:	Pelt and manufactured goods of wild animals	
Respondent:	EEC-12	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, III, XI
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	21/04/1994	DS51/1	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

**UNITED STATES - IMPORTS OF MAN-MADE FIBRE SWEATERS ORIGINATING IN
HONG KONG
(GD/313)**

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	Hong Kong	Products at issue:	Textiles	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/245, GD/246
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	GATT Art. VI; TR AD Arts. 2:4, 3, 3:2, 3:4, 3:4 fn. 5, 5:1
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/04/1994	ADP/119
Conciliation:	15/07/1991, 16/06/1994	ADP/60, ADP/126
Conciliation meeting:	19/07/1991	ADP/M/33
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

UNITED STATES - IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON PHOTOGRAPHIC PAPER FROM THE NETHERLANDS (GD/314)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Photographic paper	
Respondent:	United States	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
Other titles:	-		WTO	-

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	TR AD Article 15
Procedures:	-
Claims raised:	TR AD Article 2:4 (inter alia)
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline			
Consultation:	29/06/1994	ADP/129	
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.	
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.	
Request for adjudication:	-	-	
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-	
Adjudicator's report:	-	-	
Adoption of report:	-	-	
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-	
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-	

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

JAPAN - MEASURES AFFECTING IMPORTS OF CERTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT (GD/315)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12, Finland, and Sweden	Products at issue:	Telecommunications equipment	
Respondent:	Japan	Type of product:	Non-agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	-
			WTO	-
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), paras. C.3, C.1; Procedures adopted on 10 November 1958 by the CP to the GATT (BISD 7S/24)
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. I, II, XVII
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	13/10/1994	DS52/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

CHILE - TAXES ON DISTILLED SPIRITS (GD/316)

Parties		Products at issue and related disputes		
Complainant:	EEC-12	Products at issue:	Distilled spirits	
Respondent:	Chile	Type of product:	Agricultural	
Third parties:	-	Related disputes:	GATT	GD/223
			WTO	WT/DS87, WT/DS109, WT/DS110
Other titles:	-			

Key legal aspects	
Legal basis:	GATT Article XXII:1
Procedures:	1989 Decision on Improvements to the GATT Dispute Settlement Rules and Procedures (Decision of 12 April 1989 L/6489) (BISD 36S/61-62), para. C.3.
Claims raised:	GATT Arts. III:1, III:2 second sentence
Defences raised:	-

Adjudicator	
Type:	-
Chairperson:	-
Other members:	-

Report			
Type:	No report issued	No. of pages (total / legal reasoning):	- / -
Claims at issue:	-	-	
Defences at issue:	-	-	

Timeline		
Consultation:	25/11/1994	DS53/1
[Conciliation:]	n.a.	n.a.
[Conciliation meeting:]	n.a.	n.a.
Request for adjudication:	-	-
Appointment of adjudicator:	-	-
Adjudicator's report:	-	-
Adoption of report:	-	-
Mutually agreed solution:	-	-
Withdrawal of the request:	-	-

Outcome	
Outcome of the proceedings:	Consultations held

Annexes

ANNEX 1: LIST OF GATT DISPUTES (1948-1995)[◇]

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/1	United States	Cuba	Report of Working Party 7 on the Cuban Schedule
GD/2	Pakistan	India	Request of the Government of Pakistan for Decision under Article XXIII Concerning Rebate of Excise Duties on Certain Products Exported from India
GD/3	France	Brazil	Brazilian Internal Taxes
GD/4	Czechoslovakia	United States	Article XXI - United States Exports Restrictions
GD/5	Chile	Australia	Australia - Subsidy on Ammonium Sulphate
GD/6	United States	France	French Export Restrictions on Hides and Skins (Proposed by the United States)
GD/7	Belgium	France	Examination under the Procedures Provided in Article XXIII, of Actual Cases of Quantitative Restrictions Applied for Protective Purposes (Proposed by Belgium)
GD/8	Netherlands	United Kingdom	Effect of the United Kingdom Purchase Tax on Certain Imports into the United Kingdom with Reference to Article III
GD/9	Czechoslovakia	United States	Assured Life of Tariff Concessions with regard to Article XIX / US - Fur Felt Hats
GD/10	Denmark and Norway	Belgium	Belgium - Family Allowances (Allocations Familiales)
GD/11	Denmark and Netherlands	United States	United States Import Restrictions on Dairy Products
GD/12	Canada and United States	Belgium	Belgian Import Restrictions on Dollar Goods
GD/13	United Kingdom	Greece	Greece - Increase on Import Duties on Products included in Schedule XXV
GD/14	Norway	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Treatment by Germany of Imports of Sardines (Brisling)
GD/15	France	Greece	Greece - Special Import Taxes Instituted by Greece
GD/16	India	Pakistan	Pakistan Licence Fee and Duty on Exports of Jute
GD/17	Greece and Turkey	United States	United States Export Subsidy on Sultanas
GD/18	Netherlands	United States	Netherlands Action under Article XXIII:2 to Suspend Obligations to the United States
GD/19	United States	France	French "Statistical Tax" on Imports and Exports
GD/20	Turkey	United States	United States' Import Restrictions on Filberts

◇ For the exclusive purpose of this publication, each GATT dispute received a "GD/" symbol followed by a number. The numbering follows the chronological order of the submission of the specific complaint by the complaining party.

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/21	United Kingdom and United States	Brazil	Brazilian Compensatory Concessions
GD/22	Italy	France	French Special Temporary Compensation Tax on Imports
GD/23	Italy	Sweden	Swedish Anti-Dumping Duties
GD/24	Italy	Turkey	Turkish Import Taxes and Export Bonuses
GD/25	Italy	Greece	Greek Luxury Tax and Tariff Changes
GD/26	Czechoslovakia	Peru	Peru's Import Prohibition of Imports from Czechoslovakia
GD/27	United States	Germany, Fed. Rep.	German Discrimination in Coal Imports
GD/28	United States	France	French Stamp Tax
GD/29	United States	Belgium	Belgian Restrictions on Imports of United States Coal
GD/30	Belgium, Luxembourg, and Netherlands	Germany, Fed. Rep.	German Import Duties on Starch and Potato Flour
GD/31	Denmark	Italy	Italian Duty on Cheese
GD/32	United States	France	French Stamp Tax on Imports
GD/33	Australia	United States	Hawaiian Regulations Affecting the Sale of Imported Eggs
GD/34	United Kingdom	Italy	Italian General Turnover Tax Applied to Imported Pharmaceutical Products
GD/35	Greece	Italy	Italian Import Duties on Greek Cotton
GD/36	Australia	France	French Assistance to Exports of Wheat and Wheat Flour
GD/37	United States	France	French Internal Tax on Automobiles
GD/38	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Greece	Greek Increase of Bound Duty
GD/39	Netherlands	Germany, Fed. Rep.	German Turnover Tax as Applied to Imports of Printed Matter
GD/40	Denmark	United States	Export Subsidy on Poultry
GD/41	United States	Chile	Chilean Luxury Tax on Automobiles
GD/42	Denmark	United Kingdom	Export of Subsidized Eggs and Cattle from the United Kingdom
GD/43	United Kingdom	Italy	Italy - Discrimination against Imported Agricultural Machinery
GD/44	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Greece	Greek Discrimination in Credit Facilities for Imported Goods
GD/45	Sweden and United Kingdom	France	French Discrimination against Imported Agricultural Machinery
GD/46	Austria	ECSC	Consultations Requested by Austria on the Compatibility of the ECSC harmonized tariff with the Waiver Granted to the ECSC

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/47	Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by Rhodesia and Nyasaland for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Unmanufactured Tobacco
GD/48	Dominican Republic	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by the Dominican Republic for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Sugar
GD/49	United Kingdom	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by the United Kingdom for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Coffee
GD/50	United Kingdom	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by the United Kingdom for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Bananas
GD/51	United Kingdom	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by the United Kingdom for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Cocoa
GD/52	India	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by India for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Tea
GD/53	Australia	Italy	Italian Assistance to Exports of Flour
GD/54	Austria	Italy	Italian Measures in favour of Domestic Production of Ships' Plates
GD/55	Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Greece, India, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States (SR.13/20) Czechoslovakia and Japan (L/966)	Germany, Fed. Rep.	German Import Restrictions
GD/56	Austria	Italy	Italian Measures Concerning the Importation of Ships' Plates under Law No. 522
GD/57	Australia	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by Australia for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Lead and Zinc
GD/58	Australia	EEC-6	Article XXII Consultations - Request by Australia for a Consultation with the Member States of the EEC on Bauxite, Alumina and Metal
GD/59	United States	Italy	Italian Restrictions Affecting Imports from the United States and Certain Other Contracting Parties
GD/60	United Kingdom	Italy	Italy - Discrimination against Imported Agricultural Machinery

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/61	Uruguay	Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United States	Uruguayan Recourse to Article XXIII (I)
GD/62	Japan	Italy	Italian Import Restrictions Affecting Exports from Japan
GD/63	United States	France	French Import Restrictions
GD/64	Israel	Italy	Italian Import Restrictions Affecting Israeli Exports
GD/65	Uruguay	France	French Restrictions which Affect Uruguayan Exports
GD/66	Uruguay	Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden	Uruguayan Recourse to Article XXIII (II)
GD/67	United States	Canada	Exports of Potatoes to Canada
GD/68	Netherlands	Austria	Austrian Import Restrictions - Consultations Requested by the Netherlands under Article XXII
GD/69	United States	United Kingdom	United Kingdom Import Restrictions on Grapefruit and Citrus Fruit Products
GD/70	United States	Austria	Austrian Import Restrictions - Consultations Requested by the United States under Article XXII
GD/71	Uruguay	Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Norway, Sweden.	Uruguayan Recourse to Article XXIII (III)
GD/72	United Kingdom	Turkey	Turkey - EEC Customs Union
GD/73	Uruguay	Japan	Japanese Import Restrictions
GD/74	United States	Norway	Norwegian Import Restrictions - Request by the United States for Consultations under Article XXII
GD/75	Malawi	United States	United States - Export Subsidy on Unmanufactured Tobacco
GD/76	United States	Jamaica	Jamaica - Margins of Preference
GD/77	United States	Italy	Italy - Administrative and Statistical Fees
GD/78	Australia	EEC-6	EEC - Emergency Action on Table Apples
GD/79	United States	Greece	Greece - Preferential Tariff Quotas to the USSR
GD/80	United States	Denmark	Denmark - Import Restrictions on Grains

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/81	Israel	United Kingdom	United Kingdom - Import Restrictions on Cotton Textiles
GD/82	United States	United Kingdom	United Kingdom - Dollar Area Quotas
GD/83	EEC-6	United States	United States - Income Tax Legislation (DISC)
GD/84	United States	EEC-6	EEC - Compensatory Taxes on Imports
GD/85	United States	France	Income Tax Practices Maintained by France
GD/86	United States	Belgium	Income Tax Practices Maintained by Belgium
GD/87	United States	Netherlands	Income Tax Practices Maintained by the Netherlands
GD/88	United States	France	France - Import Restrictions (Phase II)
GD/89	United States	Austria, EEC-9, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland	Rules of Origin - United States Request for Consultations under Article XXII:1
GD/90	Canada	EEC-9	Canada/European Communities - Article XXVIII Rights with Respect to Wheat
GD/91	Australia	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Beef and Veal
GD/92	EEC-9	Canada	Canada - Withdrawal of Tariff Concessions (Lead and Zinc)
GD/93	United States	Canada	Canadian Import Quotas on Eggs
GD/94	United States	EEC-9	EEC - Programme of Minimum Import Prices (MIPS), Licences, and Surety Deposits for Certain Processed Fruits and Vegetables (US)
GD/95	Australia	EEC-9	EEC - Programme of Minimum Import Prices (MIPS), Licences, and Surety Deposits for Certain Processed Fruits and Vegetables (Australia)
GD/96	United States	EEC-9	EEC - Measures on Animal Feed Proteins
GD/97	United States	Japan	Japan - Measures on Imports of Thrown Silk Yarn
GD/98	Japan	United States	United States - Suspension of Customs Liquidation Regarding Certain Japanese Consumer Electronic Products
GD/99	Chile	EEC-9	EEC - Refunds on Exports of Malted Barley
GD/100	Hong Kong	Norway	Norway - Restrictions on Imports of Certain Textile Products
GD/101	United States	Japan	Japanese Measures on Imports of Leather
GD/102	Australia	EEC-9	EEC - Refunds on Exports of Sugar - Complaint by Australia
GD/103	Brazil	EEC-9	EEC - Refunds on Exports of Sugar - Complaint by Brazil
GD/104	EEC-9	United States	United States - Application of Countervailing Duties

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/105	Chile	EEC-9	European Economic Community - Restrictions on Imports of Apples from Chile
GD/106	Brazil	Spain	Spain - Tariff Treatment of Unroasted Coffee
GD/107	Canada	Japan	Japan's Measures on Imports of Leather
GD/108	United States	Japan	Japan - Restraints on Imports of Manufactured Tobacco from the United States
GD/109	United States	Spain	Spain - Measures Concerning the Domestic Sale of Soyabean Oil - Recourse to Article XXIII:2 by the United States
GD/110	Canada	United States	United States - Prohibition of Imports of Tuna and Tuna Products from Canada
GD/111	Canada	EEC-9	European Economic Community - Imports of Beef from Canada
GD/112	India	Japan	Indian Complaint against Japan (Leather)
GD/113	United States	EEC-9	EEC - United Kingdom Application of EEC Directives to Imports of Poultry from the United States
GD/114	United States	EEC-9	EEC - Tariff Treatment on Imports of Citrus Products from Certain Countries in the Mediterranean Region
GD/115	India	United States	United States - Imposition of Countervailing Duty without Injury Criterion/Industrial Fasteners Imported from India
GD/116	United States	EEC-10	Greece - Export Subsidies
GD/117	Japan	Austria	Austria - Restrictions on Imports of Video Tape Recorders
GD/118	EEC-10	United States	Panel on Vitamins
GD/119	Australia	EEC-10	European Economic Community - Production Subsidies on Canned Fruit
GD/120	United States	EEC-10	EEC - Value-Added Tax (VAT) and Threshold
GD/121	Canada	United States	United States - Imports of Certain Automotive Spring Assemblies
GD/122	United States	EEC-10	European Economic Community - Subsidies on Export of Wheat Flour
GD/123	United States	EEC-10	EEC - Subsidies on the Export of Poultry and Poultry Parts
GD/124	Hong Kong	EEC-10	EEC - Quantitative Restrictions Against Imports of Certain Products from Hong Kong
GD/125	United States	Canada	Canada - Administration of the Foreign Investment Review Act (FIRA)
GD/126	United States	EEC-10	European Communities - Export Subsidies on Sugar

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/127	United States	Brazil and EEC-10	The United States Complaint against the European Community and Brazil, Concerning the Granting of Subsidies on the Export and Production of Poultry
GD/128	United States	EEC-10	EEC - Subsidies on Export of Pasta Products
GD/129	United States	EEC-10	EEC - Production Aids Granted on Canned Peaches, Canned Pears, Canned Fruit Cocktail and Dried Grapes
GD/130	EEC-10	Japan	Japan - Nullification or Impairment of the Benefits Accruing to the EEC under the General Agreement and Impediment to the Attainment of GATT Objectives
GD/131	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, India, Nicaragua, Peru, Philippines	EEC-10	European Communities - Sugar Regime
GD/132	India	United States	Certain Domestic Procedures of the United States (CVD Procedures)
GD/133	EEC-10	United States	United States Manufacturing Clause
GD/134	United States	Japan	Japan's Treatment of US Manufactured Metal Softball Bats
GD/135	EEC-10	Finland	Finland - Internal Regulations having Effect on Imports of Certain Parts for Footwear
GD/136	EEC-10	Switzerland	Switzerland - Imports of Table Grapes
GD/137	Canada	United States	United States - Initiation of a Countervailing Duty Investigation into Softwood Lumber Products from Canada
GD/138	United States	Japan	Panel on Japanese Measures on Imports of Leather
GD/139	Japan	EEC-10	EEC - Import Restrictive Measures on Video Tape Recorders
GD/140	EEC-10	United States	United States - Subsidies on Exports of Wheat Flour to Egypt
GD/141	EEC-10	Canada	EEC Complaint Concerning Anti-Dumping Action by Canada on Electric Generators Imported from the Community
GD/142	Nicaragua	United States	United States - Imports of Sugar from Nicaragua
GD/143	EEC-10	United States	United States - Reclassification of Machine-Threshed Tobacco

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/144	EEC-10	Spain	Problems Posed for Community Exports by Spanish Homologation Requirements for Heating Radiators and Electrical Medical Equipment, with a View to Facilitating Mutually Satisfactory Solution
GD/145	Canada	EEC-10	EEC - Panel on Newsprint
GD/146	EEC-10	Chile	Chile - Import Measures on Certain Dairy Products
GD/147	EEC-10	Canada	Canada - Import, Distribution and Sale of Alcoholic Drinks by Provincial Marketing Authorities
GD/148	Canada	EEC-10	Canada - EEC Consultations on Imports of Beef
GD/149	South Africa	Canada	Canada - Measures Affecting the Sale of Gold Coins
GD/150	Finland	New Zealand	New Zealand - Imports of Electrical Transformers from Finland
GD/151	Australia	EEC-10	European Economic Community - Operation of its Beef and Veal Regime
GD/152	United States	Japan	Japan - Single Tendering Procedures
GD/153	EEC-10	United States	United States - Definition of Industry Concerning Wine and Grape Products
GD/154	EEC-10	United States	United States Ban on Imports of Steel Pipes and Tubes from the European Communities
GD/155	Canada	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Certain Sugar-Containing Products
GD/156	United States	Japan	Japan - Quantitative Restrictions on Imports of Leather Footwear
GD/157	United States	EEC-10	French "Computer Literacy Program"
GD/158	Nicaragua	United States	United States - Trade Measures Affecting Nicaragua
GD/159	Portugal	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Cotton Pillowcases and Bedsheets
GD/160	EEC-10	Canada	Canada - Imposition of Countervailing Duties on Imports of Manufacturing Beef from the EEC
GD/161	Canada	EEC-10	European Communities - Ban on Importation of Certain Seal Pups and Related Products
GD/162	Brazil	United States	Brazilian Exports of Non-Beverage Ethyl Alcohol into the United States
GD/163	Argentina	EEC-12	Enlargement of the European Economic Community (Recourse by Argentina)
GD/164	Canada	United States	United States - Measures Affecting the Export of Softwood Lumber from Canada
GD/165	EEC-12	Canada	Countervailing Duty Imposed by Canada on Pasta Products from the EEC

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/166	United States	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Imports of Certain Agricultural Products
GD/167	EEC-12	Japan	Japan - Customs Duties, Taxes and Labelling Practices on Imported Wines and Alcoholic Beverages
GD/168	United States	Canada	Canada - Measures Affecting Exports of Unprocessed Herring and Salmon
GD/169	United States	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Imports of Herring, Pollock and Surimi
GD/170	EEC-12	Japan	Japan - Trade in Semi-Conductors
GD/171	Canada, EEC-12, and Mexico	United States	United States - Taxes on Petroleum and Certain Imported Substances (Superfund)
GD/172	United States	Canada	Canada - Restrictions on Export of Unprocessed Uranium
GD/173	EEC-12	United States	Tax Reform - Passenger Aircraft
GD/174	Canada and EEC-12	United States	United States - Customs User Fee
GD/175	United States	EEC-12	The EC Animal Hormones Directive (85/649/EEC)
GD/176	United States	EEC-12	Government Financing of Airbus
GD/177	Japan	United States	United States - Unilateral Measures on Imports of Certain Japanese Products
GD/178	EEC-12	United States	United States - Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930
GD/179	United States	Canada	Canada - Injury Determination on Grain Corn from the United States
GD/180	United States	India	India - Import Restrictions on Almonds
GD/181	United States	India	India - Licensing Procedures with Respect to Imports of Almonds
GD/182	EEC-12	United States	Procurement of Machine Tools
GD/183	Canada	United States	United States - Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (Recourse by Canada)
GD/184	United States	Sweden	Sweden - Restrictions on Imports of Apples and Pears
GD/185	United States	Norway	Norway - Restrictions on Imports of Apples and Pears (I)
GD/186	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Third-Country Meat Directive
GD/187	Brazil	United States	United States - Collection of Countervailing Duties on Non-Rubber Footwear from Brazil
GD/188	Canada	Japan	Canada/Japan: Tariff on Imports of Spruce, Pine, Fir (SPF) Dimension Lumber
GD/189	Brazil	United States	United States - Tariff Increases and Import Prohibitions of Imports from Brazil
GD/190	United States	Korea	Republic of Korea - Restrictions on Imports of Beef - Complaint of the United States

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/191	United States	EEC-12	European Economic Community - Payments and Subsidies Paid to Processors and Producers of Oilseeds and Related Animal-Feed Proteins
GD/192	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Prohibition on Imports of Almonds by Greece
GD/193	Australia	Korea	Republic of Korea - Restrictions on Imports of Beef - Complaint by Australia
GD/194	United States	Japan	Japan - Import Restrictions on Additional Agricultural Products
GD/195	Australia	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Imports of Beef
GD/196	Chile	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Imports of Dessert Apples - Complaint by Chile
GD/197	Chile	United States	United States - Quality Standards for Grapes
GD/198	New Zealand	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Imports of Apples
GD/199	New Zealand	Korea	Republic of Korea - Restrictions on Imports of Beef - Complaint of New Zealand
GD/200	New Zealand	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Import of Beef
GD/201	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Imports of Apples - Complaint of the United States
GD/202	Australia	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Sugar
GD/203	EEC-12	United States	United States - Restrictions on the Importation of Sugar and Sugar-Containing Products Applied under the 1955 Waiver and under the Headnote to the Schedule of Tariff Concessions
GD/204	Sweden	United States	United States - Imposition of Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Seamless Stainless Steel Hollow Products from Sweden
GD/205	Japan	EEC-12	EEC - Regulation on Imports of Parts and Components
GD/206	Brazil	United States	United States - EEP Subsidy (Soya bean)
GD/207	Brazil	United States	United States - Import Restrictions on Certain Products from Brazil
GD/208	United States	Canada	Canada - Import Restrictions on Ice Cream and Yoghurt
GD/209	Finland	United States	Procurement of Antarctic Research Vessel with Ice-Breaking Capability by United States National Science Foundation
GD/210	EEC-12	United States	United States - Increase in the Rates of Duty on Certain Products of the European Economic Community (Presidential Proclamation No. 5759 of 24 December 1987)
GD/211	Canada	United States	United States - Import Prohibition on Ice Cream from Canada

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/212	Finland	Australia	Anti-Dumping Duties on Power Transformers from Finland
GD/213	United States	EEC-12	German Exchange Rate Scheme for Deutsche Airbus
GD/214	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Exports of Copper Scrap
GD/215	Hong Kong	EEC-12	Definitive Anti-Dumping Duties on Video Cassettes originating in Hong Kong
GD/216	EEC-12	United States	United States - Determination under Sections 304 and 305 of the Trade Act of 1974 in Respect of the European Economic Community's Subsidies for Producers and Processors of Oilseeds and Animal-Feed Proteins
GD/217	Canada	EEC-12	EEC - Subsidies for Producers and Processors of Oilseeds
GD/218	United States	Austria, EEC-12, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland	Measures to be Taken under the European Convention on Transfrontier Television
GD/219	United States	Finland	Finland: Restrictions on Imports of Apples and Pears
GD/220	Canada	United States	United States - Countervailing Duties on Fresh, Chilled and Frozen Pork from Canada
GD/221	United States	Canada	Canada - Restrictions on Imports of Broiler Hatching Eggs/Chicks
GD/222	United States	Brazil	Brazil - QRs on Certain Agricultural and Manufactured Products
GD/223	EEC-12	Chile	Chile - Internal Taxes on Spirits
GD/224	United States	Thailand	Thailand - Restrictions on Importation of and Internal Taxes on Cigarettes
GD/225	Canada	EEC-12	EEC - Exports of Canadian Grains
GD/226	EEC-12	Brazil	Brazil - Tax on Raw Sisal
GD/227	Brazil	United States	United States - Measures under the Export Enhancement Programme Affecting Soya-Bean Oil Exports by Brazil
GD/228	Austria	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Federal Republic of Germany - Restriction of Circulation of Austrian Lorries
GD/229	Chile	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions and Charges on Imports of Ovine Meat
GD/230	EEC-12	Australia	Export Subsidies Granted by Australia
GD/231	United States	Norway	Norway - Restrictions on Imports of Apples and Pears (II)
GD/232	United States	Canada	Canada - Discriminatory Practices of Provincial Liquor Boards Affecting Beer Imports

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/233	Brazil	United States	United States - Denial of Most-Favoured Nation Treatment as to Non-Rubber Footwear from Brazil
GD/234	Mexico	United States	United States - Anti-Circumvention Inquiry with Respect to Colour Television Picture Tubes
GD/235	Brazil	Mexico	Mexico - Anti-Dumping Duties on Electric Power Transformers from Brazil
GD/236	Canada	United States	United States - Investigation of Circumvention of Anti-Dumping Order on Colour Television Picture Tubes
GD/237	Mexico	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Duties on Grey Portland Cement and Cement Clinker from Mexico
GD/238	Canada	United States	United States - Anti-circumvention Inquiry with Respect to Colour Television Picture Tubes
GD/239	Mexico	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Tuna
GD/240	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Imports of Pork and Beef under Third Country Meat Directive
GD/241	United States	Indonesia	Indonesia - Import Restrictions and Charges on Bound Items
GD/242	Canada	United States	United States - Measures Affecting Alcoholic and Malt Beverages
GD/243	Norway	United States	United States - Countervailing Duty and Anti-Dumping Actions on Salmon
GD/244	Australia, New Zealand, and United States	Japan	Japan - Restrictions on Imports of Certain Agricultural Products - Follow-up on the Panel Report
GD/245	Hong Kong	United States	Anti-dumping duties in the United States against Imports of Man-Made Fibre Sweaters from Hong Kong
GD/246	Hong Kong	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Man-Made Fibre Sweaters from Hong Kong
GD/247	Sweden	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Stainless Steel Plate from Sweden
GD/248	United States	EEC-12	EEC - Subsidies Provided to the Airbus Consortium
GD/249	Norway	United States	United States - Imposition of Countervailing Duties on Imports of Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway
GD/250	United States	Norway	Norway - Procurement of Toll Collection Equipment for the City of Trondheim
GD/251	Norway	United States	United States - Imposition of Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/252	EEC-12	United States	United States - Procurement of a Sonar Mapping System
GD/253	Japan	EEC-12	EEC - Imposition of Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Audio Cassettes from Japan
GD/254	United States	Korea	Korea - Anti-dumping Duties on Imports of Polyacetal Resins from the United States
GD/255	Brazil	EEC-12	EEC - Anti-Dumping Actions on Cotton Yarn Originating from Brazil
GD/256	Canada	United States	United States - Measures Affecting the Export of Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada
GD/257	United States	EEC-12	European Economic Community - Measures Affecting Imports of Corn Gluten Feed
GD/258	Canada	United States	United States - Measures Affecting Imports of Softwood Lumber from Canada
GD/259	United States	Norway	Norway - Measures Regarding Salmon Fish Farmers
GD/260	Yugoslavia	EEC-12	EEC - Trade Measures Taken for Non-Economic Reasons
GD/261	United States	Canada	Canada - Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Beer from the United States
GD/262	United States	EEC-12	Follow up on the Panel Report "European Economic Community - Payments and Subsidies Paid to Processors and Producers of Oilseeds and Related Animal-Feed Proteins"
GD/263	Venezuela	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Tuna - Communication from Venezuela
GD/264	EEC-12	United States	United States - Harbour Maintenance Fees
GD/265	EEC-12	Australia	Australian Customs Amendment Act 1991 and Countervailing Duty Proceeding Concerning Imports of Glacé Cherries from France and Italy
GD/266	EEC-12 and Netherlands	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Tuna
GD/267	Japan	EEC-12	EEC - Treatment of Anti-Dumping Duties as a Cost in Refund Procedures
GD/268	Brazil	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Wool Suits from Brazil
GD/269	EEC-12	United States	United States - Taxes on Automobiles
GD/270	Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Venezuela	EEC-12	EEC - Member States' Import Regime for Bananas
GD/271	EEC-12	Brazil	Brazil - Imposition of Provisional and Definitive Countervailing Duties on Milk Powder and Certain Types of Milk from the European Economic Community

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/272	Netherlands	United States	United States - Restrictions on Imports of Tuna - Request for Consultations under Article XXIII:1 by the Kingdom of the Netherlands on behalf of the Netherlands Antilles
GD/273	Argentina	EEC-12	Negotiating Rights of Argentina in Connection with the Renegotiation of Oilseed Concessions by the European Communities
GD/274	Pakistan	Turkey	Turkey - Antidumping Measures on Imports of Cotton Yarn from Pakistan
GD/275	United States	Brazil	Brazil - Countervailing Duty Investigation on Imports of Wheat from the United States
GD/276	Brazil	Mexico	AD Proceeding on Brazilian Textiles
GD/277	EEC-12	Argentina	Argentina - Countervailing Duties on Dairy Products from the European Community
GD/278	EEC-12	Argentina	Argentina - Countervailing Duties on Canned Peaches from Greece
GD/279	Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Venezuela	EEC-12	EEC - Import Regime for Bananas
GD/280	EEC-12	United States	United States - Definitive Affirmative Injury Determinations Concerning Certain Carbon Steel Flat Products from Several Member States of the EEC
GD/281	Brazil	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Actions on Steel Products
GD/282	EEC-12	United States	Certain Steel Products: Definitive and Provisional Anti-Dumping Measures
GD/283	Mexico	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Investigation of Imports of Steel Wire Rope from Mexico
GD/284	Sweden	United States	United States - Imposition of Provisional Anti-Dumping Measures on Imports of Cut-to-Length Steel Plate from Sweden
GD/285	Sweden	United States	United States - Imposition of Provisional Countervailing Measures on Imports of Cut-to-Length Steel Plate and Corrosion Resistant Steel Plate from Sweden
GD/286	Australia	EEC-12	EEC - Export Subsidies on Glacé Cherries
GD/287	United States	EEC-12	European Communities - Subsidies Used in Connection with the Production of Thyristors
GD/288	Chile	EEC-12	EEC - Restrictions on Imports of Apples
GD/289	India	Poland	Poland - Import Regime for Automobiles Originating in the European Communities
GD/290	Argentina	United States	Subsidies on Wheat Exports

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/291	Japan	United States	United States - Provisional Anti-Dumping Measures against Imports of Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products
GD/292	Canada	United States	United States - Application of Section 781 of the US Tariff Act of 1930 as amended by the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (Anti-Circumvention Law) to Brass Plate from Canada
GD/293	Chile	EEC-12	EEC - Charges on Imports of Apples Originating in Chile
GD/294	EEC-12	United States	United States - Definitive Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations Concerning Certain Carbon Steel Flat Products from Several Member States of the EEC
GD/295	EEC-12	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Duties on Certain Steel Products (Hot-Rolled, Cold-Rolled, Corrosion Resistant and Cut-to-Length) from Various Member States of the European Economic Communities
GD/296	Canada	EEC-12	Regulations Affecting the Sale of Imported Bovine Semen in Italy
GD/297	Australia	United States	United States - Final Determination on Dumping and Provisional Anti-Dumping Measures against Imports of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Australia
GD/298	Canada	EEC-12	EEC - French Regulations Concerning the Trade Description of Scallops
GD/299	Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, El Salvador, Guatemala, Thailand, and Zimbabwe	United States	United States - Measures Affecting the Importation, Internal Sale and Use of Tobacco
GD/300	Argentina	EEC-12	EEC - Countervailing Charges on Lemons
GD/301	United States	Mexico	Mexico - Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation on Certain Steel Products from the United States
GD/302	EEC-12	United States	United States - Countervailing Duties on Certain Carbon Steel Flat Products from Several Members States of the EEC
GD/303	Finland	United States	United States - Anti-Dumping Measures against Imports of Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Finland

GD#	Complainant	Respondent	Title of the dispute
GD/304	EEC-12	Canada	Canada - Anti-Dumping Duties on Certain Steel Products (Cold-Rolled Steel Sheet, Flat Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Sheet and Hot-Rolled Carbon and Alloy Steel Plate) from Various Member States of the European Economic Communities
GD/305	EEC-12	Canada	Canada - Anti-Dumping Investigation of Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Plate and High Strength Low Alloy Plate, Heat Treated or Not, Originating in or Exported from, inter-alia, Italy and Spain
GD/306	Venezuela	United States	United States - Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline
GD/307	Singapore	EEC-12	EC - Refund of Anti-Dumping Duty
GD/308	Canada and United States	Australia	Australia - Import Restrictions on Salmon
GD/309	Philippines and Thailand	EEC-12	EC - Restrictions on Imports of Canned Tuna and Sardines
GD/310	EEC-12	Brazil	Brazil - Imposition of Provisional Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Certain Peaches Originating in Greece
GD/311	Czech Republic	Argentina	Argentina - Provisional Anti-Dumping Duties on Imports of Three-Phase Electric Motors
GD/312	Canada	EEC-12	EC - Prohibition of Imports of Pelt and Manufactured Goods of Wild Animals
GD/313	Hong Kong	United States	United States - Imports of Man-Made Fibre Sweaters Originating in Hong Kong
GD/314	EEC-12	United States	United States - Imposition of Provisional Anti-Dumping Duties on Photographic Paper from the Netherlands
GD/315	EEC-12, Finland, and Sweden	Japan	Japan - Measures Affecting Imports of Certain Telecommunications Equipment
GD/316	EEC-12	Chile	Chile - Taxes on Distilled Spirits

ANNEX 2: LISTS OF GATT DISPUTES BY CONTRACTING PARTY AND BY AGREEMENT AND PROVISION[◇]

1. List of disputes by contracting party

An asterisk (*) indicates that a report was issued for the dispute.

Contracting Party	as complainant	as defendant
Argentina	6 disputes: GD/131, GD/163, GD/273, GD/290, GD/299*, GD/300	3 disputes: GD/277, GD/278, GD/311
Australia	19 disputes: GD/33, GD/36*, GD/53, GD/55*, GD/57*, GD/58*, GD/78, GD/91, GD/95, GD/102*, GD/119, GD/131, GD/151, GD/193*, GD/195, GD/202*, GD/244, GD/286, GD/297	5 disputes: GD/5*, GD/212, GD/230, GD/265*, GD/308
Austria	5 disputes: GD/46, GD/54, GD/55*, GD/56, GD/228	8 disputes: GD/61*, GD/66*, GD/68, GD/70, GD/71*, GD/89, GD/117, GD/218
Belgium	2 disputes: GD/7, GD/30*	7 disputes: GD/10*, GD/12, GD/29, GD/61*, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/86*
Brazil	16 disputes: GD/103*, GD/106*, GD/131, GD/162, GD/187*, GD/189, GD/206, GD/207, GD/227, GD/233*, GD/235, GD/255*, GD/268, GD/276, GD/281, GD/299*	8 disputes: GD/3*, GD/21*, GD/127, GD/222, GD/226, GD/271*, GD/275, GD/310
Canada	32 disputes: GD/12, GD/55*, GD/90, GD/107*, GD/110*, GD/111*, GD/121*, GD/137, GD/145*, GD/148, GD/155, GD/161, GD/164*, GD/171*, GD/174*, GD/183, GD/188*, GD/211, GD/217, GD/220*, GD/225*, GD/236, GD/238, GD/242*, GD/256*, GD/258*, GD/292, GD/296, GD/298, GD/299*, GD/308, GD/312	19 disputes: GD/61*, GD/67*, GD/92*, GD/93*, GD/125*, GD/141, GD/147*, GD/149*, GD/160*, GD/165, GD/168*, GD/172, GD/179*, GD/208*, GD/221, GD/232*, GD/261, GD/304, GD/305
Chile	9 disputes: GD/5*, GD/99, GD/105*, GD/196*, GD/197, GD/229, GD/288*, GD/293*, GD/299*	4 disputes: GD/41, GD/146, GD/223, GD/316
Colombia	4 disputes: GD/131, GD/270*, GD/279*, GD/299*	-
Costa Rica	2 disputes: GD/270*, GD/279*	-
Cuba	1 dispute: GD/131	1 dispute: GD/1*
Czech Republic	1 dispute: GD/311	-
Czechoslovakia	4 disputes: GD/4*, GD/9*, GD/26, GD/55*	1 dispute: GD/61*
Denmark	6 disputes: GD/10*, GD/11*, GD/31, GD/40, GD/42, GD/55*	2 disputes: GD/61*, GD/80
Dominican Republic	2 disputes: GD/48, GD/131	-

◇ For the exclusive purpose of this publication, each GATT dispute received a "GD/" symbol followed by a number. The numbering follows the chronological order of the submission of the specific complaint by the complaining party.

Contracting Party	as complainant	as defendant
EEC	50 disputes: GD/83*, GD/92*, GD/104, GD/118*, GD/130, GD/133*, GD/135, GD/136, GD/140, GD/141, GD/143, GD/144, GD/146, GD/147*, GD/153*, GD/154, GD/160*, GD/165, GD/167*, GD/170*, GD/171*, GD/173, GD/174*, GD/178*, GD/182, GD/203*, GD/210, GD/216, GD/223, GD/226, GD/230, GD/252*, GD/264, GD/265*, GD/266*, GD/269*, GD/271*, GD/277, GD/278, GD/280*, GD/282, GD/294, GD/295, GD/302, GD/304, GD/305, GD/310, GD/314, GD/315, GD/316	78 disputes: GD/46, GD/47*, GD/48, GD/49*, GD/50*, GD/51*, GD/52*, GD/57*, GD/58*, GD/78, GD/84, GD/89, GD/90, GD/94*, GD/95, GD/96*, GD/99, GD/102*, GD/103*, GD/105*, GD/111*, GD/113*, GD/114*, GD/116, GD/119, GD/120*, GD/122*, GD/123, GD/124*, GD/126, GD/127, GD/128*, GD/129*, GD/131, GD/139, GD/145*, GD/148, GD/151, GD/157, GD/161, GD/163, GD/175, GD/176, GD/186, GD/191*, GD/192, GD/196*, GD/198, GD/201*, GD/205*, GD/213*, GD/214*, GD/215, GD/217, GD/218, GD/225*, GD/229, GD/240, GD/248, GD/253*, GD/255*, GD/257, GD/260, GD/262*, GD/267, GD/270*, GD/273, GD/279*, GD/286, GD/287, GD/288*, GD/293*, GD/296, GD/298, GD/300, GD/307, GD/309, GD/312
El Salvador	1 dispute: GD/299*	-
Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland	1 dispute: GD/47*	-
Finland	5 disputes: GD/150*, GD/209, GD/212, GD/303, GD/315	4 disputes: GD/61*, GD/89, GD/135, GD/219
France	2 disputes: GD/3*, GD/15*	16 disputes: GD/6, GD/7, GD/19, GD/22*, GD/28, GD/32, GD/36*, GD/37, GD/45, GD/61*, GD/63*, GD/65, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/85*, GD/88
Germany, Fed. Rep.	2 disputes: GD/38*, GD/44	9 disputes: GD/14*, GD/27, GD/30*, GD/39, GD/55*, GD/61*, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/228
Greece	3 disputes: GD/17*, GD/35, GD/55*	6 disputes: GD/13*, GD/15*, GD/25, GD/38*, GD/44, GD/79*
Guatemala	3 disputes: GD/270*, GD/279*, GD/299*	-
Hong Kong	6 disputes: GD/100*, GD/124*, GD/215, GD/245, GD/246, GD/313	-
Iceland	-	1 dispute: GD/89
India	8 disputes: GD/16, GD/52*, GD/55*, GD/112, GD/115*, GD/131, GD/132, GD/289	3 disputes: GD/2, GD/180, GD/181
Indonesia	-	1 dispute: GD/241
Israel	2 disputes: GD/64*, GD/81*	-

Contracting Party	as complainant	as defendant
Italy	4 disputes: GD/22*, GD/23*, GD/24, GD/25	15 disputes: GD/31, GD/34, GD/35, GD/43*, GD/53, GD/54, GD/56, GD/59*, GD/60, GD/61*, GD/62, GD/64*, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/77
Jamaica	-	1 dispute: GD/76*
Japan	10 disputes: GD/55*, GD/62, GD/98*, GD/117, GD/139, GD/177, GD/205*, GD/253*, GD/267, GD/291	23 disputes: GD/61*, GD/73, GD/91, GD/97*, GD/101*, GD/107*, GD/108*, GD/112, GD/130, GD/134, GD/138*, GD/152, GD/156, GD/166*, GD/167*, GD/169, GD/170*, GD/188*, GD/194, GD/195, GD/200, GD/244, GD/315
Korea	-	4 disputes: GD/190*, GD/193*, GD/199*, GD/254*
Luxemburg	1 dispute: GD/30*	-
Malawi	1 dispute: GD/75*	-
Mexico	5 disputes: GD/171*, GD/234, GD/237*, GD/239*, GD/283	3 disputes: GD/235, GD/276, GD/301
Netherlands	8 disputes: GD/8, GD/11*, GD/18*, GD/30*, GD/39, GD/68, GD/266*, GD/272	2 disputes: GD/61*, GD/87*
New Zealand	5 disputes: GD/55*, GD/198, GD/199*, GD/200, GD/244	1 dispute: GD/150*
Nicaragua	5 disputes: GD/131, GD/142*, GD/158*, GD/270*, GD/279*	-
Norway	6 disputes: GD/10*, GD/14*, GD/55*, GD/243, GD/249*, GD/251*	11 disputes: GD/61*, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/74, GD/89, GD/100*, GD/185*, GD/218, GD/231, GD/250*, GD/259
Pakistan	3 disputes: GD/2, GD/55*, GD/274	1 dispute: GD/16
Peru	1 dispute: GD/131	1 dispute: GD/26
Philippines	2 disputes: GD/131, GD/309	-
Poland	-	1 dispute: GD/289
Portugal	1 dispute: GD/159	1 dispute: GD/89
Singapore	1 dispute: GD/307	-
South Africa	1 dispute: GD/149*	-
Spain	-	3 disputes: GD/106*, GD/109*, GD/144
Sweden	7 disputes: GD/45, GD/55*, GD/204*, GD/247*, GD/284, GD/285, GD/315	7 disputes: GD/23*, GD/61*, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/89, GD/184, GD/218
Switzerland	-	4 disputes: GD/61*, GD/89, GD/136, GD/218
Thailand	2 disputes: GD/299*, GD/309	1 dispute: GD/224*
Turkey	2 disputes: GD/17*, GD/20	3 disputes: GD/24, GD/72*, GD/274
United Kingdom	11 disputes: GD/13*, GD/21*, GD/34, GD/43*, GD/45, GD/49*, GD/50*, GD/51*, GD/55*, GD/60, GD/72*	5 disputes: GD/8, GD/42, GD/69, GD/81*, GD/82*

Contracting Party	as complainant	as defendant
United States	93 disputes: GD/1*, GD/6, GD/12, GD/19, GD/21*, GD/27, GD/28, GD/29, GD/32, GD/37, GD/41, GD/55*, GD/59*, GD/63*, GD/67*, GD/69, GD/70, GD/74, GD/76*, GD/77, GD/79*, GD/80, GD/82*, GD/84, GD/85*, GD/86*, GD/87*, GD/88, GD/89, GD/93*, GD/94*, GD/96*, GD/97*, GD/101*, GD/108*, GD/109*, GD/113*, GD/114*, GD/116, GD/120*, GD/122*, GD/123, GD/125*, GD/126, GD/127, GD/128*, GD/129*, GD/134, GD/138*, GD/152, GD/156, GD/157, GD/166*, GD/168*, GD/169, GD/172, GD/175, GD/176, GD/179*, GD/180, GD/181, GD/184, GD/185*, GD/186, GD/190*, GD/191*, GD/192, GD/194, GD/201*, GD/208*, GD/213*, GD/214*, GD/218, GD/219, GD/221, GD/222, GD/224*, GD/231, GD/232*, GD/240, GD/241, GD/244, GD/248, GD/250*, GD/254*, GD/257, GD/259, GD/261, GD/262*, GD/275, GD/287, GD/301, GD/308	91 disputes: GD/4*, GD/9*, GD/11*, GD/17*, GD/18*, GD/20, GD/33, GD/40, GD/61*, GD/75*, GD/83*, GD/98*, GD/104, GD/110*, GD/115*, GD/118*, GD/121*, GD/132, GD/133*, GD/137, GD/140, GD/142*, GD/143, GD/153*, GD/154, GD/155, GD/158*, GD/159, GD/162, GD/164*, GD/171*, GD/173, GD/174*, GD/177, GD/178*, GD/182, GD/183, GD/187*, GD/189, GD/197, GD/202*, GD/203*, GD/204*, GD/206, GD/207, GD/209, GD/210, GD/211, GD/216, GD/220*, GD/227, GD/233*, GD/234, GD/236, GD/237*, GD/238, GD/239*, GD/242*, GD/243, GD/245, GD/246, GD/247*, GD/249*, GD/251*, GD/252*, GD/256*, GD/258*, GD/263, GD/264, GD/266*, GD/268, GD/269*, GD/272, GD/280*, GD/281, GD/282, GD/283, GD/284, GD/285, GD/290, GD/291, GD/292, GD/294, GD/295, GD/297, GD/299*, GD/302, GD/303, GD/306, GD/313, GD/314
Uruguay	5 disputes: GD/61*, GD/65, GD/66*, GD/71*, GD/73	-
Venezuela	4 disputes: GD/263, GD/270*, GD/279*, GD/306	-
Yugoslavia	1 dispute: GD/260	-
Zimbabwe	1 dispute: GD/299*	-

2. List of disputes by agreement and provision

GATT 1947

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
No specific provision of the GATT cited	23 disputes: GD/18, GD/27, GD/29, GD/62, GD/64, GD/71, GD/91, GD/101, GD/107, GD/118, GD/130, GD/162, GD/218, GD/226, GD/234, GD/236, GD/238, GD/244, GD/272, GD/274, GD/280, GD/287, GD/298	4 disputes: GD/18, GD/64, GD/118, GD/280
Article I	42 disputes: GD/2, GD/4, GD/5, GD/10, GD/14, GD/16, GD/22, GD/23, GD/61, GD/66, GD/76, GD/79, GD/94, GD/96, GD/97, GD/100, GD/105, GD/106, GD/111, GD/115, GD/120, GD/124, GD/158, GD/170, GD/177, GD/188, GD/189, GD/196, GD/197, GD/200, GD/207, GD/228, GD/233, GD/240, GD/249, GD/260, GD/270, GD/279, GD/289, GD/306, GD/312, GD/315	20 disputes: GD/4, GD/5, GD/10, GD/14, GD/22, GD/23, GD/79, GD/94, GD/96, GD/105, GD/106, GD/111, GD/120, GD/158, GD/170, GD/188, GD/196, GD/233, GD/270, GD/279
Article I:1	10 disputes: GD/2, GD/10, GD/23, GD/79, GD/106, GD/111, GD/120, GD/158, GD/188, GD/233	9 disputes: GD/10, GD/23, GD/79, GD/106, GD/111, GD/120, GD/158, GD/188, GD/233
Article I:2	3 disputes: GD/5, GD/61, GD/66	1 dispute: GD/5
Article I:4	2 disputes: GD/5, GD/76	1 dispute: GD/5
Article II	51 disputes: GD/10, GD/13, GD/15, GD/22, GD/24, GD/28, GD/32, GD/55, GD/61, GD/66, GD/67, GD/77, GD/84, GD/94, GD/96, GD/105, GD/112, GD/118, GD/121, GD/129, GD/138, GD/142, GD/145, GD/146, GD/147, GD/149, GD/158, GD/161, GD/166, GD/174, GD/177, GD/189, GD/190, GD/191, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/202, GD/203, GD/207, GD/210, GD/232, GD/241, GD/242, GD/249, GD/262, GD/270, GD/279, GD/293, GD/299, GD/315	26 disputes: GD/13, GD/22, GD/67, GD/94, GD/96, GD/105, GD/121, GD/129, GD/138, GD/142, GD/145, GD/147, GD/149, GD/158, GD/174, GD/190, GD/191, GD/193, GD/199, GD/202, GD/203, GD/232, GD/242, GD/262, GD/270, GD/279
Article II:1	14 disputes: GD/13, GD/22, GD/24, GD/55, GD/77, GD/94, GD/96, GD/105, GD/121, GD/147, GD/190, GD/199, GD/202, GD/203	10 disputes: GD/13, GD/22, GD/94, GD/96, GD/105, GD/121, GD/190, GD/199, GD/202, GD/203
Article II:2	3 disputes: GD/10, GD/28, GD/174	1 dispute: GD/174
Article II:3	1 dispute: GD/146	-
Article II:4	6 disputes: GD/10, GD/61, GD/66, GD/147, GD/166, GD/232	2 disputes: GD/147, GD/232

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article III	53 disputes: GD/3, GD/5, GD/8, GD/10, GD/14, GD/15, GD/25, GD/33, GD/34, GD/37, GD/39, GD/43, GD/45, GD/60, GD/61, GD/66, GD/77, GD/96, GD/108, GD/109, GD/113, GD/121, GD/125, GD/133, GD/135, GD/147, GD/149, GD/167, GD/171, GD/173, GD/178, GD/183, GD/186, GD/191, GD/197, GD/205, GD/217, GD/223, GD/224, GD/228, GD/232, GD/239, GD/240, GD/242, GD/262, GD/266, GD/269, GD/279, GD/296, GD/299, GD/306, GD/312, GD/316	24 disputes: GD/5, GD/10, GD/14, GD/43, GD/96, GD/109, GD/121, GD/125, GD/147, GD/149, GD/167, GD/171, GD/178, GD/191, GD/205, GD/224, GD/232, GD/239, GD/242, GD/262, GD/266, GD/269, GD/279, GD/299
Article III:1	15 disputes: GD/3, GD/8, GD/37, GD/96, GD/109, GD/121, GD/133, GD/147, GD/167, GD/171, GD/223, GD/242, GD/269, GD/299, GD/316	6 disputes: GD/96, GD/109, GD/121, GD/147, GD/167, GD/242
Article III:2	17 disputes: GD/3, GD/8, GD/10, GD/15, GD/25, GD/77, GD/121, GD/147, GD/167, GD/171, GD/205, GD/223, GD/232, GD/242, GD/269, GD/299, GD/316	9 disputes: GD/121, GD/147, GD/167, GD/171, GD/205, GD/232, GD/242, GD/269, GD/299
Article III:4	18 disputes: GD/10, GD/33, GD/43, GD/60, GD/96, GD/109, GD/121, GD/125, GD/147, GD/173, GD/186, GD/205, GD/228, GD/232, GD/242, GD/262, GD/269, GD/299	12 disputes: GD/43, GD/96, GD/109, GD/121, GD/125, GD/147, GD/205, GD/232, GD/242, GD/262, GD/269, GD/299
Article III:5	4 disputes: GD/96, GD/109, GD/125, GD/299	4 disputes: GD/96, GD/109, GD/125, GD/299
Article III:8	5 disputes: GD/5, GD/10, GD/45, GD/191, GD/242	4 disputes: GD/5, GD/10, GD/191, GD/242
Article III:9	1 dispute: GD/5	1 dispute: GD/5
Article V	2 disputes: GD/158, GD/228	1 dispute: GD/158
Article VI	19 disputes: GD/23, GD/67, GD/98, GD/104, GD/115, GD/150, GD/164, GD/170, GD/187, GD/220, GD/233, GD/249, GD/277, GD/278, GD/284, GD/303, GD/307, GD/311, GD/313	7 disputes: GD/23, GD/67, GD/98, GD/150, GD/187, GD/220, GD/233
Article VI:1	1 dispute: GD/150	1 dispute: GD/150
Article VI:3	1 dispute: GD/220	1 dispute: GD/220
Article VI:4	2 disputes: GD/23, GD/98	2 disputes: GD/23, GD/98
Article VI:6	6 disputes: GD/104, GD/187, GD/233, GD/277, GD/278, GD/311	2 disputes: GD/187, GD/233
Article VII	2 disputes: GD/67, GD/199	1 dispute: GD/67
Article VII:2	1 dispute: GD/67	1 dispute: GD/67

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article VIII	11 disputes: GD/28, GD/32, GD/77, GD/94, GD/139, GD/174, GD/264, GD/270, GD/279, GD/299, GD/306	5 disputes: GD/94, GD/174, GD/270, GD/279, GD/299
Article VIII:1	4 disputes: GD/77, GD/139, GD/174, GD/299	2 disputes: GD/174, GD/299
Article IX	3 disputes: GD/167, GD/193, GD/239	2 disputes: GD/167, GD/239
Article IX:6	1 dispute: GD/167	1 dispute: GD/167
Article X	15 disputes: GD/12, GD/35, GD/112, GD/138, GD/170, GD/187, GD/190, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/201, GD/208, GD/232, GD/233, GD/300	11 disputes: GD/138, GD/170, GD/187, GD/190, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/201, GD/208, GD/232, GD/233
Article X:1	5 disputes: GD/112, GD/138, GD/190, GD/208, GD/300	3 disputes: GD/138, GD/190, GD/208
Article X:2	2 disputes: GD/187, GD/208	2 disputes: GD/187, GD/208
Article X:3	3 disputes: GD/35, GD/112, GD/138	1 dispute: GD/138
Article XI	71 disputes: GD/5, GD/11, GD/41, GD/55, GD/61, GD/63, GD/66, GD/80, GD/82, GD/88, GD/93, GD/94, GD/97, GD/100, GD/105, GD/110, GD/112, GD/121, GD/124, GD/125, GD/133, GD/138, GD/139, GD/142, GD/147, GD/156, GD/158, GD/161, GD/166, GD/168, GD/169, GD/170, GD/172, GD/180, GD/184, GD/185, GD/190, GD/192, GD/193, GD/194, GD/195, GD/196, GD/199, GD/200, GD/201, GD/202, GD/203, GD/208, GD/214, GD/219, GD/221, GD/222, GD/224, GD/228, GD/231, GD/232, GD/239, GD/240, GD/241, GD/242, GD/263, GD/266, GD/270, GD/279, GD/293, GD/299, GD/300, GD/306, GD/308, GD/309, GD/312	33 disputes: GD/5, GD/11, GD/63, GD/93, GD/94, GD/105, GD/110, GD/121, GD/124, GD/125, GD/133, GD/138, GD/142, GD/147, GD/158, GD/166, GD/168, GD/170, GD/185, GD/190, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/201, GD/202, GD/203, GD/208, GD/224, GD/232, GD/239, GD/266, GD/270, GD/279
Article XI:1	21 disputes: GD/5, GD/11, GD/94, GD/112, GD/121, GD/125, GD/139, GD/142, GD/158, GD/195, GD/201, GD/202, GD/214, GD/224, GD/231, GD/232, GD/266, GD/270, GD/299, GD/300, GD/308	13 disputes: GD/5, GD/11, GD/94, GD/121, GD/125, GD/142, GD/158, GD/201, GD/202, GD/224, GD/232, GD/266, GD/270
Article XI:2	19 disputes: GD/11, GD/55, GD/61, GD/66, GD/93, GD/94, GD/105, GD/110, GD/166, GD/168, GD/185, GD/196, GD/201, GD/203, GD/208, GD/214, GD/224, GD/231, GD/270	14 disputes: GD/11, GD/93, GD/94, GD/105, GD/110, GD/166, GD/168, GD/185, GD/196, GD/201, GD/203, GD/208, GD/224, GD/270
Article XII	7 disputes: GD/12, GD/22, GD/59, GD/61, GD/63, GD/66, GD/166	2 disputes: GD/59, GD/63

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article XII:2	1 dispute: GD/12	-
Article XII:4	4 disputes: GD/12, GD/22, GD/61, GD/66	-
Article XIII	28 disputes: GD/4, GD/14, GD/55, GD/82, GD/97, GD/100, GD/105, GD/112, GD/124, GD/133, GD/138, GD/142, GD/145, GD/158, GD/166, GD/189, GD/190, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/201, GD/208, GD/211, GD/239, GD/270, GD/279, GD/293, GD/300	18 disputes: GD/14, GD/100, GD/105, GD/124, GD/133, GD/138, GD/142, GD/145, GD/158, GD/190, GD/193, GD/196, GD/199, GD/201, GD/208, GD/239, GD/270, GD/279
Article XIII:1	4 disputes: GD/4, GD/14, GD/105, GD/300	2 disputes: GD/14, GD/105
Article XIII:2	5 disputes: GD/4, GD/100, GD/105, GD/142, GD/208	4 disputes: GD/100, GD/105, GD/142, GD/208
Article XIII:3	6 disputes: GD/4, GD/105, GD/112, GD/138, GD/190, GD/208	4 disputes: GD/105, GD/138, GD/190, GD/208
Article XIV	1 dispute: GD/166	-
Article XV	3 disputes: GD/12, GD/22, GD/97	-
Article XV:9	1 dispute: GD/12	-
Article XVI	24 disputes: GD/5, GD/17, GD/24, GD/36, GD/40, GD/42, GD/44, GD/53, GD/75, GD/83, GD/85, GD/86, GD/87, GD/98, GD/99, GD/102, GD/103, GD/119, GD/122, GD/126, GD/127, GD/128, GD/131, GD/206	12 disputes: GD/5, GD/17, GD/36, GD/75, GD/83, GD/85, GD/86, GD/87, GD/98, GD/102, GD/103, GD/122
Article XVI:1	5 disputes: GD/83, GD/102, GD/103, GD/119, GD/128	3 disputes: GD/83, GD/102, GD/103
Article XVI:2	3 disputes: GD/122, GD/131, GD/206	1 dispute: GD/122
Article XVI:3	6 disputes: GD/36, GD/83, GD/102, GD/103, GD/122, GD/131	4 disputes: GD/36, GD/83, GD/102, GD/103
Article XVI:4	5 disputes: GD/83, GD/85, GD/86, GD/87, GD/128	4 disputes: GD/83, GD/85, GD/86, GD/87
Article XVII	10 disputes: GD/59, GD/61, GD/66, GD/97, GD/108, GD/109, GD/125, GD/147, GD/170, GD/315	5 disputes: GD/59, GD/109, GD/125, GD/147, GD/170
Article XVII:1	2 disputes: GD/125, GD/170	2 disputes: GD/125, GD/170
Article XVII:4	1 dispute: GD/147	1 dispute: GD/147
Article XVIII	7 disputes: GD/1, GD/166, GD/180, GD/190, GD/193, GD/199, GD/241	3 disputes: GD/190, GD/193, GD/199
Article XVIII:11	1 dispute: GD/190	1 dispute: GD/190
Article XVIII Section B (BOP)	3 disputes: GD/180, GD/193, GD/199	2 disputes: GD/193, GD/199

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article XIX	7 disputes: GD/1, GD/9, GD/38, GD/55, GD/100, GD/117, GD/136	2 disputes: GD/9, GD/100
Article XIX:1	1 dispute: GD/9	1 dispute: GD/9
Article XIX:2	2 disputes: GD/9, GD/38	1 dispute: GD/9
Article XIX:3	1 dispute: GD/100	1 dispute: GD/100
Article XX	24 disputes: GD/4, GD/55, GD/59, GD/61, GD/66, GD/110, GD/113, GD/121, GD/125, GD/166, GD/167, GD/168, GD/175, GD/178, GD/186, GD/205, GD/210, GD/224, GD/228, GD/239, GD/242, GD/266, GD/269, GD/279	15 disputes: GD/59, GD/110, GD/121, GD/125, GD/166, GD/167, GD/168, GD/178, GD/205, GD/224, GD/239, GD/242, GD/266, GD/269, GD/279
Article XX(b)	7 disputes: GD/55, GD/167, GD/186, GD/224, GD/228, GD/239, GD/266	4 disputes: GD/167, GD/224, GD/239, GD/266
Article XX(d)	5 disputes: GD/121, GD/125, GD/178, GD/205, GD/266	5 disputes: GD/121, GD/125, GD/178, GD/205, GD/266
Article XX(g)	5 disputes: GD/110, GD/168, GD/239, GD/266, GD/269	5 disputes: GD/110, GD/168, GD/239, GD/266, GD/269
Article XX(h)	1 dispute: GD/279	1 dispute: GD/279
Article XX:2	1 dispute: GD/4	-
Article XXI	4 disputes: GD/4, GD/59, GD/158, GD/260	2 disputes: GD/59, GD/158
Article XXI(b)	3 disputes: GD/4, GD/158, GD/260	1 dispute: GD/158
Article XXI(c)	1 dispute: GD/260	-
Article XXII ^Δ	4 disputes: GD/5, GD/61, GD/66, GD/210	-
Article XXIII ^Δ	32 disputes: GD/1, GD/5, GD/14, GD/26, GD/30, GD/61, GD/63, GD/66, GD/83, GD/84, GD/85, GD/94, GD/105, GD/109, GD/114, GD/119, GD/129, GD/130, GD/151, GD/158, GD/170, GD/190, GD/191, GD/203, GD/210, GD/216, GD/233, GD/239, GD/262, GD/270, GD/300, GD/308	18 disputes: GD/5, GD/14, GD/61, GD/83, GD/85, GD/94, GD/105, GD/109, GD/114, GD/129, GD/158, GD/170, GD/190, GD/191, GD/203, GD/233, GD/262, GD/270
Article XXIII:1	22 disputes: GD/1, GD/5, GD/14, GD/30, GD/61, GD/63, GD/66, GD/109, GD/114, GD/119, GD/129, GD/130, GD/151, GD/158, GD/170, GD/191, GD/203, GD/233, GD/262, GD/270, GD/300, GD/308	13 disputes: GD/5, GD/14, GD/61, GD/109, GD/114, GD/129, GD/158, GD/170, GD/191, GD/203, GD/233, GD/262, GD/270
Article XXIII:2	5 disputes: GD/61, GD/66, GD/83, GD/85, GD/270	3 disputes: GD/83, GD/85

^Δ Listing only GATT disputes where Articles XXII and XXIII of the GATT 1947 were raised as a claim. Not referencing the numerous GATT disputes where one or both of these provisions served merely as a procedural basis.

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article XXIV	17 disputes: GD/49, GD/50, GD/51, GD/72, GD/89, GD/90, GD/95, GD/114, GD/149, GD/158, GD/163, GD/191, GD/232, GD/242, GD/270, GD/279, GD/289	8 disputes: GD/114, GD/149, GD/158, GD/191, GD/232, GD/242, GD/270, GD/279
Article XXIV:4	2 disputes: GD/50, GD/51	-
Article XXIV:5	1 dispute: GD/72	-
Article XXIV:6	5 disputes: GD/72, GD/90, GD/95, GD/163, GD/191	1 dispute: GD/191
Article XXIV:12	3 disputes: GD/149, GD/232, GD/242	3 disputes: GD/149, GD/232, GD/242
Article XXV	3 disputes: GD/1, GD/13, GD/79	1 dispute: GD/79
Article XXV:5	2 disputes: GD/13, GD/79	1 dispute: GD/79
Article XXVI	1 dispute: GD/76	-
Article XXVI:5	1 dispute: GD/76	-
Article XXVII	1 dispute: GD/189	-
Article XXVIII	7 disputes: GD/31, GD/38, GD/72, GD/90, GD/92, GD/225, GD/273	2 disputes: GD/92, GD/225
Article XXVIII:1	1 dispute: GD/273	-
Article XXVIII:3	1 dispute: GD/92	-
Article XXXVI	6 disputes: GD/75, GD/103, GD/105, GD/112, GD/142, GD/158	4 disputes: GD/75, GD/103, GD/105, GD/158
Article XXXVI:1	1 dispute: GD/142	-
Article XXXVI:2	2 disputes: GD/75, GD/142	1 dispute: GD/75
Article XXXVI:3	2 disputes: GD/75, GD/142	1 dispute: GD/75
Article XXXVI:4	1 dispute: GD/142	-
Article XXXVI:5	1 dispute: GD/112	-
Article XXXVI:9	1 dispute: GD/142	-
Article XXXVII	5 disputes: GD/75, GD/105, GD/142, GD/158, GD/270	3 disputes: GD/75, GD/105, GD/158
Article XXXVII:1	2 disputes: GD/142, GD/270	-
Article XXXVII:2	1 dispute: GD/142	-
Article XXXVII:3	1 dispute: GD/75	1 dispute: GD/75
Article XXXVIII	2 disputes: GD/103, GD/158	2 disputes: GD/103, GD/158
Part II	2 disputes: GD/3, GD/133	-
Part IV	6 disputes: GD/75, GD/103, GD/105, GD/142, GD/196, GD/270	6 disputes: GD/75, GD/103, GD/105, GD/142, GD/196, GD/270

Cotton Textiles Arrangement

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 4	1 dispute: GD/81	-

Multi-Fibre Arrangement

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 1	1 dispute: GD/268	-
Article 1:6	1 dispute: GD/268	-
Article 11	1 dispute: GD/268	-
Article 11:9	1 dispute: GD/268	-

Tokyo Round Code on Antidumping

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
No specific provision	7 disputes: GD/234, GD/236, GD/238, GD/246, GD/267, GD/283, GD/304	-
Article 1	2 disputes: GD/204, GD/292	1 dispute: GD/204
Article 2	15 disputes: GD/141, GD/204, GD/212, GD/235, GD/245, GD/251, GD/253, GD/255, GD/261, GD/284, GD/292, GD/303, GD/307, GD/313, GD/314	3 disputes: GD/251, GD/253, GD/255
Article 2:1	4 disputes: GD/141, GD/235, GD/253, GD/261	1 dispute: GD/253
Article 2:2	1 dispute: GD/212	-
Article 2:4	8 disputes: GD/245, GD/251, GD/253, GD/255, GD/284, GD/303, GD/313, GD/314	3 disputes: GD/251, GD/253, GD/255
Article 2:6	9 disputes: GD/204, GD/212, GD/235, GD/251, GD/253, GD/255, GD/261, GD/284, GD/307	3 disputes: GD/251, GD/253, GD/255
Article 3	12 disputes: GD/204, GD/235, GD/245, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255, GD/284, GD/291, GD/292, GD/295, GD/303, GD/313	3 disputes: GD/253, GD/254, GD/255
Article 3:1	5 disputes: GD/235, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255, GD/291	3 disputes: GD/253, GD/254, GD/255
Article 3:2	7 disputes: GD/204, GD/235, GD/245, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255, GD/313	3 disputes: GD/253, GD/254, GD/255
Article 3:3	2 disputes: GD/254, GD/255	2 disputes: GD/254, GD/255
Article 3:4	6 disputes: GD/245, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255, GD/291, GD/313	3 disputes: GD/253, GD/254, GD/255
Article 3:6	1 dispute: GD/254	1 dispute: GD/254
Article 4	2 disputes: GD/253, GD/261	-
Article 4:1	2 disputes: GD/253, GD/261	-
Article 5	13 disputes: GD/204, GD/235, GD/237, GD/245, GD/251, GD/253, GD/284, GD/292, GD/295, GD/297, GD/303, GD/305, GD/313	3 disputes: GD/204, GD/237, GD/251

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 5:1	8 disputes: GD/235, GD/237, GD/245, GD/251, GD/253, GD/295, GD/297, GD/313	2 disputes: GD/237, GD/251
Article 5:3	4 disputes: GD/235, GD/253, GD/284, GD/303	-
Article 6	8 disputes: GD/235, GD/245, GD/251, GD/284, GD/291, GD/297, GD/303, GD/310	1 dispute: GD/251
Article 6:1	3 disputes: GD/235, GD/245, GD/251	1 dispute: GD/251
Article 6:2	2 disputes: GD/235, GD/310	-
Article 6:3	1 dispute: GD/235	-
Article 6:7	2 disputes: GD/235, GD/310	-
Article 6:8	6 disputes: GD/245, GD/251, GD/284, GD/291, GD/297, GD/303	1 dispute: GD/251
Article 8	9 disputes: GD/235, GD/247, GD/251, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255, GD/292, GD/307, GD/310	4 disputes: GD/251, GD/253, GD/254, GD/255
Article 8:1	1 dispute: GD/235	-
Article 8:2	1 dispute: GD/255	1 dispute: GD/255
Article 8:3	5 disputes: GD/247, GD/251, GD/253, GD/292, GD/307	2 disputes: GD/251, GD/253
Article 8:5	2 disputes: GD/254, GD/310	1 dispute: GD/254
Article 9	2 disputes: GD/247, GD/251	2 disputes: GD/247, GD/251
Article 9:1	2 disputes: GD/247, GD/251	2 disputes: GD/247, GD/251
Article 9:2	1 dispute: GD/247	1 dispute: GD/247
Article 10	4 disputes: GD/235, GD/292, GD/295, GD/310	-
Article 10:1	4 disputes: GD/235, GD/292, GD/295, GD/310	-
Article 12	1 dispute: GD/287	-
Article 12:4	1 dispute: GD/287	-
Article 13	1 dispute: GD/255	1 dispute: GD/255
Article 15	1 dispute: GD/243	-
Article 15:1	1 dispute: GD/243	-

Tokyo Round Code on Government Procurement

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Preamble	1 dispute: GD/209	-
Article I	2 disputes: GD/209, GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252
Article I:1	2 disputes: GD/209, GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252
Article II	1 dispute: GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article III	1 dispute: GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252
Article IV	1 dispute: GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252
Article IV:3	1 dispute: GD/252	1 dispute: GD/252
Article V	3 disputes: GD/157, GD/250, GD/252	2 disputes: GD/250, GD/252
Article V:3	1 dispute: GD/157	-
Article V:4	1 dispute: GD/157	-
Article V:10	1 dispute: GD/157	-
Article V:16	1 dispute: GD/250	1 dispute: GD/250
Article VI	1 dispute: GD/157	-
Article VI:7	1 dispute: GD/157	-
Article IX	1 dispute: GD/209	-
Article IX:4	1 dispute: GD/209	-

Tokyo Round Code on Import Licensing Procedures

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 1	1 dispute: GD/181	-
Article 3	1 dispute: GD/181	-

Tokyo Round Code on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
No specific provision	3 disputes: GD/116, GD/137, GD/259	-
Article 1	5 disputes: GD/187, GD/265, GD/271, GD/280, GD/302	3 disputes: GD/187, GD/271, GD/280
Article 1:1	1 dispute: GD/187	1 dispute: GD/187
Article 2	10 disputes: GD/160, GD/164, GD/165, GD/187, GD/220, GD/249, GD/256, GD/258, GD/275, GD/285	4 disputes: GD/160, GD/187, GD/249, GD/258
Article 2:1	6 disputes: GD/160, GD/165, GD/187, GD/220, GD/256, GD/258	3 disputes: GD/160, GD/187, GD/258
Article 3	2 disputes: GD/243, GD/275	-
Article 3:4	1 dispute: GD/275	-
Article 4	7 disputes: GD/132, GD/187, GD/220, GD/249, GD/258, GD/280, GD/302	4 disputes: GD/187, GD/249, GD/258, GD/280
Article 4:2	5 disputes: GD/132, GD/220, GD/249, GD/280, GD/302	2 disputes: GD/249, GD/280
Article 4:6	1 dispute: GD/258	1 dispute: GD/258
Article 4:9	2 disputes: GD/132, GD/249	1 dispute: GD/249
Article 5	6 disputes: GD/132, GD/165, GD/187, GD/258, GD/271, GD/275	3 disputes: GD/187, GD/258, GD/271
Article 5:1	3 disputes: GD/165, GD/258, GD/271	2 disputes: GD/258, GD/271

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 5:2	1 dispute: GD/187	1 dispute: GD/187
Article 5:3	1 dispute: GD/187	1 dispute: GD/187
Article 5:6	1 dispute: GD/187	1 dispute: GD/187
Article 6	7 disputes: GD/153, GD/160, GD/179, GD/249, GD/265, GD/271, GD/302	5 disputes: GD/153, GD/160, GD/179, GD/249, GD/271
Article 6:1	4 disputes: GD/153, GD/179, GD/265, GD/271	3 disputes: GD/153, GD/179, GD/271
Article 6:2	1 dispute: GD/271	1 dispute: GD/271
Article 6:3	1 dispute: GD/271	1 dispute: GD/271
Article 6:4	2 disputes: GD/249, GD/271	2 disputes: GD/249, GD/271
Article 6:5	3 disputes: GD/153, GD/160, GD/265	2 disputes: GD/153, GD/160
Article 6:6	1 dispute: GD/153	1 dispute: GD/153
Article 7	1 dispute: GD/248	-
Article 7:1	1 dispute: GD/248	-
Article 7:2	1 dispute: GD/248	-
Article 8	8 disputes: GD/122, GD/126, GD/127, GD/129, GD/191, GD/206, GD/213, GD/248	1 dispute: GD/191
Article 8:2	1 dispute: GD/206	-
Article 8:3	4 disputes: GD/122, GD/191, GD/206, GD/213	-
Article 8:4	4 disputes: GD/122, GD/129, GD/191, GD/206	1 dispute: GD/191
Article 9	6 disputes: GD/127, GD/128, GD/153, GD/213, GD/230, GD/286	3 disputes: GD/128, GD/153, GD/213
Article 10	6 disputes: GD/122, GD/126, GD/127, GD/128, GD/140, GD/148	1 dispute: GD/122
Article 10:1	2 disputes: GD/122, GD/140	-
Article 10:2	1 dispute: GD/122	1 dispute: GD/122
Article 10:3	4 disputes: GD/122, GD/126, GD/140, GD/148	1 dispute: GD/122
Article 11	2 disputes: GD/248, GD/249	1 dispute: GD/249
Article 11:2	1 dispute: GD/249	1 dispute: GD/249
Article 12	1 dispute: GD/127	-
Article 12:3	1 dispute: GD/127	-
Article 13	1 dispute: GD/205	1 dispute: GD/205
Article 13:10	1 dispute: GD/205	1 dispute: GD/205
Article 14	1 dispute: GD/127	-
Article 14:4	1 dispute: GD/127	-
Article 19	3 disputes: GD/115, GD/153, GD/265	1 dispute: GD/153
Article 19:5	2 disputes: GD/153, GD/265	1 dispute: GD/153
Article 19:9	1 dispute: GD/115	-

Tokyo Round Code on Technical Barriers to Trade

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 2	1 dispute: GD/144	-
Article 2:1	1 dispute: GD/144	-
Article 5	1 dispute: GD/144	-
Article 5:1	1 dispute: GD/144	-
Article 7	2 disputes: GD/134, GD/175	-
Article 7:1	1 dispute: GD/175	-
Article 7:2	2 disputes: GD/134, GD/175	-
Article 14	1 dispute: GD/175	-
Article 14:6	1 dispute: GD/175	-

Tokyo Round Code on Trade in Civil Aircraft

Provision	Raised initially	Addressed in a report
Article 4	1 dispute: GD/176	-
Article 6	2 disputes: GD/176, GD/213	-
Article 6:6	2 disputes: GD/176, GD/213	-

ANNEX 3:

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	Tokyo Round Code on Anti-dumping
BISD	Basic Instruments and Selected Documents of the GATT
CVD	Countervailing duty
DSB	Dispute Settlement Body
EEC	European Economic Community
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1947
GD	Dispute number assigned by this publication according to the chronological order of the consultation request initiating the dispute
GP or Govt. Proc.	Tokyo Round Code on Government Procurement
LDC	Least-developed country
LIC	Tokyo Round Code on Import Licences Procedures
MFA	Multi-fibre Arrangement
TBT	Tokyo Round Code on Technical Barriers to Trade
TCA	Tokyo Round Code on Trade in Civil Aircraft
TR	Tokyo Round
SCM	Tokyo Round Code on Subsidies and Countervailing Duties
UR	Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations (1986-1994)
WTO	World Trade Organization

World Trade Organization
154, rue de Lausanne
CH-1211 Geneva 2
Switzerland
Tel: +41 (0)22 739 51 11
www.wto.org

WTO Publications
Email: publications@wto.org

Copies can be ordered from:
WTO Online Bookshop
<http://onlinebookshop.wto.org>

Report designed by SimpleCom.
Printed by the World Trade Organization.

Image credits:
Cover: © WTO.

© World Trade Organization 2018
Print ISBN 978-92-870-4260-6
Web ISBN 978-92-870-4261-3
Published by the World Trade Organization.

GATT disputes: 1948-1995 (Volume 1) provides verified and factual information on disputes brought by contracting parties to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) from 1948 to 1995, when the GATT was superseded by the World Trade Organization (WTO). The publication provides a comprehensive overview of dispute settlement activities under the GATT 1947 and a one-page case summary for each of the 316 GATT disputes brought by contracting parties during this period. Volume 2 of this publication covers GATT dispute settlement procedures. The two volumes offer insights into the evolution of dispute settlement under the GATT 1947, which served as the foundation for the Dispute Settlement Understanding created by the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the WTO.



World Trade Organization
Centre William Rappard
Rue de Lausanne 154
CH-1211 Geneva 2
Switzerland
Tel. switchboard: +41 (0)22 739 51 11
email: enquiries@wto.org
www.wto.org

